Neoclassical Le Pen advances through French primary

MILITANT PARASITES WIN BIG

by mousnony@yahoo.com and mim3@mim.org

The neofascist National Front came in second in voting in the French national primary and thus won the chance to elect Jean-Marie Le Pen president in a run-off election May 5th.(1) Conservative Jacques Chirac, already known for broadly regressive policies faced an even more aggressive and regressive opponent.(2) [With 96 percent of the national vote counted on the evening of the 5 May, Le Pen received 18% of the vote, Chirac 82%. See p. 9.] The primary results for Chirac and Le Pen respectively were 19.7% and 17.0%. (3) The ex-Trotskyst Jospin, representing the pseudo left only won about 16% (4)—and thus is excluded from the final election. The so-called French Communist Party led by Robert Hue only won 3.5% (5) and will receive no state funding, since a party must win at least 5% in the primary to win state subsidization. (6) Another fascist candidate named Bruno Megret who splintered away from Le Pen’s political party won another 2%. This means that 20% of French voters have already pulled the lever for fascism and probably more will in the second round of voting.

MIM sees the upset of Jospin by Le Pen in a radically different light than the mainstream news. Most of the mainstream authorities presume that Le Pen’s votes are protest votes. But taking second place in the national primary means Le Pen has genuine supporters—despite the denial of the republican bourgeoisie.(8) MIM sees the victory for Le Pen in the primaries as proof positive of the labor aristocracy thesis—that dominant-nation “workers” in imperialist countries are in fact a new petit-bourgeoisie, a labor aristocracy. They support imperialism because they benefit from it. The real proletariat, on the other hand, resides overwhelmingly in the so-called Third World.(9)

Many self-styled “leftists” or “communists” insist that the aristocracy is exploited and hence revolutionary. Continued on page 4...

More analysis of the French elections inside:
* Chirac wins big in runoff (p. 9)
* Unemployed voted for Le Pen (p.4)
* Algerian settlers support Le Pen (p.5)
* Abstainers look best to MIM (p.8)

MIM and RAIL kick off UC divest campaign

by MIM

MIM, RAIL, and Studies for the Liberation of Aztlán and Latin America (SLALA) kicked off a campaign to get the University of California to pull its investments from companies supporting Israeli aggression, with an event at UC Los Angeles in early May. A small but enthusiastic audience listened to speakers from MIM and SLALA lay out the rationale for the campaign, and then participated in a discussion about the issues raised.

The speakers explained the historically progressive role played by student divestment campaigns, especially in the case of Vietnam in the 1970s, the 1980s South African campaign (which MIM participated in), and the anti-sweatshop campaign of the 1990s. Once again, students in American schools have a chance to apply public pressure on university officials to pull their support from an oppressive, U.S.-backed regime. The UC system is an ideal target, because the system invests hundreds of millions of dollars in companies like General Dynamics Corp., General Electric Corp., Honeywell Corp., Lockheed Martin, Raytheon and United Tech Corp., each of which invests heavily in Israel and provide it with helicopters, jet engines, F-16 fighters, M1-A2 Tanks, avionics control systems, rocket launchers, aircraft missiles, launch systems, other missiles and more.

The campaign calls on the UC system to investigate the companies it invests in and does business with for ties to the Israeli apartheid state and divest from those companies with large investments in Israel — especially those involved with the Israeli military. This divestment should continue at least as long as Israel refuses to withdraw from the territory it occupied in 1967.

As the SLALA speaker said: “With its declaration of all-out war against the people of Palestine, Israel’s recent military invasions into all the major cities in the already occupied West Bank - Including Ramallah, Bethlehem, Nablus and Hebron - has meant mass dislocation, unwarranted search, seizure, thousands of arrests and detentions, and the deaths of hundreds, many of whom died as ambulances were prevented from reaching them, or literally were left to rot on the streets.”

Audience members signed a petition supporting the demands of the campaign, and took postcards to distribute for others to send to the UC administration.

The event also included a film screening of a recent documentary showing the continued expansion of Israeli settlements on Palestinian land after the so-called peace agreement between Israel and the Palestinian Authority brokered by former U.S. President Bill Clinton. Palestinians interviewed in the film showed how their homes and land were seized as Israel systematically expanded the areas of greater Jerusalem that are under Israeli control. One family, evicted from their ancestral home by the city of Jerusalem to make way for new settlements, fought a long battle in the courts, and finally won. But instead of getting their home back, they were given a dilapidated bus to live in as compensation.

Another man won a court battle saying a certain piece of land seized by Israelis was indeed his, but he was not allowed to re-claim it.

Activists from Students for Justice in Palestine (SJP), associated with the International Socialist Organization (ISO), are leading a campaign for UC divestment from Israel on the Berkeley campus.

Continued on page 6...

Chinese Regime slanders Mao Zedong on Nepal

The state-capitalist regime in China implementing “Deng Xiaoping Theory,” has slandered Mao Zedong yet again. According to the Nepalese Foreign Secretary, Madhuraman Acharya the Chinese government told him that the People’s War in Nepal was misusing the name of Mao Zedong.(1)

Through its numerous publications, the Chinese regime could have denied the accusations, but it did not. In fact, it is already in print referring to the People’s War in Nepal as “ultraleftist.” The Chinese regime also told Nepal that it would not allow Tibet to be used by the “ultraleftist” guerrillas fighting in Nepal.

Although it debated the question at some length, the current regime in China claims still to uphold “Mao Zedong Thought” as a system of thought behind “Deng Xiaoping Theory.” Thus, the regime in China is telling the revolutionaries in Nepal that they are fakes and they are doing this in the name of Mao. At the same time, the Chinese regime is not supporting any alternative in Nepal that eliminates semi-feudalism.(2)

Semi-feudalism is the system of land ownership concentrating power in a few landlords’ hands that also puts the peasants in a position of exploitation. Farming under capitalism is done through a wage system, but under semi-feudalism, most peasants do not own

Continued on page 8...
Letters
What is the material basis for neo-fascism?
What is the position of the Party on Le Pen and the general rise of the right in Europe? The line as I understand it is that fascism rises as social democracy falls. I.e. when sources of superprofits are cut off/slowed the alliance bw. labour and capital is threatened and capital uses elements of the working class as shock troops to control the population, using ideologies of nationalism, racism, etc. etc. But my understanding is that the Party’s line is that the alliance is very strong and certainly the flow of superprofits is unimpeded. So why the rise in the right?
My first response is that as imperialism develops and the white working classes move upward, there is a need for (largely nonwhite) immigrant labour. But to keep these immigrants stuck at the bottom of the labour market, since legal racial segregation is too obvious, they are kept there via the criminal justice system (illegal immigrants) and through encouraging the “natural” racism of the dominant white nation through various channels (e.g. institutional racism in the courts vs. a “rightward drift” of [social democratic/]labour parties, etc.) So the rise of the right is just one of the channels of controlling the proletariat, putting them b/w the bad cop of fascism and the good cop of the liberals.
But these are arguments that seem to rely on false consciousness, e.g. the white workers are doing fine, but they are tricked into thinking immigrants are taking their jobs. The anti-globalization movement position is that white workers are suffering so the false consciousness is countered to a real change in material conditions. While this may be untrue, it is at least logically consistent. If the white workers, as the Party posts, are either doing better than ever, doing the same, or doing just marginally worse, then how to explain the rise of Buchanan, Le Pen Haider, neo-nazism all across Europe, etc. in a materialist way?
Obviously the rise of the right doesn’t constitute a return of fascism, and certainly not fascism in power. One answer is that the link btw fascism and social democracy is not (yet) the issue here. Rather, the imminent fascism of the French is one of several examples confirming a key materialist limits based on primarily ideological/cultural shifts. So even if white workers are doing fine, their national chauvinism can be stoked to some extent absent a significant change in material conditions.
In response to the question that we do say that the alliance between the imperialists and the labor aristocracy is still strong, we do not say that there are no contradictions between the two classes, or that different wings of the bourgeoisie aren’t maneuvering for labor aristocracy support. Rather, we say that the lot of every imperialist country “worker” is getting better all the time.
Clearly “workers” in some shrinking industries (think steel in the United States) have higher unemployment rates. These “workers” favor a nationalistic economic policy (protective tariffs to protect these jobs). Those that are unemployed believe that stopping immigration will give them jobs.
Buchanan, Le Pen et al do not just spout racist rhetoric, they also put forward concrete economic and social proposals. For that matter, Hitler didn’t just whip Germans into a frenzy based on some supposed German propensity for anti-Semitism. He also carried out an economic program based on expansionism, war preparations, and some social-democratic reforms—which appealed to the German petit bourgeoisie and labor aristocracy.
So the pull of parasitism—and hence of neo-fascists like Le Pen who are willing to take strong steps to preserve it—is material, not ideological. As we write on page four of this issue, “Unemployed people do not live in a vacuum. They have brothers, sisters, uncles etc. who are leading successful movements in opposition to their nation’s unemployment look around and see that the vast majority of white people do live such lives as exploiters of the Third World.”
“When Le Pen saw this situation, he correctly assessed how the white unemployed of France feel. It is not a case where the French oppressor nation’s unemployed looked around and saw a need for drastic change of systems. At most they believe expelling all foreigners will give them the jobs that the rest of the French oppressor nation already has. So the Le Pen voter, while wanting change, does not believe the change is so great that the whole apple-cart has to be upset, just the apple-cart of the foreigners. For this reason, the unemployed took to the militant parasitic message of Le Pen.”

Atom-bomb lover hates MIM
I was looking for photographs of the dropping of little boy [an atomic bomb] on Hiroshima, on google, and saw a photo I liked. It brought me to your website. It hit me [the bombing was an atrocity [sic]. You [sic] are a racist and anti-Maoist [sic]? The Baton [sic] Death March. The Holocaust was an atrocity [sic]. But what were we going to do? Invade Japan? You blame everything on evil capitalists dont [sic] you? You need to get your facts straight you crazy socialist, the USSR is dead! And you arent [sic] gonna be redistributing my paycheck as long as Im [sic] alive. So go to China, you ccna [sic]

What is MIM?
The Maoist Internationalist Movement (MIM) is the collection of existing or emerging Maoist internationalist parties in the English-speaking imperialist countries and their English-speaking internal semi-colonies, as well as the existing or emerging Maoist Internationalist parties in Belgium, France and Quebec and the existing or emerging Spanish-speaking MIM Internationalist parties of Azlan, Puerto Rico and other territories of the U.S. Empire. MIM Notes is the newspaper of MIM. MIM Notes is the official Party voice. Material in the paper is the Party's
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A call for MIM Notes sponsors and distributors!
MIM is looking for distributors and sponsors to step forward. Sponsors pay for papers; distributors get them onto the streets and officers do both distribution and financial support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distribute</th>
<th>Cost per year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 (Priority Mail)</td>
<td>$120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 (Priority Mail)</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (Priority Mail)</td>
<td>$280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>$380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 (Express Mail)</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900 (8-10 days)</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you know you have some good places distribute, we suggest starting at 200 and working your way up. If you are not willing to distribute, just send money. If you are not willing to pay, then request papers after some time proving to the party that you are serious (words won’t count). You who will cough up/raise the money to distribute 900 to 2000 for MIM Notes are the people who will help us to redistribute, just send money. If you are not willing to take strong steps to preserve the material of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism and is an internationalist organization that works from the vantage point of the Third World proletariat. MIM struggles to end the oppression of all groups over other groups: classes, genders, religions, nations. MIM knows this is only possible by building public opinion to seize power through armed struggle. Revolution is a reality for North America as the military becomes over-extended in the government’s attempts to maintain world hegemony. MIM differs from other communist parties on three main questions: (1) MIM holds that after the proletariat seizes power in socialist revolution, the potential exists for new forms of capitalism under the leadership of the Maoist party itself. In the case of the USSR, the bourgeois seizure of power after the death of Stalin in 1953; in China, it was after Mao’s death and the overthrow of the “Gang of Four” in 1976. (2) MIM upholds the Chinese Cultural Revolution as the most advanced form of communism in human history. (3) MIM upholds the materialist analysis, imperialism extracts super-profits from the Third World and in part uses this wealth to buy off whole populations of oppressor nation so-called workers. These so-called workers bought off by imperialism form a new petty-bourgeoisie called the labor aristocracy. These classes are not the principal vehicles to advance Marxism within these countries, because they are not the leaders of the movement against imperialism. At this time, imperialist super-profits create this situation in the Canada, Quebec, the United States, England, France, Belgium, Germany, Japan, Italy, Switzerland, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Israel, Sweden and Denmark. MIM accepts people as members who agree on these basic principles and accept democratic centralism, the system of majority rule, on other questions of party line.

“The theory of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin is universally applicable. We should regard it not as dogma, but as a guide to action. Studying it is not merely a matter of learning terms and phrases, but of learning Marxism-Leninism as the science of revolution.”

North Carolina Sheriff’s incompetence kills eight

May 4, 2002

MIM mourns the deaths of eight North Carolina jail inmates who died in a fire while locked in their cells on Friday, 3 May. They were: Edmond Banks, Danny Johnson, Jesse Davis, Jeremiah Presnell, Jason Boston, Joey Grindstaff, Tywan Neal, and Mark Thomas. Their average age was 29. There were 17 inmates in the jail at the time of the fire, either serving time for misdemeanors or awaiting trial as is common in jails. Those who survived the fire and three state employees went to nearby hospitals to be treated for injuries.

As we go to press we do not know what caused the fire in the 47-year-old Mitchell County Jail in Bakersville. Nor do we know if the building’s status in the National Register of Historic Places contributed to its lack of modern fire-protection measures. But we do know what caused the deaths. Sheriff Ken Fox said: “You don’t know what to say. You can’t find the words. It’s just tragic.” Tragic and entirely culpable. The jail’s cells each had to be opened individually and there were no sprinklers in the building. It is criminal to forcibly hold any person in a cell without basic safety measures. Buildings catch fire and floods happen, 21st century Americana has the technology to see that people do not die for not being able to exit a jail cell.

While we do not know many of the inmates had yet to be tried it seems that at least one of the dead was likely awaiting trial, or bail, as he died while in a holding cell on the first floor of the jail. We can also say with certainty that none of the inmates had been sentenced to die for his crime. The Mitchell County Sheriff’s Department has blood on its hands, and under socialism the proletariat could demand blood to pay for this crime.

Ongoing violence against Jews creates new history

A newspaper billing itself as a daily for “Russian-Americans” has reported that there was a pogrom style attack on a Ukrainian synagogue on April 13th. Such an attack on Jews is nothing new in Ukraine.

Soon after there was a swift arrest and Jews reported satisfaction with the cooperation of the government. What was astonishing was the rally of thousands chanting slogans for Israel and opposing Arafat and fascism for the 54th anniversary of the foundation of Israel.

The rally in downtown Kiev reputedly had more than 10,000 participants. Organized by the Ukrainian Jewish Congress, the rally also heard greetings sent by Israeli ruler and mass-murderer Ariel Sharon.

All those countries and leaders attempting to gain Uncle Sam’s support on the “terrorism” question now face internal questions in that light. Anti-Semitism has had a long and strong history in Ukraine, but the question of “terrorism” has given Ukrainians interesting choices.

Lumping Arafat in with fascism shows that some are prepared to say that defending Jews justifies anything done by Jews. This is wrong and there can never be peace if Jews take the Holocaust as a carte blanche to commit genocide themselves. The Palestinian people are attacking Zionist occupiers— both troops and civilians who are occupiers. It is a war of national liberation, not “terrorism.”

On the other hand, it is possible that Jews in a synagogue do not support Israel themselves. Some Jews who become victims may not be exploiters at all, but rather poor people.

The fact that anti-Semitism is arising around the globe while the Zionists are murdering people on the West Bank raises some challenging questions of sorting out anti-Semitism and anti-imperialism, since Israel is one of the world’s leading and most vicious imperialists. MIM’s May Congress will take up the controversy. Every year we hold votes on questions of party line and then implement those lines until the next Congress.

Source: New Russian Word April 20-21, p. 11.

MIM Theory calls for articles, testimonials

MIM’s theory magazine is preparing for future issues. We are looking for well-researched articles on Nazi Germany and eastern Germany, especially in the 1945 to 1953 period. Please follow some footnote format.

Another area we are working on is research on psychics. If you stopped paying psychics, please send us a letter telling us how you did so and give us your permission to use your statement as a testimonial. We also would appreciate any statistical research or articles on the subject of the effectiveness of psychics.

Attention subscribers

MIM now sends MIM Notes subscriptions to individuals in monthly mailings, third class mail. If you have a subscription and would like a refund for the remaining months, please write to MIM, PO Box 29670, Los Angeles, CA 90029-0670.
French unemployed voted for Le Pen

Thirty-eight percent of unemployed French voters voted for Le Pen. (1) That is a huge percentage given that no candidate in the election garnered more than 19% of the total and Le Pen received 17% overall.

It is not some foggy “false consciousness” by the French unemployed people. Le Pen has campaigned in French politics forever—four times for the presidency—and the French know what he stands for very well. It’s been 30 years since he formed his neo-Nazi “National Front” party and Le Pen has served many years in both French and European parliaments. By 1988 he had already won 14% of the presidential vote and 15% in 1995,2 63% of Le Pen’s voters had decided on Le Pen months before the election. (4)

So the unemployed simply demonstrated “false consciousness” fogginess, there were seven “leftist” candidates or three green candidates who could vote for and four more “moderate” right-wing candidates. (3) Hence, there was nothing stupid, arbitrary or lucky about Le Pen’s vote amongst the unemployed. Although other candidates blasted unemployment, Le Pen received the overwhelming support of the unemployed, and not just the support that one of 16 candidates could expect.

“false consciousness” as the phony Marxists say is the reason for the problem of the Le Pen vote by the unemployed, then we would expect Le Pen to obtain 1 in 16 votes, say 6% of unemployed votes. Instead, Le Pen received 38% of the unemployed vote and 30% of the workers’ vote while obtaining only 8% of the so-called liberal professions’ voters—something closer to the traditional petty-bourgeoisie.

Amongst the unemployed the next closest candidate was Jospin at 13%. Chirac was at 12%. The leading candidate by far amongst the unemployed was abstention, with 46% of all unemployed people abstaining and Le Pen winning 38% of the remaining 54% of unemployed people who did vote. (4) The rest of the candidates received so little of the vote that overall it looks like “false consciousness” could only apply to those unemployed who did not vote at all. The workers most embarrassing to the phony communists is the “Communist Party” of France candidate Hue received 0% of the unemployed vote and 3.4% overall.

Looking at the economic status of the voters, Le Pen got his lowest proportion from students but that was still a tie for first place. For a fascist movement to occur, students have to be a part and thus his 15% amongst students is very bad news. Also shocking to social-democratic theorists, Le Pen received the highest percentage of salaried public sector employees—public workers—15% with 15% being second amongst public sector workers at 16%

Amongst the “workers,” the two Trotskyists pulled 16% of the vote and Jospin 15% of the vote. However, Le Pen pulled 30% of the worker vote. Another 3% of jobless workers were even nuttier fascist Megret. Combined with the Chirac vote and other rightists, 48% of “worker” votes went to unabashedly “conservative” or more reactionary politicians. The definition that the polling agency used for “worker” excluded farmers, professionals, “employees,” traders and artisans. The “worker” and unemployed voting results in France could hardly be greater proof of what MIM is saying: the left-wing parasites do all the talking about the “workers,” but the fascists do all the reaping of the fears and insecurities that the left-wing parasites parties stir up. That is why the MIM line is necessary, because casual opportunism does make things worse.

Amongst the reactionaries, lower income reactionaries voted for Le Pen and Megret and upper income people voted for Mitterand. By education level, Le Pen had his hardest time amongst those with education above a bachelor’s degree, with only 8% of such people voting for him. Higher education people also abstained the most, with 29% abstaining.

The fact that Le Pen received 38% of the unemployed vote disproves the “false consciousness” hypothesis. We have to look at some other explanation.

As MIM has said all along, even amongst isolated unemployed minorities of oppressor nations in the imperialist countries and even the middle-classes share a parasitic stance toward global trade, which is strong. That pull is material, not ideological. Unemployed people do not live in a vacuum. They have brothers, sisters, uncles etc. who are leading successful middle-class lives. The oppressor nation unemployed look and see that the vast majority of white people do live such lives as exploiters of the Third World.

When Le Pen saw this situation, he correctly assessed how the white unemployed of France feel. It is not a case where the French oppressor nation unemployed looked around and saw a need for drastic change of systems. At most they believe expelling all foreigners will give them the jobs that the rest of the French oppressor nation already has. So the Le Pen voter, while wanting change, does not believe the change is so great that the whole apple-cart of the foreigners. For this reason, the unemployed took to the militant parasitic message of Le Pen. Clearly Jospin and Chirac were already in power and not going to do enough. Various green candidates found themselves on issues which were not to their advantage, so it was that the candidates most aggressive about advancing parasitism did best amongst the unemployed of France. It goes to show that stirring up the economic fears and concerns of the imperialist country oppressor nation as if it had a subservient proletariat will backfire and produce fascist movements.

In contrast, in a Third World country, there is no foreigner to expelled that would nearly equal the number of unemployed people. The unemployed, the proletariat and even the middle-classes share a parasitic stance toward global trade, which is strong. In imperialist countries and hence the proletariat exerts more influence and pull on the lumpen-proletariat and the petty-bourgeoisie in the Third World than it does in imperialist countries like France. Notes:

4. The most informative web page on this whole subject is: http://www.iposos.fr/CanalIposso/poll/7549.asp

Neo-fascist Le Pen advances through French primary

Continued from page 1...

They argue that the white worker is merely bamboozled, hoodwinked, or deceived into a “false consciousness.” Consequently, they attempt to out-race Chirac and Le Pen in putting gravy on the labor aristocracy’s potatoes—and this means contributing to the imperialist drive for war and repression.

With the failure of the mainstream candidates Jospin and Chirac, the labor aristocracy is looking for someone more aggressive in France. However, in imperialist “democracy,” no party gathers much support for telling the population that this competition to increase parasitism leads to global repression, war and environmental degradation. Among other things it would mean bursting the bubble of national pride about France’s global role as an imperialist—and this is something that politicians do not do, the equivalent of telling voters that they have bad breath; even though it is the useful truth that needs learning.

MIM stands for a global minimum wage and reparations to the Third World with an eye to environmental protection and sustainable development, and we do not care how many votes we win for promoting these basic planks of our platform. Rather than participate in the race to sell-out to parasitic classes, MIM is glad to seek out and promote altruists and scientists who are willing to accept the responsibility of renouncing profit and being simple to share the reaping of the fears and insecurities that the left-wing parasites parties stir up. That is why the MIM line is necessary, because casual opportunism does make things worse.

If we have the negative examples of Hue and Jospin, who show us that those who argue for a left-wing solution or left-wing vote are either dumb or they do not recognize, correctly, that people respect the proof of the failure of the “left” to understand the labor aristocracy, so Le Pen shows us that fascists are willing to recognize the hard facts. Le Pen sees the Trotskyists, social-democrats and phony communists clamor for militant parasitism—Le Pen does the same thing with simpler solutions. Unemployment going up? No problem: Deport the foreigners stealing “our” jobs. (11) Always making a big deal out of his anti-immigrant stance toward global trade, which is traditionally associated with the beginning of major wars amongst great powers. (11)

President Chirac is basically seen, correctly, as crooked. He is loved by no one except those whom he has corrupted. When Le Pen argues that he is honest and Chirac isn’t there is substance to his statements: Le Pen is honest about his neo-fascist, considering the holocaust a necessary beginning of major wars amongst great powers. (12) Meanwhile, Le Pen has also promoted a
Electoral candidates do not meet at far right and left

There is often a thesis from the bourgeoisie that the far left and far right meet at the extremes. President George W. Bush spoke in this manner and condemned anti-Semitism in France; the major social-democratic French newspaper Le Monde also did so by condemning the “protest” votes of the left and right. (1) When it comes to France however, there is hardly any casual switching between left and right in France’s parliamentary charades.

Fascist Megret received close to none of his votes from the “extreme left.” Trotskyist and similar parties and also received none of his votes from the voters for these parties—that is blocking some hypothesis of extreme protest voters. In 2002, Megret received 0% of the 1997 voters who chose the “Communist Party” of France.

Likewise Le Pen was confined to 6, 7 or 8% of “extreme left” or green voters of the past in his 2002 bid. In contrast, 18% of the 1997 voters for mainstream reactionary parties such as Chirac’s “Rally for the Republic” voted for Le Pen this time. If Jospin and Chirac are the “responsible” moderates then it is those “responsible” moderate voters giving the largest portion of votes to Le Pen.

If we go back to 1995 elections, only 3% of the left-wing parasite voters voted for Le Pen this time. The “extreme left” voter was much more likely to abstain in 2002 than vote for Le Pen (see “Abstaining voters look best to MIM,” this issue).

As for Le Pen voters, they are very consciously anti-left. In all elections since 1988, Le Pen voters have ranged from 0% to 2% support for any of the seven parties of the French left of 2002. In fact, the 10 green and left parties combined in 2002 received only 5% of Le Pen voters of 1995.

The real danger is amongst those voters then. There is nothing to be done as an electoral political party to win over the Le Pen and Megret voters. No amount of opportunism will change their minds. The countless left-wing parasite pundits telling MIM to add some racism to our message to fool Le Pen types have no data to back their views. 10 French parties tried in various ways to win Le Pen voters to the left-parasitic or green position, and they only obtained 5% of those Le Pen voters from 1995.

Our real task is to win the abstentionists over and not let the fascists have them.

Note:
2. http://novaonline.nv.cc.va.us/el1/evans/ his135/MODULES/events/algeria62.htm is an example referring to French settlers and Algerian nationalists both as “terrorists” in this conflict

Le Pen’s final rally gains French settler support

On May 2nd Jean-Marie Le Pen returned to Marseilles where 3000 gathered to hear his last rally speech of the campaign for the presidency with elections coming up on May 5th. The providence which contains Marseilles—Provence—gave Le Pen 23% in the first round. (3) Provence is filled with French settlers kicked out of Algeria by forceful revolution. It does not surprise MIM that a major candidate for the political leadership of an imperialist country gets his strongest support from former settlers on Arab land.

Algeria is composed of 99% Arabic population by ethnicity. However, until 1954 when the final guerrilla war for independence started, France occupied Algeria as a colony. By 1962, Algeria was free. The war was a horrible but necessary and hence beautiful one in which civilians on both sides died—something referred to as “terrorism,” (2) but which is really war over land. One of the soldiers in the war was Jean-Marie Le Pen.

While other French soldiers such as Le Pen’s superior officer remember him well, the Algerian people do not. Algerians remember Le Pen as “extremely violent” and a “torturer.” (4) Ironically, one proposed settler solution to that war at the time appeared to be making all the Muslims there French citizens with France thereby annexing the land across the sea from France in northern Africa. This would have left Le Pen and others living with even more Africans that they object to than they do today.

Although Le Pen called the Nazi genocide of Jews “a detail of history,” and although Le Pen echoes Hitler in many ways, there is another imperialist politician who derives political support from settlers on Arab land: his name is Ariel Sharon. Whether it is English settlers killing Indians in Amerikka, French settlers killing Algerians in Algeria, Australian settlers killing indigenous people in Australia or Israeli settlers killing Palestinian in the West Bank, the most reactionary people are colonialists tainted by the theft of land from other peoples. Allied with imperialist ruling classes, the settlers know no limits to their irrationality and petty property-oriented vindictiveness.

Notes:
2. http://novaonline.nv.cc.va.us/el1/evans/ his135/MODULES/events/algeria62.htm is an example referring to French settlers and Algerian nationalists both as “terrorists” in this conflict

A note on terminology: left and right

MIM would point out that it refers to “left” and “right” in politics in these Le Pen articles to refer to the various wings of the parasitic political ideology of imperialism. MIM is neither left nor right in this regard, because MIM opposes parasitism altogether. Left-wing parasites want to spread the super-profits more evenly amongst the exploiters while right-wing parasites want greater class differentiation within the exploiter camp.

The terms “left” and “right” mean something else when referring to lines within MIM. “Ultra-left” lines assume advanced conditions which do not exist and thus cause damage—an overestimation of what is possible. “Rightist” lines underestimate what can be achieved in today’s existing conditions and also cause damage.

Within a communist party, and its vocabulary, sometimes the “left” is considered the best and sometimes neither “left” nor “right” line is the correct line doing the most that can be done in existing conditions. Mao stated polemically and perhaps sardonically that he was “center-left” in China especially relative to his wife and the “Gang of Four” of the “left” and those further “left” who were “ultra-left.” This is not to say that “centerist” is considered a good thing in the communist movement either.

The complication arises in distinguishing simple talk of ideological principles and the actual strategic situation of any given day. Generally communists seek to be genuine left within the communist movement and correct overall. The “correct” approach has neither left nor right deviations and also does not “split the difference.” The correct approach to achieving ideological goals or implementing ideological principles is simply scientifically correct.

When it comes to relatively timeless ideological principles communists favor classless society, while socialists do not and seek to ameliorate class differences without eliminating them. Next are those conservatives who prefer to keep class differences as they are and finally there are reactionaries who support increasing ruling class privileges perhaps by going back to earlier times in human history when there were slave-owners or feudal lords for instance. Thus we have described a range going from “left” to “right” in which “left” is best. Often it makes little sense to talk about achievements or implementation with people who do not share your ideological goals at all, which is why there is some value to talking about “left” and “right.” However, talk of timeless ideological principles and goals can only refer to “nominal left” and “nominal right.” What is more important than dogma is who actually delivers progress toward classlessness. Sometimes people hiding behind “leftist” verbiage are actually sabotaging the communist movement in practice. This is known as “making the perfect the enemy of the good” and thus destroying the good without achieving the perfect. Thus, it is simply best to be “correct” in practice, because mistakes can come from both the “left” and “right.”
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No free speech for pro-Palestine group at UC Berkeley

Students for Justice in Palestine (SJP), a student group at UC Berkeley, was suspended by the University after helping to organize a demonstration on April 9th in solidarity with the Palestinian people and demanding that the University of California divest from Israel. More than a thousand students participated in the demonstration which culminated in a sit-in in Wheeler Hall. Seventy-nine people were arrested, and all are facing criminal charges. The 41 students arrested are also facing student conduct charges and potential suspension for up to one year.

The suspension of Students for Justice in Palestine, pending an investigation, means that SJP is barred from holding events on campus, tabling, distributing literature, and organizing. The investigation may conclude that SJP should be banned as a student organization at Berkeley.

As SJP has pointed out: “No other student group that has participated in non-violent civil disobedience has been suspended and no students have faced charges of this severity in the past several years at UC Berkeley. We believe that this is a systematic attempt to silence pro-Palestinian voices on campus and to intimidate students from being activists...In fact, the policy that makes SJP subject to these charges is the Chancellor’s so-called ‘zero tolerance’ policy.”

The Chancellor makes this clear by referring to protests and political organizing as “neutral forum” in the context of teaching, learning, and research. The suspension of SJP, the Assistant Chancellor’s office sent a form letter stating that the suspension of SJP is not punishment for political demonstrations, it is punishment for “taking over classroom buildings and depriving the right of other students to an education.” This failure to recognize is that education is not devoid of political content. In fact the political organizations on campuses provide much-needed education to students who are otherwise inundated with mainstream media and textbook American chauvinist information.

Perhaps anticipating the current stand off with SJP, Chancellor Robert Berdahl made a statement on April 8th, the day before the building occupation: “This University has a proud history in the defense of free expression. It is our responsibility to provide a neutral forum for individuals and groups to advocate their cause. ... Most importantly, it is our responsibility to protect the rights of all members of the campus community to pursue their reason for being here - the work of teaching, learning, and research - uninterrupted by anyone.”

Again MIM points out, there is no such thing as a “neutral forum” in the context of imperialist educational institutions. The Chancellor makes this clear by passing judgment on what counts as education by suspending SJP while continuing to supervise nuclear weapons development at Lawrence-Livermore and Los Alamos labs. (See also “UCLA professor defends “Black Book of Communism” lies,” in this issue.) Taking part in organizing for social change, fighting to save lives around the world, and educating the general public about issues of life and death are crucial elements of teaching and learning. Protecting the rights of all members of the campus community to pursue these activities should mean not only allowing political organizing, but promoting and protecting it.

Further, as the SJP points out, the UC’s view of education rights are limited to the privileged few who live in this country: “the University of California’s investments in Israel have done nothing but interrupt the education of thousands of students in Palestine, whose universities and colleges and schools have been closed as a result of the Israeli occupation.”

They go on in another statement: “Berkeley’s sister school, Bethlehem University, lies in ruins. Bir Zeit University has been closed for months. Thousands of students in Palestine have had their education disrupted by the Israeli occupation. Meanwhile, the Chancellor and the Assistant Chancellor continue to pretend as if “uninterrupted education” is something more than a fiction financed by the Israeli occupation and the US support of it.”

On April 29, in defiance of the suspension, SJP set up a table in the center of campus. About 40 students turned out to stand by the table and distribute literature while other student groups tabling in the area also displayed signs reading “We are all Student for Justice in Palestine.” Within an hour of setting up the table, Student Judicial Affairs Director Neal Rajmaira hand-delivered a letter that threatened the group with “further and additional” sanctions if they continued to table.

SJP is associated with the International Socialist Organization (ISO). Although the MIM-launched divestment campaign (see page one) is not affiliated with SJP or ISO, we urge readers to fight against this crackdown on free speech at Berkeley and “the violence of oppressed nations and causes them to fight each other. UC divest campaign

Continued from page 1...

Militarism is war-mongering or the advocacy of war or actual carrying out of war or its preparations. While true pacifists condemn all violence as equally repugnant, we Maoists do not consider self-defense or the violence of oppressed nations against imperialism to be militarism. Militarism is mostly caused by the capitalist class and the imperialists who live in their ideas about war and peace or the environment. They risk our lives in their ideas about war and peace or the environment. They have already had two world wars admitted by themselves in the last 100 years and they are conducting a third right now against the Third World.

Even a one percent annual chance of nuclear war destruction caused by capitalists aggressiveness or “prevention as the people call it should not be tolerated by the proletariat. After playing Russian Roulette (in which the bullet chamber is different each time and not related at all to the one that came up in previous spins) with 100 chambers and one bullet, the chance of survival is only 60.5% after 50 turns. In other words, a seemingly small one percent annual chance of world war means eventual doom. After 100 years or turns of Russian Roulette, the chances of survival are only 36.6%. After 200 years, survival has only a 13.4% chance.

What is militarism?

Militarism is war-mongering or the advocacy of war or actual carrying out of war or its preparations. While true pacifists condemn all violence as equally repugnant, we Maoists do not consider self-defense or the violence of oppressed nations against imperialism to be militarism. Militarism is mostly caused by the capitalist class and the imperialists who live in their ideas about war and peace or the environment. They risk our lives in their ideas about war and peace or the environment. They have already had two world wars admitted by themselves in the last 100 years and they are conducting a third right now against the Third World.

Even a one percent annual chance of nuclear war destruction caused by capitalists aggressiveness or “prevention as the people call it should not be tolerated by the proletariat. After playing Russian Roulette (in which the bullet chamber is different each time and not related at all to the one that came up in previous spins) with 100 chambers and one bullet, the chance of survival is only 60.5% after 50 turns. In other words, a seemingly small one percent annual chance of world war means eventual doom. After 100 years or turns of Russian Roulette, the chances of survival are only 36.6%. After 200 years, survival has only a 13.4% chance.
CIA shenanigans muddy the waters in Afghanistan
Would be progressives must aggressively demarcate themselves from the CIA

by mim3@mim.org

In the April 1, 2002 MIM Notes we reviewed the Global Exchange website and mentioned its program of taking people to Afghanistan to meet Afghans on the subject of wominn’s status. After repeated questioning, the tour guide for that Afghanistan program has refused to deny working with the CIA.

To MIM, this project all along sounded suspiciously like an ideal CIA project. (Global Exchange has also sponsored a speaking tour by a Tibetan Monk with ties to the CIA. See page seven.) On March 30th, the press including CNN discovered that the Bush administration had pressured Black TV talk show host Oprah Winfrey to tour Afghanistan herself and she refused, citing scheduling problems.

So we interviewed Global Exchange. On March 7th, we sent an email to the tour coordinator Nilufar Shuja nilufar@globalexchange.org and we asked about tours to Afghanistan within two days. There was no response.

Later, an Afghan tour volunteer from Global Exchange contacted MIM on March 14th, to say that Nilufar Shuja had gone to Afghanistan and will answer our questions upon return on March 17th, although we have the impression that the trip was after she received our questions.

On March 20th, we queried again and this time we received a response, not from Nilufar Shuja, but from the Global Exchange Communications Director. At no time between March 7th and March 30th did we actually hear from the tour guide.

MIM Notes: Do you or your tour receive any funding from the U.S. Government? Has Global Exchange received any money from CIA grants or contracts or other sources of money?

Jason Mark for Global Exchange: Global Exchange does not receive any money from the U.S. government or from multinational corporations. Nor do we receive and U.S. government contracts or other sources of money.

MIM Notes: The same above questions apply to Afghanistan government funding.

Jason Mark: We don’t received any money or funding from the Afghan government.

MIM Notes: How did you establish your ties with the Afghan government?

Jason Mark: We don’t really have any formal ties with the Afghan government. We have met with several government minister, including interim president Hamid Karzai and the Women’s Affairs minister Sima Simar, but we have no formal relationships with the provisional government there.

MIM Notes: Where does scholarship money come from?

Jason Mark: Scholarship money comes from our general support budget. We also use a portion of every paid participant’s contribution to support the scholarships we give.

MIM Notes: Are you receiving any assistance from the CIA?

Jason Mark: No, we do not receive any assistance from the CIA.

MIM Notes: How will participants fly to Afghanistan? Are there regular commercial flights yet?

Jason Mark: So far our participants have flown on commercial flights from London to Islamabad and then on UN flights (Global Exchange has UN observer status) from Islamabad to Kabul. We look forward to the resumption of commercial flights.

Conclusions

Jason Mark further informed us that Global Exchange was not giving us one answer for itself and another from the Afghan coordinator, and said that the answers would be the same except that the Afghan coordinator has only been with Global Exchange for two months.

Since most CIA agents are “former” CIA agents anyway, and do not announce themselves, we pressed further. Although Jason Mark claims that the Global Exchange is not currently receiving U.S. Government funding or working with the CIA, we pressed him further: “Prior to being with Global Exchange has Nilufar formerly worked as employee, contractor or consultant for any organization in any way from the U.S. Government? Specifically did she work with any intelligence agency, the Department of Defense or the State Department?”

Jason Mark said, “I highly doubt it,” but he advised to ask the tour guide ourselves. So we did. It is now March 30th and she still has not replied. We are left wondering how it is that Global Exchange just so happens to know the president of Afghanistan installed by George Bush.

The Global Exchange brags about bringing former Harbary on staff in 1998. The CIA killed Harbary’s husband in Guatemala. Other things from Global Exchange could have been lifted from the MIM website, but it’s important to realize that the CIA can use all such covers if it so needs.

Global Exchange honors CIA-tied colleague

Lately the “Free Tibet” movement is making a stink about Palden Gyatso, who had a book come out in 1997 about his imprisonment in China. According to his own autobiography, Palden Gyatzo was told his teacher Gyen Riggzin Tenpa was a spy for India, but he denied the charges and according to Palden Gyatzo himself, other Tibetans saying that he deserved to die beat and tortured him from 1959 onwards till his release/escape in 1992.

From MIM’s point of view, it is not surprising that former slaves and serfs in Tibet have bitterness about people like Palden Gyatzo who wanted to go back. Such bitterness is typical in any society undergoing major change.

As of March 30th, a Google search shows that Global Exchange is in the news place on the subject of Palden Gyatzo for sponsoring his speaking tour in 2000.1

From reading various sources, it continues to be apparent that the “Free Tibet” movement has no serious intentions of leading Tibet forward. In his autobiography, Palden Gyatzo admits that when the Chinese came to question him in 1959, he and his colleagues did not know what the word “exploitation” meant. He had a hard time talking with the Chinese about “oppression” and so on, or so he said. Given the education situation in Tibet at that time, it is in the fact that even many top lamas were illiterate; his statement does in fact ring true.

Palden Gyatzo’s statement is actually a fairly accurate picture of what the problem in Tibet is. Fifty years ago a movement arose to free Tibet of slavery, serfdom and slavery in Tibet should not get a bad shake.

Palden Gyatzo should have realized that there was a problem that he did not know what “exploitation” was. At the very least, that left him open to being used by pro-slavery activists. We do not understand how he can be righteous about the conflicts in his life after admitting something like that. He should be honest that he participated in the rebellion in 1959 without much knowledge of what he was doing.

Global Exchange and some of the media sound like John Nash in A Beautiful Mind. Some activists refer to Palden Gyatzo as the “Venerable Palden Gyatzo.” The Global Exchange web page advertising Palden Gyatzo spews out the typical “Free Tibet” confusion: “In 1959, Palden was jailed along with thousands of other religious.” The Dalai Lama himself admits he was not accused of religion but of activity in connection to a spy and a violent uprising in Tibet. Of course, there are going to be thousands of people in jail in a country as large as China who happen to be religious. However, religion has nothing to do with Palden Gyatzo’s case. The highest spiritual authority, (maybe second highest if you count the Dalai Lama as first) the Panchen Lama was in harmony and peace with the Maoist government in Beijing in 1959 when Palden Gyatzo took a wrong turn. The Dalai Lama himself was busy calling off demonstrations that people like Palden Gyatzo pushed too far and too violently. Unless the Panchen Lama was not Buddhist, people should not say Palden Gyatzo went to prison for his religion. If people want to dispute whether or not Gyen Riggzin Tenpa was a spy, that’s one thing, but turning Palden Gyatzo into a religious prisoner is an example of lying propaganda.

Palden Gyatzo himself admits he organized a large group of monks at one of the temples and that 100 English rifles were used by pro-slavery activists. We do not understand how he can be righteous about guarding one portion of the temple. There is also no factual conflict over who started the fighting in 1959: it was the reactionary Tibetans calling for China out of Tibet. The fighting started much earlier, and it is now evident in both Dalai Lama documents and U.S. Government reports that the CIA was already involved militarily.

While the Dalai Lama was writing poetry in homage to Mao Zedong in the mid-1950s, his elder brothers started contacts with the CIA at least as early as 1956. Those contacts included weapons support for rebellions in Tibet already under way. Describing the CIA, even the Dalai Lama’s brother running the contacts said it was “dirty business.” Yet despite these known facts and the Dalai Lama’s admission of them, the “Free Tibet” movement repeatedly characterizes the movement in Tibet as “non-violent” and “religious.”

When Palden Gyatzo arrived in the United States, he organized a march/ demonstration with none other than the same brother of the Dalai Lama Thubten Jigme Norbu connected with the CIA as his co-leader. As it turns out, Global Exchange took its description of Palden Gyatzo from an organization created by this same CIA-affiliated brother of the Dalai Lama, called the “International Tibetan Independence Movement.” There Palden Gyatzo is listed as one of four leaders of the “non-violent” and “religious” movement.

While almost all books published in the West are slavishly pro-Dalai Lama or
Abstaining voters look best to MIM

The unemployed made up the highest percentage of abstaining voters in French presidential elections with 46% of the unemployed not voting. 39% of students also did not vote. We do not have the figures broken down by race or nationality, but we suspect that the unemployed is where there might be an immigrant proletarian influence.

Also based on elections in the past, 2002 non-voters most likely came from what the experts call the “extreme left” or the environmentalists. For example, 41% of voters in 1997 elections who picked “extreme left” candidates for the legislature abstained in the 2002 presidential election. Overall, only 27% of French voters abstained.

The students and the lumpen, people who have considered “extreme left” parties in the past and people who are environmentalists—these are the people who abstained and who MIM regards as typical revolutionary material in the imperialist countries—a minority to be sure.

Note: http://www.ipsos.fr/CanalIpsos/poll/7549.asp

Neo-fascist Le Pen advances

Continued from page 4...

book, “The Black Book of Communism” now published with all its bone-headed mistakes by Harvard University Press and it’s no accident that this book became wildly popular in France at the same that Le Pen and the Trotskystz critics Stalin were on the rise in France. As before, it is the attack on communism that precedes the success of fascism.

The fact that Le Pen could come to power in France illustrates several interesting facts about imperialism, the conflicts which it necessarily generates, and the parasitical “workers” in the first world. Days before that Nepal’s Prime Minister Sher Bahadur Deuba is going to meet the U.S. military aid to Nepal.

Mao Zedong liberated China from semi-feudalism through People’s War. If liberating Nepal from semi-feudalism through People’s War is not Maoist, then nothing is.

Notes:
2.  http://www.cpnuml.org/pressreleases.html#14 is an example of the work of those calling themselves “communist” in Nepal

What questions do YOU have?

Wasn’t Mao a butcher? Why do you spell it “Amerika”? Shouldn’t you try non-violence first? What is internationalism? Isn’t hating white people reverse racism? Why don’t you leftists work together? Why don’t you tone it down? What is a cardinal principle? What is your copyright policy?

Go to http://www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/faq and get real answers to these and other questions.
Chirac wins big election victory in France

The President of France Jacques Chirac won re-election on May 5th by an 82% to 18% margin over fascist Jean-Marie Le Pen. Analysis of voters showed that so-called workers gave Le Pen his highest support with 31%. The liberal professions and people with higher educations gave Le Pen the least votes—9%. Men gave 26% of their votes to Le Pen and women only 11%.

Overall, the results mean that the French people support bourgeois democracy, because only about one more percent of voters turned out for Le Pen in the second round. Had Le Pen gained 30% of the vote, many analysts would have concluded that there was a long-existing reservoir of opposition to bourgeois democracy, people ready for fascism. The best news was that students did not support Le Pen as much as they had in the first round. Only 2% of students voted for Le Pen in the second round. Also the unemployed cut back their support for Le Pen from 38 to 11%. The surveys for the two figures regarding the unemployed had over 4000 and 2000 respondents respectively and unemployment runs at about 9% in France. If we presume there was not some kind of bungling by the bourgeois pollsters in their counting, it gives support to the idea that at least students and unemployed people are “protest” voters. Once they “protested” and put Le Pen into the run-off for May 5th, other social groups in France turned to give Le Pen their votes, the “workers” being most steady in doing.

Letters

Continued from page 2... hang u [sic] with the fre [sic] people there. But get out of the US.

MC206 responds: “Little Boy” (dropped on Hiroshima on August 6, 1945) and “Fat Boy” (dropped on Nagasaki on August 9) killed over 200,000 civilians, and wounded and poisoned many many more. This writer implies that the bombings were necessary to force Japan to surrender, but there is clear evidence that the American government knew that Japan was planning to surrender as soon as the US SSR declared war on Japan—which was scheduled to happen several days after the bombings.1)

The United States dropped the bombs anyway to send a clear message: don’t fuck with us or this is what you’ll get. This brutality and arrogance will actually help bring about America’s downfall, by isolating the United States from the peoples of the world and stoking the fires of resistance to American imperialism. As Chairman Mao liked to say, the American imperialists “are lifting a rock in order to drop it on their feet.” The letter writer should ponder the September 11 attacks and reconsider his “not while I’m alive” bluster, lest it become self-fulfilling prophecy.

And we never said the Bataan Death March and the Holocaust weren’t atrocities. They were terribly predictable atrocities under a system which thrives on war and genocide: capitalism. As for the “free country” crap: the United States has been the world’s leading prison-state per capita for the last 25 years.2) People like this letter writer seem to live in a fantasy Orwellian world where freedom is imprisonment.


Global Exchange honors CIA-tied colleague

Continued from page 7...

In other words, the most ardent defenders of slavery and serfdom went to prison 50 years ago, after rebelling to defend a system like the one that made Tashi Tsering a sex-toy for a monk. These reactionary activists were too radically reactionary even for the Panchen Lama and the Dalai Lama at the time, but after passage of 50 years of time, these people are now considered to be “Venerable” to the lightly read and historically nonchalant “human-rights” activists, John Birchers and petty-bourgeois non-profits like “Global Exchange.”

Unlike Palden Gyatso, the Panchen Lama out of prison and lo-and-behold they are “Venerable” to the lightly read and historically nonchalant “human-rights” activists, John Birchers and petty-bourgeois non-profits like “Global Exchange.”

and unemployed people, it seems likely that Le Pen will not be able to mount street battles of the Nazi kind any time soon—despite his “worker” support.

Amongst all voters who voted for Le Pen’s whether we know it or not. For this reason, MIM spells it out that people seeking a majority of Chirac’s and Le Pen’s voters are sell-outs to proletarian internationalism.

Note: http://www.ipsos.fr/CanalIpsos/ poll/7553.asp

Note: http://www.globalexchange.org/education/ speakers/PaldenGyatso.html

Under Lock & Key
News from Prisons & Prisoners

U.S. Prisons, making outlaws of inmates

Greetings comrades,

I am a revolutionary who’s been back out here almost one year. Since I’ve been on this side of the colony, I’ve had odd menial labor jobs, which I’m sure you know don’t pay much. No matter how many applications I fill out, this is the only kind of work I’ve been able to get. I’m currently living with my mother and her husband, who can’t seem to understand why I can’t get and keep a decent job.

There’s a ban in Illinois now that bars “ex-felons” from working in the field of 56 jobs, and those are mostly skilled positions. So even if brothers/sisters get educated inside the micro-colonies, they’re still run up against the barrier. This is along with other obstacles and temptations that are bound to push them back on the block: paper chasin’ and living the lie of an outlaw. Which is what the state of imperialism wants anyway. Crime pays for them, not for us — the lumpen and proletariat.

—a prisoner in Illinois, 21 April, 2002

Illinois DOC: Stop the attack on dreadlocked prisoners

I send my greeting to the brothers and sisters who are a part of the struggle. I write from the confinement of a cage, in my quest to expose the injustices and inhumane treatment of African prisoners upon my transfer from a Northern district facility (Stateville) to the Southern district of Illinois and Menard Correctional Center. I was placed in segregation behind a steel door with poor ventilation, denied my fan and, can you imagine not being able to take a shower and given a cellie. I am denied all movement outside my cell. Doctor call line, showers, yard and visits.

Officials at this facility told me that my dreadlocks pose a potential threat to the security of this facility and I have been placed in segregation since my arrival in January. I have filed a number of grievances, due to the fact that dreadlocks are an essential tenet of my religious beliefs as a Rastafarian.

There are a small number of African prisoners in the Illinois Department of Corrections with dreadlocks. Most of them are in Stateville, where they are not being oppressed for the exercise of their religious or cultural practices in the wearing of locks. Menard has traditionally shown no respect or cultural practices in the wearing of locks. They threatened to attack every prisoner with dreadlocks in like fashion.

I wrote these articles while I was incarcerated at Hill Correctional Center, in Galesburg, IL. Our struggle to end the attacks and terror seemed successful until the month of November, 2001. A Black man with dreadlocks was scheduled to transfer out of Hill. But the racist administration led by Warden Mark Pierson decided that they wouldn’t pass up the opportunity to dismember this brother’s dreadlocks (to them it is like a metaphorical castration). They promise to continue doing this until we stop them.

They are creating arbitrary and non-existent security issues to cloak their discontent and hate of Blacks who express their cultural pride and self-respect. To this day, these attacks against Blacks with dreadlocks are being perpetuated at Hill C.C.

Back in 2001, I had an opportunity to question Warden Mark Pierson about the legality of these attacks. He stated: “A law does not exist that says we cannot [attack Black prisoners and forcibly cut their dreadlocks].” Pierson’s statement sounds much like the 1853 Dred Scott opinion that: “a black man has no rights that a white man is bound to respect.” The dignity and rights of Blacks can be abused and neglected so long as no one is bound by any written law to protect them.

Because of my efforts to expose the racists at Hill, I was able to transfer to another facility where they treat me, and have not threatened me with dreadlocks. However, after I was transferred here to Jacksonville Correctional Center, another cadre of racists within this administration began the attacks where the ones at Hill left off.

Jacksonville’s Warden, Raymond Bensko, maintains that he will be enforcing haircuts on individuals with “multiple parts” (i.e., braids and dreadlocks). I even witnessed Mr. Bensko threatening a Black man who sports braids and dreadlocks. I even witnessed Mr. Bensko threatening a Black man who sports braids and dreadlocks (who maintains that he will be enforcing haircuts on individuals with “multiple parts” (i.e., braids and dreadlocks)). I even witnessed Mr. Bensko threaten a Black man who sports braids and dreadlocks (who maintains that he will be enforcing haircuts on individuals with “multiple parts” (i.e., braids and dreadlocks)).

Inhumane treatment of African prisoners upon the exercise of their religious beliefs as a Rastafarian.
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People of the world:

There is a critical cycle of hate and prejudice being committed under the shield of law, against Blacks who are incarcerated in the Illinois Department of Corrections. In September and November, 2001, I exposed a cadre of racist prison officials in The Chicago Defender. These officials dressed up in orange suits and armed themselves with billy clubs while forcefully cutting the hair of Black men with dreadlocks. They threatened to attack every prisoner with dreadlocks in like fashion.

I wrote these articles while I was incarcerated at Hill Correctional Center, in Galesburg, IL. Our struggle to end the attacks and terror seemed successful until the month of November, 2001. A Black man with dreadlocks was scheduled to transfer out of Hill. But the racist administration led by Warden Mark Pierson decided that they wouldn’t pass up the opportunity to dismember this brother’s dreadlocks (to them it is like a metaphorical castration). They promise to continue doing this until we stop them.

They are creating arbitrary and non-existent security issues to cloak their discontent and hate of Blacks who express their cultural pride and self-respect. To this day, these attacks against Blacks with dreadlocks are being perpetuated at Hill C.C.

Back in 2001, I had an opportunity to question Warden Mark Pierson about the legality of these attacks. He stated: “A law does not exist that says we cannot [attack Black prisoners and forcibly cut their dreadlocks].” Pierson’s statement sounds much like the 1853 Dred Scott opinion that: “a black man has no rights that a white man is bound to respect.” The dignity and rights of Blacks can be abused and neglected so long as no one is bound by any written law to protect them.

Because of my efforts to expose the racists at Hill, I was able to transfer to another facility where they treat me, and have not threatened me with dreadlocks. However, after I was transferred here to Jacksonville Correctional Center, another cadre of racists within this administration began the attacks where the ones at Hill left off.

Jacksonville’s Warden, Raymond Bensko, maintains that he will be enforcing haircuts on individuals with “multiple parts” (i.e., braids and dreadlocks). I even witnessed Mr. Bensko threaten a Black man who sports his hair in a long ponytail, a very nubian look. Bensko said this man is going to have problems and will have to cut his hair. He also said that he has had a current incident with a prisoner with dreadlocks. No whites have been attacked, approached, accosted or threatened about their hair.

So why is it that they only target Blacks with dreadlocks now? We have worn braids and dreadlocks in the IDOC for decades. These racists cannot cite a single incident in which dreadlocks or braids have presented anyone with any danger or disrupted anyone’s security. The only security that is being threatened is the insecurity of white racists who fear that strong, conscious Black men threaten their survival. The only safety at risk is mine and that of others like me who have dreadlocks and are under attack.

I urge everyone to call and write to the people listed below. Let these people know that you are not in agreement with the conduct of these prison officials and that this situation should immediately change for the preservation of justice.

—a prisoner in Illinois, 22 February, 2002

Hunger strike in New Jersey

Dear MIM,

I would like to make you guys aware of a situation that occurred here on the gang unit at Northern State Prison in New Jersey on April 17, 2002. A few prisoners decided on that day to start a food strike due to the conditions and negligence that the institution have failed to provide. Once the food strike took place for that day, we finally got the attention of the administration. As they came around to speak to mostly every individual who decided to stop them, I could hear that the inmates were arguing for things like showers, food, recreation, linen and law library. The administration claimed they had no idea that we were being denied such things.

—I on Phase I, I’ve been on that level since July because I refuse to sign any document and refuse to submit to this system. Phase I does not take showers every day and this the administrator promised to give us. As the administration came to my door to speak to me, to express themselves. At least two of the bourgeoisie.

MIM does not advocate that all prisoners go free today; we have a more effective program for fighting crime as was demonstrated in China prior to the restoration of capitalism there in 1976. We say that all prisoners are seeking to make themselves (ourselves) better people while in prison.

—a prisoner in Illinois, 2 April, 2002

MIM on Prisons & Prisoners

MIM seeks to build public opinion against America’s criminal injustice system, and to eventually replace the bourgeois injustice system with proletarian justice. The bourgeois injustice system imprisons and executes a disproportionately large and growing number of oppressed people while letting the biggest mass murderers — the imperialists and their lackeys — roam free. Imperialism is not opposed to murder or theft, it only insists that these crimes be committed in the interests of the bourgeoisie.

“All U.S. citizens are criminals—accomplices and accessories to the crimes of U.S. oppression globally until the day U.S. imperialism is overcome. All U.S. citizens should start from the point of view that they are reforming criminals.”

MIM does not advocate that all prisoners go free today; we have a more effective program for fighting crime as was demonstrated in China prior to the restoration of capitalism there in 1976. We say that all prisoners are political prisoners because under the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, all imprisonment is substantively political. It is our responsibility to exert revolutionary leadership and conduct political agitation and organization among prisoners whose material conditions make them an overwhelmingly revolutionary group. Some prisoners should and will work on self-criticism under a future dictatorship of the proletariat in those cases in which prisoners really did do something wrong by proletarian standards.
me. I started to complain not only of the things that are a must under the inmate handbook but of the contradictions of this so-called gang unit.

Around dinner time, many inmates from other organizations started to fall and submit and started to get food and showers. This right here threw me off. So I decided to call a tier meeting during the night shift with all organization on all three floors. As I started the meeting I told everyone that we shouldn’t be content with a shower every day, especially when a shower is a must. I stated when something is started I like to finish it and go all the way. I spoke to them about the fact that they were not willing to start another hunger strike to stop all movement and most importantly for Phase II and III to stop programming. Now is the time for us to make things happen because at this moment they are re-doing the rules and regulation of this program. I tried to make them aware that whatever we are doing is not just trying to make this program change its policy. But they were not willing and felt content with a shower everyday.

Before I ended that meeting I specifically told them that we cannot claim victory, especially when we still carry this label, visto mejor claimed when we all walk out of here without anymore labels and we won’t have to worry about being targeted anymore. One more thing I told them that the administration can say anything out of their mouths but if it isn’t on paper then it does not mean shit.

As I predicted, it is April 22 and the food is still coming cold, showers for Phase I have gone back to every other day, no cleaning supplies what so ever for these cells. I had written a couple of demands in case everyone was willing to sacrifice. They are printed below.

**Platform for Demands**

1. We want showers for Phase I everyday.
2. We want the food to be hot upon arrival.
3. We want cleaning materials.
4. We want access to the law library and paralegal assistance when requested. We want a list to be made by the tier sergeant or housing officer so we can understand as less as possible. We want to utilize the law library at least 3 days out of the week and we want the handcuffs removed while in the law library.
5. We want recreation as scheduled. We want to utilize the regular yards, we don’t want to be caged up. There should be no reason for us to be caged up when we can utilize the regular yard.
6. We want the medical department to take every call that’s made seriously and come as soon as an issue is brought to their attention.
7. We want a different document to be made concerning the completion of the program, stating that we no longer are affiliated with any organizations. This will end the targeting of individuals who have completed the program and go to other prisons.
8. We want visits every week. Not only from our immediate family members but from anyone.
9. STGMU (gang unit) uniforms should not be a must to be worn when going to recreation. STGMU members should no longer be handcuffed from the back.
10. We want rubber mats to be used outside of the showers, to avoid any slip or fall caused by puddles.
11. We want new linen every month.
12. We want all ventilations from each cell to be clean that each individual in each cell will be able to use. There are 52 inmates in each floor which is too many for 1 phone.

**Corcoran SHU: massive search and seizure**

On February 14, 2002 there was a sweeping search of the prison. Almost all prisoner property was confiscated including important legal documents from some prisoners, myself included. The purpose of this massive search was to “bring you prisoners up to code.” The only personal property admitted in the SHU is one personal book, a magazine and six envelopes of legal property. In a move to bring a bit of order to the chaotic school program regardless of our age for which we must be graded in school.

13. We don’t want those who refuse to enter Phase II to be segregated from this regular unit.
14. We want tier recreation as previously provided to Phase II and III.
15. We want a literature cart to pass around every month.
16. We want to be able to participate any school program regardless of our age for which we must be graded in school.
17. We don’t want those who refuse to enter Phase II to be segregated from this regular unit.
18. We want canteen to arrive the following week prior to the submission of our slips.
19. We want each floor to be provided with a phone so that those in a catwalk will be able to use the phone.
20. We want a different document to be made by puddles.
21. We want cleaning materials.
22. We want recreation as scheduled. We want to utilize the regular yards, we don’t want to be caged up. There should be no reason for us to be caged up when we can utilize the regular yard.
23. We want the medical department to take every call that’s made seriously and come as soon as an issue is brought to their attention.
24. We want a different document to be made concerning the completion of the program, stating that we no longer are affiliated with any organizations. This will end the targeting of individuals who have completed the program and go to other prisons.
25. We want visits every week. Not only from our immediate family members but from anyone.
26. STGMU (gang unit) uniforms should not be a must to be worn when going to recreation. STGMU members should no longer be handcuffed from the back.
27. We want rubber mats to be used outside of the showers, to avoid any slip or fall caused by puddles.
28. We want new linen every month.
29. We want all ventilations from each cell to be clean that each individual in each cell will be able to use. There are 52 inmates in each floor which is too many for 1 phone.

**Facts on U$ imprisonment**

The facts about imprisonment in the United States are that the world’s leading prison-state per capita for the last 25 years, with a brief exception during Boris Yeltsin’s declaration of a state of emergency.(1)

These facts that were talking about a state that imprisoned more people per capita. In supposedly “hard-line” Bulgaria of the Soviet bloc of the 1980s, the imprisonment rate was less than half that of the United States.(2,3)

To find a comparison with U$. imprisonment of Black people, there is no statistic in any country that compares including apartheid South Africa of the era before Mandela was president. The last situation remotely comparable to the situation today was under Stalin during war time. The majority of prisoners are non-violent offenders(4) and the U.S. Government now holds about a half million more prisoners than China; even though China is four times our population.(5)

### What is internationalism?

From the MIM “Frequently Asked Questions” page, [http://www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/jq](http://www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/jq)

Internationalism is the ethical belief or scientific approach in which peoples of different nations are held to or assumed to be equal. Internationalism is opposed to racism and national chauvinism. The Maoists believe the nationalism of nations experiencing oppression of imperialism is “applied internationalism.” We oppose nationalism of oppressed nations directed at other oppressed nations, because the economic content of such nationalism is intra-proletarian conflict. We seek a united front of oppressed nations led by the international proletariat against imperialism. “I must argue, not from the point of view of ‘my’ country (for that is the argument of a wretched, stupid, petty-bourgeois national who does not realize that he is only a playing in the hands of the imperialist bourgeoisie), but from the point of view of my share in the preparation, in the propaganda, and in the acceleration of the world proletarian revolution, that is what internationalism means, and that is the duty of the internationalist, of the revolutionary worker, of the genuine Socialist.”


---

2. Ibid., 1992 report
4. Figure of 51.2 percent for state prisoners there for non-violent offenses. Abstract of the United States 1993, p. 211.
La ineptitud de la clase dominante yanqui pone en peligro a todo el mundo: el pueblo no debe aguantar más las mismas excusas de siempre

Traducido por un preso de Tejas y Células de Estudio para la Liberación de Aztlán y América Latina.

Se toma uno día pero finalmente una fuente de información imperialista dijo que la metodología vaquera de los yanquis puede entregar armas nucleares a nuevos usuarios en Pakistán. Al mismo tiempo que Bush dijo que Ben Laden se busca vivo o muerto y descaradamente decía que tomó la idea del viejo oeste, el periódico Los Angeles Times publicó una pieza con algo de lógica el día 18 de Septiembre: “El Comodín Nuclear de Pakistán” por Paul Richter.

Un artículo señaló que puede haber 30 armas nucleares en Pakistán. No ayuda para nada a la estabilidad en Pakistán y mundial el llamado de Bush para una “guerra” prolongada contra un enemigo disperso que acabará con “los países.” Todos los portavoces ya han dicho que las fuerzas pro-Talibanes en Pakistán pueden derrocar al gobierno Pakistán. Es una gran irresponsabilidad por parte de los gobernantes que le dan forma a la opinión pública por medio de sus portavoces el hecho de que sólo el Los Angeles Times a relacionado la posible derrota del gobierno de Pakistán con las armas nucleares. Es decir que el gobierno yanqui ya ha provocado algunos musulmanes hacia la guerra y ahora juega con la posibilidad de que en medio de la guerra en contra de EE.UU., las armas nucleares de Pakistán lleguen a manos de las fuerzas pro-Talibanes. Cómo dijo la revista Time, esto viene de parte del mismo gobierno yanqui que sabe que Osama ben Laden intentó comprar armas nucleares rusas en los años 90. Por todo lo anterior, desde el punto de vista de la definición yanqui de “los buenos” y “los malos”, de nuevo el gobierno yanqui juega con nuestras vidas, y cuando trata de armas químicas y nucleares no sólo se ponen en peligro a vidas yanquis.

El ex-funcionario del gobierno yanqui y ayudante de la secretaduria de la seguridad nacional, Ivo Daalder ya admitió que se trata de una “situación de pesadilla” que merece la mayor atención. Los especialistas académicos en esta área han dicho que no pueden dormir gracias a la cuestión de las armas nucleares en Pakistán.

Hasta el reverendo Pat Robertson demostró más razon y dijo que los “terroristas” ya tienen armas nucleares. La cuestión se presenta: Si este predicador de Yale entiende la situación, ¿porqué sigue mintiéndole al público sobre los riesgos el gobierno yanqui? Un líder responsable enfrentaría al pueblo y diría que en el año 2001, el poder destructivo y militar de cualquier persona supera todo lo que se podía imaginar en la historia anterior, de tal modo debe que puede ser un golpe de estado o unos cuantos terroristas quienes ocasionen una guerra nuclear.

Mientras tanto, un oficial yanqui no identificado dijo que si existía un riesgo pero lo único que pudo decir a favor del gobierno fue que ese riesgo no se debería “exagerar”. El MIIM se pregunta ¿porqué les parece aceptable hasta el menor riesgo en este caso a los líderes yanquis? Hay dos razones por cuales juegan con las vidas de otras gentes. En el primer lugar arriesgan sus propias vidas porque pueden sacarle ganancias. En segundo lugar es que esta gente son iguales que los locos exaltados de Ohio quienes condujeron su auto a alta velocidad (130 km/h) y lo estallaron contra una mezquita el día 17 de Septiembre.

Lo que ocurrió al World Trade Center puede repetirse donde sea. La incapacidad de lograr la paz mundial le otorga a todos los ciudadanos del mundo el derecho a pedir respuestas.

William R. Arkin, escritor de espionaje militar y reportero del Washington Post hizo lo correcto cuando dijo en su artículo el día 16 de Septiembre titulado “La Primera Guerra del Siglo”: “Señor presidente, debemos preguntar: ¿De verdad ha protegido al pueblo Americano esta información? Es decir, ¿Del modo en que el opera el viejo sistema? Y además: Mientras nos preparamos para luchar contra este enemigo, ¿deberemos comportarnos del mismo modo de siempre?”

Estos líderes que se preocupan por la “seguridad” con sus teorías fracasadas, los mismos quienes dijeron que la Unión Soviética nunca cabría dentro de sí mismo si no por fuera porque dentro de si era “autoritaria” –estos mismos líderes ponen en peligro a todo el mundo y nadie debería aguantarlo. Ahora dicen que ellos fracasaron porque el pueblo no les tuvo suficiente confianza, y por lo tanto deben realizar sus maniobras militares de formas más secretas que antes y necesitan más vigilancia sobre toda la población y deben gastar más dinero haciendo todo esto. Arkin le pegó la cola al burro cuando dijo: “Cuando sugieren que rinden nuestros derechos fundamentales debemos acordarnos que la sugerencia nace de las mismas instituciones que se han probado incapaces de realizar su función básica, el proteger a sus ciudadanos”.

¡Campaña para aumentar la circulación de MIM Notes! Nueva York, El Paso, Chapel Hill/Greensboro Carolina del Norte, Madison Wisconsin, Champaign/ Urbana Illinois: ¡No deben de recibir la cantidad de MIM Notes que les corresponde!

Desde el comienzo de la “guerra contra el terrorismo” la circulación de MIM Notes ha aumentado rápidamente. El hecho no es sorprendente: MIM Notes es un periódico gratis e independiente. Claro, en estos tiempos hay más patriotas impulsivos que se tragan todo lo que dice Bush, y se les pasa la oportunidad de leer MIM Notes. Pero hay otros patriotas e internacionales que se dan cuenta de que ahora periódicos como MIM Notes pueden desenmascarar el gran espectáculo que el Tio Sam está poniendo a su favor.

Claro, Uds. han visto MIM Notes en las calles pero MIM Notes requiere que las masas hagan dos cosas fáciles: 1) sostener el periódico (pagar por su imprenta y envío) y 2) distribuir el periódico!

Buscamos a personas para concretar los avances en la circulación por todo el país y estamos dispuestos a dialogar con gentes fuera del país para extender la distribución al extranjero. Dentro de las fronteras de EE.UU., ¡la ciudad de Nueva York puede mejorar su distribución! Y todos los demás también deben tomar un paso adelante para distribuir en su área porque Uds. pueden garantizar que se cumpla el trabajo.

El MIM busca patrocinadores y distribuidores. Patrocinadores pagan por el periódico (pagar por su imprenta y envío) y después de MIM Notes! Nueva York, El Paso, Urbana Illinois: ¡No dejen de recibir la cantidad de MIM Notes que les corresponde!

Si Uds. conocen algún lugar bueno para la distribución les sugerimos empezar con 200 ejemplares y avanzar desde ahí. Si no están dispuestos a distribuir, sólo méndese dinero. Si no quiere Ud. pagar, solicite ejemplares después de demostrarle al partido de una manera u otra que de veras está Ud. interesado (¡las palabras no valen!). Los que juntan el dinero para distribuir 900 ejemplares de cada edición son los que avanzan al partido.

Dirijan grullas por tales anónimos hechos a la orden de “MIM”:
MIM, Atención: Rama de Cambridge, P.O. Box 400559, Cambridge, MA 02140.
Para más información, o para dirigir sus preguntas: mim@mim.org.