



## A CURTAIN OF IGNORANCE

In this book the author of The Wall
Has Two Sides exposes the errors and
false predictions which, he maintains,
have been given to the American
people and have seriously distorted
their picture of Communist China.

Citing chapter and verse from publications of all kinds, including eye-witness reports of journaliets and experts from other countries who have had more opportunity than Americans to see China—and also including his own first-hand observations from three recent visits there—Mr Greene has compiled a scorching indictment of the American press and so-called China experts.

He analyses the role of the China lobby, the myths of Chiang Kal-shek sympathizers, the facts behind the China-Tibet episode and the China-India border dispute, as well as exploding the wishful thinking behind the American idea that the Chinese are starving or in revolt, and their supposition that the Communist regime will one day 'pass away'.

Mr Greene has written a book of first importance to the West, for American misconceptions could lead to a third world war or at least to America's political isolation. A Curtain of ignorance is written with vigorous and witty conviction, and is certain to provoke controversy on both sides of the Atlantic.

By the same author

THE WALL BAS TWO SIDES LET THERE BE A WORLD

## FELIX GREENE

# A CURTAIN OF IGNORANCE



JONATHAN CAPE
THIRTY BEDFORD SQUARE LONDON

## FIRST PUBLISHED IN CREAT BRITAIN 1965

4 1964 BY PELIK CREKVE

## CONTENTS

Author's Note	માં પ્રોલો
Everyrord	
Part I. BACKGROUND TO MYTHO	YOOK
Chapter 1. THE DIRTH OF A LEGEND 2. DOUBLE EXPOSURE (1941-45) 3. CHANG'S CHINA 4. THE CHINA LOBBY	3 17 30 43
Part II. A TEST OF THE NEV	
Chapter 5. WHEN THE RUSSIANS TOOK OVER C	лина 77 92
7. THE MATHEMATICS OF SUPERRING:	100
7. THE MATHEMATICS OF SUPERINGER	109
1. The Cost of Progress	116
11. The Gost of Stagnation 11. The Indian Way vs. the C	
A Case History of Self-	Deception 125
<ol> <li>6co mullion slaves:</li> </ol>	143
i. Meanwhile, Back on the Communes, the Fan	ally Was
	143
Being Destroyed	
n. The Exquisite China of	170
9. MR. ALSOP'S CHINA	-7

PRINTED IN CREAT BRITAIN BY
LOWE AND BRYDONE (CRINTERS) LIMITED, LOWBON
ON PATER MADE BY JOHN DICKINSON & CO. 110
HOUND BY A. W. HAIN & CO. LTD, LONDOR

•	F	1	
٠,	•	п	

#### CONTENTS

	Part i	III. CHINA WANTS WAR		
Chapter 10.	THE CO	ONDITIONING OF AMERICA	191	
11.	"JHERI	WILL BE THREE HUNDRED MILLION		
	LE	gri" .	213	
12.	"CHINE	SE ACCRESSION"-THE SINO-INDIAN		
	во	ORDER DISPUTE	223	
13.	"CHINI	SE AGGRESSION"—TIBET	243	
	Part I	V. SOME MINOR MYTHS		
Chapter 14	THE C	IMO RETURNS	255	
15	, WHEN	e's MAO?	263	
16	. "13ffs,	"THIS, TOO, WILL PASS AWAY"		
17	, CHINA	HAS NO CASH	283	
	Pa	ert V. HOW IT WORKS		
Chapter 18.			293	
	1.	Preventing People from Sceing for	47736	
		Themselves	293	
	IL.	Ignoring Information That Is		
		Available	296	
	m.	When Reports Conflict	300	
	IV.	Reporting Official Pronouncements		
		as Statements of Reality	302	
	v.	Headlines	304	
	VI.	Captions and Pictures	310	
A SUMMING	C UP		315	
Postscript	гаом Р	EKING	324	
INDEX			333	

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I wish to express my gratitude to the Louis M. Rabinowitz Foundation of New York for a grant that made possible the considerable research that was involved in the preparation of this book.

Fulra Greene Palo Alto, California September 1963

Grateful acknowledgment is made for permission to include the following copyrighted material:

#### MOURS

Excerpts from Senator for McCarthy by Richard Rovere. Copyright © 1959 by Richard H. Rovere. Used by petentision of Harrourt, Brace & World, Inc. and Methnen & Co. Ltd.

Excerpt from China Shakes the World by Jack Belden. Copyright 1949 by Jack Belden. Reminted with the permission of Harper & Row, Publishers, Immunuted. Excerpts from Two Kinds of Time by Graham Peck. Copyright 1950 by Graham Perk. Reprinted by permission of Houghton Millin Company.

Excepts from Scratches on Our Minds by Harold R. Isaacs. Copyright @ 1958 by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Reprinted by permission of The John

Day Company, Inc.

Excerpts from The Prespects for Communist Chain by W. W. Restow, et al. Copyright 1954 by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Reprinted by per-mission of The M. I. T. Press and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Execupi from The Political Leonomy of Growth by Paul Baran, Copyright @ 1957 by Monthly Review, Inc. Reprinted by permission of the Monthly Review Press and John Calder Ltd.

Exterpts from The Other Side of the River, by Edgar Snow. Copyright @ 1962 by Edgar Snow. Repunted by permession of Random House, Inc. and Victor Collance

Execupts from Thursder Out of China by Theodore H. White and Annales Jacoby. Copyright 1946 by William Sloane Associates, Inc. Reprinted by permission of William Sloame Associates.

Excerpts from Community China and Asia by A. Dook Barnett, Cupyright 🗘 1060 by the Council on Foreign Relations. Reprinted by pennission.

#### MAGAZINES

Excerpt from Business Week (November 20, 1948). Reprinted by permission.

Excerni from an article in China Trade and Economic Newsletter (October 1962), Reprinted by pentussing of Mrs. Joan Robinson and China Trade and Economic Newsletter, 15 Hanover Square, London W.1.

Excerpt from Eastern Horizon (September 1960). Reprinted by permission of Sur Herbert Read and Eastern Honzon.

Except from "China's Economic Growth" by Professor Charles Bettleheim. The Economic Weekly, Bombay, November 22, 1958. Reprinted by permission. Excepts from articles by Colina MacDongall, Professor Etienne, and the Sanghai

correspondent for Far Eastern Economic Review, Reprinted by permission of Far Eastern Economic Review, Ltd.

Excerpts from his article in September 8, 1949 itsne of The New Republic, used by pennission of Walter Lippmann.

Excerpt from an article by Professor Urban Whitaker (October 7, 1061), Reprinted by permission of The Nation.

Excerpt from "No Place to Go but Back" by Jacquet-Francillon, The New Republic (June 11, 1962).

ìχ

Excerpts from "The Tortoise and the Hare" (June 1, 1962). Reprinted

by pennission of the New Statesman.

Except from "Alliance for Progress: The Big Need Is Deeds" (August 27, 1962). Reprinted by permission of Naveweek.

Excerpts from Oxford Magazine (November 5, 1965). Reprinted by per-

mission of Oxford Magazine.

Excerpt from "Chronic Violence in India" by Bradford Smith. The Nation (February 10, 1962). Copyright © 1962 by Bradford Smith, Reprinted by permission.

Execupts from TIME The Weekly Newsmagazine (January 3, 1938 and June 10.

1946); Copyright Time Inc., 1946. Reprinted by pennission.

Execupis from The Christian Science Monitor (November 22, 1957 and January 8. 1963]. Used by peanissium.

Excerpts from the Daily Express, November 16, 1939 issue. Used by permission. Excerpts from The Duily Telegroph, January 10, 1962 issue, Used by permission.

Excerpt from an article by Oliver E. Clubb, Jr. The National Observer (November 12, 1962). Used by pennission of Dow Jones & Company, Inc. Excerpts from the New York Herald Tribuna columns of Margnerite Higgins (November 25, 1955) and Preston Schoyer (March 14, 1954); from the review of J. T. Wilson (October 1, 1961). Reprinted by permission of the New York Herald Tribune.

Excerpts from the article by Joseph Lash are reproduced by penalission of the New York Post, copyright @ 1964, New York Post Corporation.

Excerpts from the New York Times. Copyright by the New York Times.

Repainted by permission,

Excerpts from the articles by Royce Brief (Nevember 26, 1962) and Seymour Korman (March 3, 1963). Reprinted by pennusion of the San Francisco Chronicle.

Receipts from the October 1, 1942 issue of the San Francisco News-Colf

Buffetin, Reprinted by permission.

Except from the article "The New Roof of the World" (June 28, 1958); excerpt from the editorial (January 1, 1962). Reprinted by pennission of The Times, London.

Executes from articles from the United Press International. Reprinted by permission.

#### ILLUSTRATIONS

Fig. 1 Reprinted by permission of United Press International, Inc.

Fig. 3 Reprinted from The Atlantic (December 1959). Fig. 4 Reprinted by permission of Pix Incorporated.

Fig. 5 Reprinted by permission of The Christian Science Manitor, Figs. 6, 7, 9 Reprinted by permission of Robert Bastian and the San Futu-

cisco Chamiele.

Fig. 8 Reprinted by permission of the Philadelphia Evening Bulletin.

Fig. 10 Reprinted by permission of the Chicago Tithmat.

Fig. to "The Great Oriental Disappearing Act" from The Herblock Book (The Bescon Press, 1952). Reprinted by permission of Herbert Block. Fig. 12 Reprinted by permission of the Sacramento Bee.

All references in the text to other books are to the American editions. Awakened China by Felix Greene is published by Jonathan Cape Ltd under the title of The Wall Has Two Sides.

### AUTHOR'S NOTE

Whenever I am asked what nationality I am, I find myself besitating because nothing I can say would be really true.

I was born and educated in England—formally educated, that is. My education about the modern world we live in began after I left Cambridge and came to this country where for nearly two years (it was during the Depression) I drove tracks, picked fruit, and for a few painful months I tried to become interested in the advertising business. I then went back to England where I can for Parliament (and was soundly trounced) and joined the B.B.C. I did not live in America again until I returned to New York to head the B.B.C. office there, which I did for five years.

Since those far-off days I have lived most of the time in the U.S., but finding all kinds of good reasons for returning to Britain at least once a year. Technically, I am still British. I traveled to China on both my visits on a British passport and could not have gone on an American. When I add it all up I find, however, that I have now lived almost half my life in America; my wife is American, my home is here, my child goes to school here. I am tied to America (as I am to Britain) by countless affectionate associations of friendship and work. So what am I?

This rather rambling personal note is necessary to explain what might otherwise appear puzzling—why, though formally British, I have written this book as if I were an American, I wrote it this way

because the book is about America and because I feel so much a member of the family that I cannot bring myself to write about this country as if I were merely a visitor looking in.

The truth is that I can never think of myself as exclusively either American or British. I am both. If in a more collightened period a dual Auglo-American citizenship is evolved for those in my predicament, I will be first in line to claim that passport—for that is what I really am.

### FOREWORD

his book proposes to challenge the accuracy of some of the reports about Communist China conveyed to the American people by the press, the experts, and by public officials.

Most people in the United States—certainly the majority under thirty—if they have relied on our press for their information about China, can have come to only one conclusion: that China's backwardness, her shortage of food, and what is thought of as the prevailing misery of her people, are due to the Communists; that during the civil war in China evil men threw out the good men; and that though the good men were defeated and are now in exile, it is America's moral obligation to support them against the muthless and aggressive tyrants who have reduced the Chinese masses to unspeakable indignities and suffering.

Having been twice to China in recent years, I believe this is not a true picture. It is not the picture accepted by others in the Western world who have had a far closer and more continuous contact with Communist China than we have had. It is a concept that I believe the best intelligence in our own country knows is not true. And yet this picture governs our actions. In many significant ways we are basing national policies on a concept of China that is unreal.

<sup>1</sup> Since this was written, I returned to China for a third visit. See "Postscript from Peking."

To say that those who control our press, the specialists and the politicians have misinformed the public in regard to events in China, is a very grave charge. In this book I propose to give what I believe to be evidence in support of this charge. It does not imply that these men have combined in any conscious conspiracy. It does not imply that they are disloyal or unpatriotic. But it does imply in an area where great passions are aroused, those responsible for providing reasonably authentic information have failed in their duty. On an issue which involves our future security, our lives, our commerce, our national honor, the American people have been misled.

This is not a new phenomenon in our history.

In 1920 Mr. Walter Lippmann and Mr. Charles Merz submitted the news that renders of the New York Times were receiving about events in Russia to a very detailed scrutiny. (They chose the New York Times as being one of the best newspapers in the United States.) Their conclusion was that as far as professional journalism was concerned the reporting of the Russian Revolution was "nothing short of a disaster." They thought that on the essential questions the net effect of the reporting was "almost always misleading, and misleading news is worse than none at all. . . ."<sup>2</sup>

This book will examine the reporting and interpretation of events in China over a much longer period. The conclusions reached are precisely the same.

Mr. William Lederer has called this country "A Nation of Sheep." I reject this title as a slander. I know of no other country with as high a proportion of intelligent and concerned citizens as the United States. The American people are basically generous, genuinely wanting to find their way through the hazards that beset them in the world in as decent a way as possible. The people of America have responded to world events in a perfectly rational

and predictable way given the information with which they have been provided. Certainly in regard to China we are not a nation of sheep, but a nation that has been profoundly misinformed.

The circumstance which impelled me to write this book can easily be described. I am one of the very few American-based correspondents who have been to China during the past fourteen years. I am the only one who has been there twice. (Since this was written I have been for the third time.) I went to China first in 1957. As a correspondent I had kept myself-or I thought I had-reasonably well informed of developments throughout the world. I first went to China carrying with me all the assumptions that any careful reader of the American press would have carried. I went expecting to find a country of vast squalor and disease; I prepared myself to see a people embittered and resentful, living under the rigid coercions of a police state. I expected to see fear as I had seen it in Russia and in Hitler's Germany, This was the country I expected, but it was not the country I found. The discrepancy between what I had been led to expect and what I saw was bewildering and shocking.

I went to China again for a much longer visit in 1960. Between these two visits great changes were taking place in China, Knowing I was returning, I read carefully every account I could find of the commune development and the "great leap forward," I read Mr. Joseph C. Harsch's graphic accounts of the communes (written for The Christian Science Monitor)-"the greatest mass sacrifice of human heritage, human comfort and homan effort in all time." I read about the banacks into which the peasants were being herded. I read about the children being torn from their mothers' arms, I read Mr. R. H. Shackford's articles written for the Scripps-Howard newspapers entitled "Chain Gang Empire"—the "first serious effort in history to put a whole nation on what amounts to a prison chain gang." In Life I saw artists' drawings of burning villages and weeping mothers. After my experience of 1957 I read these accounts with caution and skepticism, but I was nevertheless influenced by them.

I returned to China in 1960 with anxiety as to the new devel-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "A Test of the News," a supplement to the New Republic, August 4, 1920.

opments which I would find there. And again I experienced the same bewilderment and shock at finding a country so greatly in contrast to what I expected. I traveled widely through China, thousands of miles. I saw ne barracks, and found no Westerner who had; I could see no evidence that children had been torn from their mothers' arms; and I learned that the foreign embassics in Peking had no belief in these stories either. I found that in all significant respects, the accounts of the commune development appearing in the American press had been misleading. During the five months I lived in China, American newspapers were sent to me. It was a curiously disturbing experience to read reports, even in some of our most responsible press, that seemed to bear so little relationship to the country I was in and the people I was moving among.

In the fourteen years since the Chinese Communists assumed power, countless myths about events in China have been implanted in the public's mind. This book has required a long and meticulous examination of news reports, editorials, analyses, commentaries, magazine articles, and books. Literally thousands of items have been read and classified. And yet I cannot claim that this is an exhaustive book. It is only a beginning. The sheer volume of material prevents thorough analysis in a single volume. So here I have dealt with only a handful of instances and examples.

I do not claim that my findings are completely free of error, though the checking has been careful. Some readers may consider that I have overstated my conclusion; others with more information may think that I could have presented my case more effectively. Whatever its failings, I hope that this book will succeed in establishing at least a prima facie case that reporting and expertise on China in this country has been on a deplorably low level.

I wish to make one thing clear. It is not the purpose of this book to examine the defects or virtues of the present system in China. That examination I have made in another book.<sup>8</sup> It may

appear to some that I am out to make a case for China—and they would think this for an obvious reason. As almost all so-called information about China in the United States has been adverse, any correction of information can very easily be misconstrued. The examination of adverse reports may very easily appear as an attempt to present China in a more favorable light, and I do not see how this impression can be avoided. But if such an interpretation is placed on this book, it would be a wrong interpretation. My purpose is not to examine China but to examine ourselves; to show how step-by-step misleading reports have created deeply set images in our minds and established firmly held convictions that are often unrelated to realities.

Readers of the press in every country must always remain skeptical and vigilant. It is certainly not my intention to suggest that the Chinese press is presenting a less distorted picture of the United States than our press frequently presents of China, and we know how erroncous the statistical information in Chinese newspapers has been (though these errors were often later admitted by the Chinese). The ideas in the minds of the ordinary Chinese about life in America are wildly inaccurate. But we can do nothing about their ignorance while we can perhaps do something about our own. If we do not we shall remain, in the words of the poet, "strangers shouting lies to each other across a sea of ignorance."

In examining reports about China, certain things became clear quite soon. One is that newspaper writers and experts are very prone to draw information from each other. They thus form a kind of self-validating society. One consequence of this is that a single news story can quickly be disseminated and may soon become "hard fact" because of nothing but sheer repetition. For example, millions of Americans today believe that Mao Tso-tung is not only prepared to engage in a nuclear war, but would indeed welcome one because "there would still be 3cc million Chinese left." A thorough search through the Chinese press has not revealed any speech or comment of this kind by any Chinese leader. Its origin? A comment by Matshal Tito of Yugoslavia in 1958 at a time when Belgrade and Peking were engaged in verbal assaults

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Awakened China: The Country Americans Davi's Know (New York: Doubleday, 1961); published in paperback edition under the title, China, the Country Americans Are Not Allowed to Know (New York: Ballantine Books, 1962).

against each other. Of several Western correspondents present at the time, only one apparently thought Tito's remark sufficiently interesting to report. But it was quickly seized upon and disseminated. The original remark was embroidered and enlarged. I found that before long it was being mentioned in literally hundreds of editorials and newspaper articles and in analyses by the China experts. It has now become unshakably embedded in our over-all thinking about China.

I had hoped originally to limit the range of my examination to the years since the revolution. But another surprising fact emerged as I began to look through the material. In all the thousands of reports about Communist China appearing in the press there were very few references to conditions in China before the revolution, If there was a food shortage or a setback in industry or a change in government policy, these were (if one was to judge by some of the press and specialists) all new phenomena in China and therefore clearly a result of the blunderings or malevolence of the present regime. Many of the correspondents who have been reporting mainland China from Hong Kong and the United States since 1040 had witnessed-and understood the causes of-the downfall of the Kuomintang. Yet it is an astonishing fact that few real attempts have been made to compare the lot of the Chinese today with their lot under Chiang Kai-shek. To the Chinese, a comparison of their standard of living with that in the far more prosperous West is obviously meaningless—the only thing that matters to them is whether they are worse or better off than they were before. Such an avoidance of comparison with China's past is itself a serious omission, for no understanding of China is possible unless we see her in terms of her own historical development.

Finally, I have attempted (not always with success) to keep out of this account a note of personal indignation. There is much to be indignant about when one sees a people among whom one has made one's home and of whom one is fond and proud, given misleading reports and being denied access to information to which they have a right. "Misleading reporting," Walter Lippanann wrote, "is worse than no reporting at all." And I agree. Something large is at issue here—for the reliability of information is the

premise on which democracy proceeds. A democracy will not long remain a democracy if the people are kept in ignorance. It is not a question merely of an adjective here, a caption there—that in itself would be of little consequence. But misleading reporting if it continues and is consistent may have a cumulative effect that is disastrous. Even small omissions and small distortions may together result in a single large untruth that will mislead a whole nation. And readers of this book will see that the omissions and distortions have not always been small.