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IMPERIAL OVERSTRETCH SPURS BUSH WITHDRAWAL PLAN

BOSTON
July 30, 2004

Boston Police arrested a MIM Notes distributor at Roxbury Community College during an event called the “Progressive Democrats Convention” on July 29th. Howard Dean and Dennis Kucinich were among the main attractions as speakers. The police backed Roxbury Community College’s claim that they are “private property” where MIM Notes cannot distribute on their outdoor property.

Activists with Kucinich had bombarded the public including the MIM table with flyers for their event all week. In fact, the Kucinich activists were the best distributed of any activists seen on the streets this DNC week, not that we think it is all good since there should have been more radical activists around.

Some Greens start ‘Greens for Kerry’ Cobb supporters make themselves liars

BOSTON
July 27, 2004

The Greens’ Nader/David Cobb debacle is the fate awaiting all parties that allow themselves to become subverted in the name of “ideological diversity” and “freedom of expression” within a party. Corporations know how to use that breathing room to spread the oh-so underrepresented corporate point of view.

In the days leading up to the Democratic National Convention and during the DNC, MIM has struggled mightily to do the research to bring the truth to our readers about the foggy Greens and their “decentralized” allegedly “democratic” procedures. To do this we attended a speech by Ralph Nader and have spoken with several Green activists. It is now clear that there is a ton of lying going on in Green circles about Nader, Cobb and Kerry.

In the fog of that sort of politics, the corporations easily carry the day. They are the status quo after all, and it is incumbent on challengers to offer a clear alternative to overcome the status quo. Confusion, fuzz, vacillation and cross-cancellation only benefit the status quo.

The story goes back to 2000 and the Ralph Nader campaign on the Green ticket for president. Reading between the lines in Nader’s book, we’d say it was Kerry’s plan would decrease the "freedom of expression" within the party. Corporations know how to use that breathing room to spread the oh-so underrepresented corporate point of view.

More coverage of the DNC and anti-DNC protests inside!

Reactionary Amerikan veterans criticize Kerry, whine for more war; MIM talks to the Falun Gong; thousands march in pre-DNC anti-war rally; and more!

On the web: www.etext.org/Politics/MIM

KERRY TAKES “MORE MILITARISTIC THAN THOU” POSITION

In August President Bush announced a plan to withdraw tens of thousands of US troops currently stationed in other countries including Germany and Korea. Bush said this will enable the US military to respond more quickly to threats around the world. He also said this will allow the troops to remain close to family and friends longer.

While MIM doubts that either of these points will prove true, Bush’s announcement shows that a section of the ruling class is worried about imperial overstretch. This is a matter of both having too few troops to do the messy work the imperialists need done and working those troops too hard and too long. As we’ve reported in earlier issues of MIM Notes, the US military has extended the tours of thousands of troops in Iraq—who, along with troops stationed in Afghanistan, are not affected by Bush’s proposed withdrawal—hurting morale and lowering re-enlistment rates.

We can imagine the Kerry campaign secretly thanked Bush for the opportunity to steal some militaristic thunder. Kerry foreign policy advisor and former assistant secretary of state Richard Holbrooke immediately hit the radio and television talk show circuit, arguing Bush’s plan would decrease the American military’s readiness. Bases in Europe were needed to shuttle troops and supplies to the Middle East, he said; the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have already strained Amerikan logistics to the breaking point. He also stoked up the anti-Bush propaganda fires in order to justify the presence of US troops in south Korea. (Ironically, the very day Holbrooke started his media blitz thousands of students marched in the south Korean capital demanding US troops leave Korean soil.)

Holbrooke also said that cutting troop strength abroad would weaken America’s alliances—even though a recent poll (1) found more Germans supported US troop reductions there than opposed reductions (to say nothing of the Koreans). Some
Letters
Bush’s reaction to Iraqi prisoner abuse is hypocritical

I am overwhelmed at hearing about the abuse of Iraqi prisoners, as if the abuse is isolated to only Iraqi prisoners.

The U.S. government is basically only concerned about diplomatic relations with Arab countries over U.S. military personnel’s abuse of prisoners. Please don’t get me wrong. I am sickened from what I heard happened.

President Bush has stated that the Iraqi prisoners abuse does not reflect the ways of a democracy. American prisoners are treated with just as much inhumanity and abuse. The only reason that the abuse of prisoners in Iraq is creating such controversy is because of the graphic photos.

President Bush really doesn’t care about the human rights violations! If he did, he would put an end to the human rights violations in the prisons in the United States. The human rights arm of the United Nations repeatedly cites American prisons for abuses, and tortures.

Many of the U.S. military personnel guarding Iraqi prisoners were employed as prison guards in the United States, before being deployed to Iraq. What they are doing to Iraqi prisoners, is basically what is taught to them right here in America in our state prisons. If they would have been caught doing the same things to U.S. prisoners, they would have been patted on the back for doing their job.

—A Washington prisoner, August, 2004

The Imperial States are a global threat

There was a lot of talk about what this week’s handover of “sovereignty” to Iraq meant. For the imperialists, it means another poorer state to die and slave for them.

Half a world away, there’s another “handover” from the US imperialists to the UN capitalists forces. Again, the future is unclear. This time we ask can the Haitians build a proletarian government that meets their basic needs and above all, offers security to them instead of the US?

The US poses a threat [to the people of Iraq and Haiti]. Not [only] from adversarial power and weaponry, but from its inability to control what happens in its own prisons, and states. Prime example: the Abu Ghraib incident. The imperialists couldn’t even control their own employees. They remain a threat, in themselves and in Iraq and elsewhere—places like Afghanistan, Somalia, Liberia.

There is a broad band of imperialist states that can harbor “terrorists” and “drug trafficfickers,” spark humanitarian disasters, and undermine global economic growth. They can be an immense and powerful state like Texas, where uncontrollled, corruption, conflict, chaos and communal violence by the bourgeoisie and agencies help turn states, instead of a powerhouse for the proletariat, just look at president Snake Bushit - he came from that devilish pit of breeding.

But Americans “don’t do the foresight thing.” They pay a terribly high price for their actions of oppression against the masses: in an overextended military, drugs in our inner cities, preventable humanitarian tragedies, and ultimately the loss of lives as “collateral damage.”

—A California prisoner

MIM comments: This writer raises a good point. Not only do the Americans consciously apply a double standard to international events—according to themselves alone the right to preemptive war while denying other countries even the right to arm themselves in response to perceived threats from the United States—but the political reality of capitalism make it impossible for them to crack down on those Americans who would profit from war. The representatives of the capitalist class in Washington DC may decide its best to ban certain narcotics or the manufacture or export of certain weapons. But within capitalism they cannot prevent some opportunist from taking the risk, breaking the law and selling drugs, guns or bio-warfare agents on the black market.

It’s analogous to the case of “Jack” Idema, the American mercenary accused of torturing Afghan men and leading out his own private war in Afghanistan. Even if the United States was not giving Idema direct orders, it created an atmosphere in Afghanistan that made an Idema inevitable. The wild west atmosphere—complete with bounties for Osama Bin Laden, dead or alive—suited their interests. They cannot disown Idema; unintended or not, he is there creation.
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What is MIM?
The Maoist Internationalist Movement (MIM) is the collection of existing or emerging Maoist internationalist parties in the English-speaking imperialist countries and their English-speaking internal semi-colonies, as well as the existing or emerging Maoist Internationalist parties in Belgium, France and Quebec and the existing or emerging Spanish-speaking Maoist Internationalist parties of Aztlán, Puerto Rico and other territories of the U.S. Empire.

MIM Notes is the newspaper of MIM. Notas Rojas is the newspaper of the Spanish-speaking internal semi-colonies, as well as the existing or emerging Maoist Internationalist parties or emerging parties of MIM. MIM upholds the revolutionary communist ideology of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism and is an internationalist organization that works from the vantage point of the Third World proletariat. MIM struggles to end the oppression of all groups over other groups: classes, genders, nations. MIM knows this is only possibly by building public opinion to seize power through armed struggle. Revolution is a reality for North America as the military becomes over-extended in the government’s attempts to maintain world hegemony. MIM differs from other communist parties on three main questions: (1) MIM holds that after the proletariat seizes power in socialist revolution, the potential exists for capitalist restoration under the leadership of a new bourgeoisie within the communist party itself. In the case of the USSR, the bourgeoisie seized power after the death of Stalin in 1953; in China, it was after Mao’s death and the overthrow of the “Gang of Four” in 1976. (2) MIM upholds the Chinese Cultural Revolution as the farthest advance of communism in human history. (3) As Marx, Engels and Lenin formulated and MIM has reiterated through materialist analysis, imperialism extracts super-profits from the Third World and in part uses this wealth to buy off whole populations of oppressor nation so-called workers. These so-called workers bought off by imperialism form a new petty-bourgeoisie called the labor aristocracy. These classes are not the principal vehicles to advance MIM within those countries because their standards of living depend on imperialism. At this time, imperialist super-profits create this situation in the Canada, Quebec, the United States, England, France, Belgium, Germany, Japan, Italy, Switzerland, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Israel, Sweden and Denmark. MIM accepts people as members who agree on these basic principles and accept democratic centralism, the system of majority rule, on other questions of party line.

“The theory of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin is universally applicable. We should regard it not as dogma, but as a guide to action. Studying it is not merely a matter of learning terms and phrases, but of learning Marxism-Leninism as the science of revolution.”

PayPal censors Leonard Peltier support groups

June 2004

The Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP) has decided, for the third time, to not mail radical literature to prisoners. Leonard Peltier, political prisoner and member of the American Indian Movement (AIM), has been reluctant to accept the mailings. For the last several years, the BOP has been forcing mailings of radical literature on prisoners in an attempt to force them to accept this mail. The prisoners themselves are thus denied the freedom to choose what they receive, and the government is thus prevented from manipulating the prisoners through propaganda.

PayPal censors Leonard Peltier support groups

Unfortunately, it is literature with a purpose. We want to read radical literature in prison. Some gains made; many abuses continue

The U.S. government, through the Federal Bureau of Prisons (BOP), has decided, for the third time, to not mail radical literature to prisoners. Leonard Peltier, political prisoner and member of the American Indian Movement (AIM), has been reluctant to accept the mailings. For the last several years, the BOP has been forcing mailings of radical literature on prisoners in an attempt to force them to accept this mail. The prisoners themselves are thus denied the freedom to choose what they receive, and the government is thus prevented from manipulating the prisoners through propaganda.
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Some Greens start ‘Greens for Kerry’

Continued from page 1...

clear that Nader believed Greens had gone soft after the Bush election and were throwing up procedural roadblocks to a future Nader campaign—essentially because as MIM has said, too many Greens are just moonlighting Democrats. Because of this softness and Democratic Party attempts to bribe the Greens, Nader knew he would have to get on the ballot himself and could not count on the Greens to put themselves on the ballot—pathetic indeed for a party interested in the electoral process and which received as many votes as Nader did in 2000.

During the Democratic National Convention in Boston, MIM interviewed a Nader campaigner who contradicted what the Massachusetts Rainbow-Green Party said, namely that they endorse the Cobb campaign and not Kerry in states where the Bush/Kerry result is close. The Nader campaigner also believed that Cobb supporters are supporting Kerry. (wav format, 700kb)

An example is on the voteccob.org website. On June 25th, the Cobb admin for the website said, “Peter Camejo has said that David’s website tells people to ‘vote for Kerry.’ That phrase does not exist on this site.” Then as of July 27th, the second article on the home page says plainly “Vote Kerry and Cobb;” in reference to the strategy of voting for Cobb in most states while voting for Kerry in swing states. It goes to show how dishonest the Cobb campaign is. Anyone involved in politics much can see that this whole result was inevitable from the way the Greens went about their politics.

Purging parties leads to clarity and honesty. Not purging parties results in immediate duplicity as when corporate politicians take them over and claim they have not. These corporate politicians can easily form their own parties; yet the foolish Liberals believe they should allow all points of view in their party instead of purging those who disagree with their main points. The Greens should realize that there is no issue of “repression” yet when they do not hold state power. The corporate point of view is not underrepresented.

The Greens’ picking someone like Cobb—who the public has never heard of—is a dream-come-true for the corporate parties. It shows that even a pail of disaffected Democrats can do no better than to put someone forward that is unknown in a bourgeois electoral sense and also ambiguous in response to Kerry. MIM is not the only one to notice this. The mainstream press has also reported it.

Thanks to the lack of purging in the Green Party, there are now conflicting cross-currents.

1) We have the Democrats pushing for Kerry in the Green Party.

2) There are Greens who deny pushing for Kerry out of fear of legal repercussions via campaign laws.

3) There are Greens pissed off as hell that this all happened but who have to put up a solid front for the benefit of their party. They may even find themselves in the position of having to lie on behalf of their party’s maneuvers for Kerry.

A party should stand for something. That is how to encourage political participation in the imperialist countries. The clouded politics, lying and corporate maneuvers mean that the Green political spectrum has been disenfranchised by the Greens’ own organizational political line. People can dedicate their time and money to a Green party and have it end up supporting Kerry anyway. That is real disenfranchisement of the real Greens.

MIM opposes Kerry, Cobb and Nader. Yet we have self-interests in these contests. The lack of political clarity of Greens makes it harder for everyone else working outside the two main parties. It becomes impossible to draw political lessons from political activity when a party does not stand for anything to begin with. We cannot draw a contrast with Greens when the Greens themselves are all over the political map. Meanwhile, people such as our friends the imperialist-country pacifists have no party to go to.

On the other hand, MIM and its circles do benefit from these ugly and negative Green organizational experiences vicariously. From watching the Greens and seeing what happens without purges and also from seeing what the Democrats are willing to do to subvert their competitors we learn lessons of a universal nature. Anyone reading Nader’s book and hearing about his difficulties getting on the ballot who did not realize that the Greens became weak-kneed after Bush’s election in 2000—count yourself naive and politically inexperienced.

Note:

Nader supporter on how Greens wanted to start too late in 2004. (wav format, 460kb)
MIM’s report on the pre-DNC anti-war rally

BOSTON, July 25

Protestors marched past the Fleet Center, site of the Democratic National Convention (DNC) despite FBI and police harassment before the event and a police-state atmosphere that included the threat of random bag searches on public transportation. Organizers insisted on their right to march past the DNC and succeeded in securing a permit just hours before the scheduled start time. Police presence was heavy, but (from what we saw) police did not obstruct the permitted rally or march. We did not see any bags searched during the march, and many groups set up literature tables unhindered. MIM suspects some of the more draconian “security measures” that did not materialize were leaked to dissuade people from attending. Another reason to “dare to struggle”: the cops might be bluffing.

On the other hand, bags in the subway had already been searched and the actual DNC had not started yet. We saw plenty of police harassment and obstruction during the DNC (see the article this issue on the arrest of a MIM notes distributor).

The march passed by the Massachusetts State Hall, a beacon of American liberty that served as an observation post for cops with binoculars.

The march went by, but did not enter, the infamous “protest pen” set up by the City of Boston as a “free speech” area. This pen was surrounded on three sides by metal barricades topped by razor wire and covered by an abandoned rail line (seen here with police). Organizers sued to have the pen dismantled and a better site chosen, calling it an “internment camp.” A judge denied their request, at the same time noting, “One cannot conceive of what other elements you would put in a place to make space more of an affront to the idea of free expression than the designated demonstration zone.”

The overwhelming majority of protesters agreed that Democratic presidential candidate John Kerry was complicit with Bush in the war on Iraq. A speaker at the kick-off rally pointed out that Kerry has called for more U.S. troops in Iraq, increased funding for Special Forces, and believes the occupation of Iraq should continue for the rest of the decade. However, even though the “anybody but Bush” crowd stayed away from this march, there was still a range of political trends present. Electoral politics was by no means absent. Some marchers were DNC delegates, hoping to influence the Democrats’ program “from the outside.” Others supported third-party candidate Ralph Nader (see other articles in this issue about the Nader campaign).

MIM supporters passed out about 600 copies of MIM Notes. The response was generally encouraging, although a not insignificant proportion of protesters still dismissed the paper out of hand. Several said, “I’m not a Maoist, so I don’t want it.” Hey: If you’re not a Maoist, you should want it! (And you’re who we’re writing for. Only a miniscule portion of MIM Notes readers considers itself Maoist—or Communist, for that matter.)

As we’ve written before, a progressive outlook requires non-stop efforts at self-improvement. Those in the anti-war movement need to look around and see what is working and what isn’t. Pandering to the usual liberal electoral clapttrap is decidedly not working—last we checked U.S. troops still occupied Iraq and Afghanistan while the draft still looms on the near horizon.

This was arguably both the most interesting and most correct sign at the pre-DNC rally. Indeed, the Iraqi people’s resistance is the principal factor undermining support for the war among Americans and stretching the U.S. war machine towards its breaking point.

There is an interesting parallel between the trial of Saddam Hussein and the trial of Jack Idema, the “former” U.S. Green Beret charged with torture in Afghanistan. (The capture of Idema was the cover story of the August 1st issue of MIM Notes handed out at the march.) Both argue that they committed their crimes with the support of the United States. Although both are trying to exonerate themselves, the tribunals in Iraq and Afghanistan should investigate these links thoroughly. That would be in the best interests of the Iraqi and Afghan peoples and be sign of true sovereignty. We are skeptical that either tribunal will uncover full U.S. culpability in these cases, as they have been set up by U.S. puppet governments.

MIM NOTES DISTRIBUTOR ARRESTED AT THE DNC

Continued from page 1...

delegates and even a pair of pre-voting age kids carrying signs to repeal the “Patriot Act” and some others. We asked many of our interaction targets if they were aware Kerry had co-authored the “Patriot Act” and we asked them to tell us what they believe they can accomplish as individuals inside the Democratic Party that they can’t accomplish outside it.

The trouble started when the director of the center came outside to tell us we were on private property. We told him more than once that he had invited the public to his building, and not only that, with big-name speakers and that he was not entitled to apply usual rules concerning “private property” in that context. We explained further and repeatedly to the Boston Police that such a claim does not even fly in shopping malls, never mind publicly-funded colleges hosting multiple public figures. This was a classic case of wanting to “have it both ways.” If Roxbury Community College had wanted a private event, it should have organized it “invitation only.” Once the RCC invited the public, that public does not surrender any free speech rights on private grounds.

A red-tag delegate to the DNC had gone in the building and come out as she had promised to interview with MIM Notes. Just as MIM Notes finished that interview, Boston cops assembled on the city sidewalk some meters away to prepare to arrest the MIM Notes distributor.

The RCC and Boston cops had asked us to leave RCC property and go onto city streets, but there was no way that MIM could have covered the city sidewalks efficiently to hand out newspapers, because people funnel in from the sidewalks covering hundreds of yards to the doors leading into the convention. This is MIM’s second run-in with RCC, and Northeastern is also under the impression that it can invite the public and then disinvite them when they hand out newspapers. That’s why we need to take the legal offensive to get this squared away in Massachusetts. MIM Notes absolutely relies on being able to get out its newspaper at big-name political events. We are looking for legal help obtaining restraining orders on college administrations in the Massachusetts area.

If you saw MIM handing out papers at RCC, we would like to hear from you as a witness at bosmim@mim.org.

Had the issue stayed at the level of what college administrations can and cannot do in inviting the public, it would have been a ho-hum case that we nonetheless needed to win and follow-up on in agreements with colleges in Massachusetts. The Boston Police were wrong in interpreting the law in RCC’s favor, but the whole arrest became another matter when Boston Police forcibly took the recorder/camera with the day’s interviews and the evidence from the arrest.

MIM is currently pursuing return of the MIM Notes distributor. If you saw MIM handing out papers at RCC, we would like to hear from you as a witness at bosmim@mim.org.

Had the issue stayed at the level of what college administrations can and cannot do in inviting the public, it would have been a ho-hum case that we nonetheless needed to win and follow-up on in agreements with colleges in Massachusetts. The Boston Police were wrong in interpreting the law in RCC’s favor, but the whole arrest became another matter when Boston Police forcibly took the recorder/camera with the day’s interviews and the evidence from the arrest.

MIM is currently pursuing return of the MIM Notes distributor.
MIM Notes censored at Corcoran State Prison

The Maoist Internationalist Movement (MIM) is battling censorship of MIM Notes at Corcoran State Prison in California. MIM Notes is a newspaper reporting on events around the world from an anti-imperialist perspective. It includes two pages written by prisoners across the US reporting on conditions behind the bars. MIM faces censorship in many prisons across the country including of our newspaper, our theory journal (MIM Theory) and of political books that we send out.

In this case the reasons given for the censorship have changed over time and include: that MIM advocates violence and can disrupt prison programs, and that the paper has letters from prisoners and thus establishes unauthorized communication between prisoners. Both of these justifications are just a cover for the prison's fear that MIM Notes is providing revolutionary education and organizing prisoners to fight for their legal rights. In this country that claims to have free speech, MIM's so-called rights are being denied by the Criminal Injustice System to suppress anti-imperialist views.

One prisoner at Corcoran is leading the fight against this censorship. He updated us in June: “Just to let you know the latest on my prison appeal, regarding censorship of MIM Notes in Corcoran, I have 2 appeals out. In one, the prison states that MIM advocates violence and can disrupt prison programs. The other states that the paper has letters from prisoners and thus establishes unauthorized communication between prisoners. In neither case did they contend or cite a rule violation as the cause of censoring. I will take the appeals to the director in Sacramento. They are using flimsy reasoning to deny us the paper and I anticipate winning the case. Many other leftist pro-revolution materials are being received and no objections are made.”

In October, the MIM sent a letter to the Director of Corrections, as well as Warden Adams at Corcoran responding a letter we received from the Warden justifying the censorship of MIM Notes. We wrote: “We believe this censorship is a result of inappropriate bias against MIM’s ideological beliefs. ... On December 8, 2003, we were notified by Warden Derral G. Adams that material, specifically the publication MIM Notes, would not be allowed to its intended recipient because federal case law says that institutions can censor such publications like ours if they are a risk to institutional security. “Warden Adams ... appears to disagree with MIM’s characterization of prison conditions as ‘unjust and brutal to prison inmates.’ The California Code Of Regulations (CCR) Title 15, sec: 3135(b) states: ‘Disagreement with the senders or receivers apparent moral values, attitudes veracity, or choice of words will not be used by correctional staff as a reason for disallowing or delaying mail. Correctional staff shall not challenge or interfere with the prisoners’ ability to make their own value judgments, nor shall such value judgments be considered in any action affecting the correspondents.’...”

“Warden Adams cites the regulations correctly, but does not state how MIM Notes ‘incites violence’ or is ‘otherwise reasonably deemed to be a threat to legitimate penological [sic] interests.’ The Supreme Court [has] insisted that an individualized determination be made that a publication violates the rules.”

MIM Notes is being targeted for censorship as a publication advocating liberation for the world’s oppressed. The prisons in America are a key tool of social control, particularly targeted at oppressed nations within U.S. borders. The vast majority of the population in long term solitary confinement cells like those at Corcoran are Mexican. Blacks are also disproportionately represented throughout the prison system. The prisons offer virtually no educational programs but put staff time and money into censorship of literature sent free to prisoners from organizations like MIM. We can not stand by and allow this to happen. Please send protest letters to Warden Adams at the address below, and send MIM a copy of your letter:

Warden Adams
PO Box 5248
Corcoran, CA 93212

Prisoner, lawyer discuss settlement with California prisons

Continued from page 3...

out of prisons, going straight from isolation to the streets. He also described the health consequences of the SHU, including vision problems because of the constant dark, and headaches when exposed to sunlight. On top of all this, the guards are abusive, trying to mentally break down the prisoners.

Charles Carbone, a lawyer with California Prison Focus, was the keynote speaker at this event. He has worked on Steve Castillo’s lawsuit against the DOC for the past two years, a case that has been around for 9 years. Castillo has been in the SHU for 10 years and is a very proficient jailhouse lawyer. Castillo’s case made three claims:

1) The SHU denies prisoners equal protection because it allows discrimination against Latino prisoners. 60% of the SHU prisoner are Latino.
2) Long term SHU confinement (5+ years) is cruel and unusual punishment under the 8th Amendment.
3) Gang validation regulations are used as retaliation and not based on real evidence.

The Department of Corrections was worried about this case. They filed five separate motions to get the case dismissed as an action that is very unusual for a department that can count on the courts to defend it.

In spite of the strong legal and moral arguments on Castillo’s side, Carbone described an injustice system that was certain to rule against them. Prisons are given wide reign to create and enforce policies as they see fit, and the courts back them up. As Carbone himself explained, “the legal process is very flawed when it comes to social change.”

In spite of this likely loss at trial, the DOC offered a settlement to Castillo. They wanted to buy some peace, a reaction Carbone attributed in no small part to the widespread protests against the SHU both behind bars and on the streets in California.

The settlement, which will be finalized at the end of August, included four major points:

1. The process of validating prisoners as gang members and thus giving them an indeterminate SHU sentence must be individualized. The SHU can no longer hold the DOC in contempt.
2. The department can’t rely on confidential informants just naming names. If an informant claims Jack is a gang member, he must provide evidence of what he personally knows Jack did on behalf of the gang. In the past confidential informants just naming names was a favorite way of validating people as gang members. This “evidence” was very difficult to challenge and easy to falsify.
3. Corrections officers must explain why they think evidence demonstrates that a prisoner is a gang member. It is no longer sufficient to say that signing a get well card or talking to someone in the library constitutes gang membership without offering some reason that this shows the prisoner is in a gang.
4. A $20,000 litigation fund for prisoners challenging gang validation was established. This fund will be administered by California Prison Focus.

This settlement is not retroactive, so current SHU prisoners will not benefit until they are up for inactive review.

Of course, Carbone noted that the DOC can still follow these rules and continue to validate prisoners as gang members for whatever political reasons they want. But the value of this settlement is twofold. First, it achieves some wider education about the unjust policies used by the DOC and forces some changes to these policies, and second, when the DOC screws up and fails to follow these procedures, the court still retains jurisdiction over the case and can hold the DOC in contempt.

Activists both behind the bars and on the outside will be monitoring the DOC closely.

One audience member asked why we can’t just go to court and prove the SHU is cruel and unusual punishment. Carbone explained that this argument was used with the Three Strikes law and failed because it is difficult to prove this punishment is “unusual” when it is normal practice in many states. With control units so widespread in the criminal injustice system, it is true that torture is not “unusual” in American prisons.

Carbone is a lawyer with a clear sense of the limitations of the legal system. During discussion he stressed that legal battles are only one part of the larger battle to shut down the SHU. We must keep pressure on in the streets, in the media and through educational forums like this one.

A discussion of the recent prison agreement signed by Governor Schwarzenegger in California exposed the prison system as a tool of social control. This agreement states that guards will not be cut unless the prison population is cut. This directly pits the white population of the central valley, where most of California’s prisons are located, against the oppressed nations housed in the prisons. The white guards enjoy high salaries and a nice standard of living, but only if the prisons continue to lock of tens of thousands of Black and Latino men and wimmin.

After the event MIM spoke with a Latina womyn whose son was being released from the California Youth Authority prison the next day. After five years in CYA (from age 15), she was worried about how he would function on the outside. He was given five years parole. As Carbone noted, parole is designed to fail so that people will be locked back up again. This womyn became active in the prison movement after her son was locked away, and she described her disillusionment with the American system that she used to believe in. After five years fighting the CYA she was saying clearly that the only answer is revolution.

Many people at this even in San Jose recognized the limitations of fighting for reforms to the criminal injustice system. This is why MIM puts our agenda of taking down imperialism front and center as a part of any of our reformist battles. We don’t want to mislead people into thinking that shutting down the SHU will fix the system. But we see battles like this one as necessary under capitalism, to expose the injustice and fight for better conditions for the two million people behind bars in America.
August 7 — MIM and RAIL held our regular San Francisco monthly protest to shut down the prison Security Housing Units (SHU), a part of the state-wide protests of the United Front to Shut Down the SHU. At our regular location, in downtown San Francisco, we once again found support among the tourists and former prisoners who pass through the area. We were joined by two former prisoners who came to the protest to help fight torture in prisons. The group of protesters had a literature table with a few people asking passers by to sign the petition, people walking around with posters encouraging people to come over to the table, and roving petitioners approaching people on the sidewalk. In the two hour protest we gathered more than 60 signatures and distributed many copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

Relatives of prisoners, and former prisoners, were quick to stop and sign the petition, thanking us for protesting prison abuses in Afghanistan.

Amerikan prisons at home, eagerly taking copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

August 13 — Former prisoners step forward, speak of their experiences shut down the prison Security Housing Units (SHU), a part of the state-wide protests of the United Front to Shut Down the SHU. At our regular location, in downtown San Francisco, we once again found support among the tourists and former prisoners who pass through the area. We were joined by two former prisoners who came to the protest to help fight torture in prisons. The group of protesters had a literature table with a few people asking passers by to sign the petition, people walking around with posters encouraging people to come over to the table, and roving petitioners approaching people on the sidewalk. In the two hour protest we gathered more than 60 signatures and distributed many copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

Relatives of prisoners, and former prisoners, were quick to stop and sign the petition, thanking us for protesting prison abuses in Afghanistan.

Amerikan prisons at home, eagerly taking copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

August 17 — Former prisoners step forward, speak of their experiences shut down the prison Security Housing Units (SHU), a part of the state-wide protests of the United Front to Shut Down the SHU. At our regular location, in downtown San Francisco, we once again found support among the tourists and former prisoners who pass through the area. We were joined by two former prisoners who came to the protest to help fight torture in prisons. The group of protesters had a literature table with a few people asking passers by to sign the petition, people walking around with posters encouraging people to come over to the table, and roving petitioners approaching people on the sidewalk. In the two hour protest we gathered more than 60 signatures and distributed many copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

Relatives of prisoners, and former prisoners, were quick to stop and sign the petition, thanking us for protesting prison abuses in Afghanistan.

Amerikan prisons at home, eagerly taking copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

August 19 — Former prisoners step forward, speak of their experiences shut down the prison Security Housing Units (SHU), a part of the state-wide protests of the United Front to Shut Down the SHU. At our regular location, in downtown San Francisco, we once again found support among the tourists and former prisoners who pass through the area. We were joined by two former prisoners who came to the protest to help fight torture in prisons. The group of protesters had a literature table with a few people asking passers by to sign the petition, people walking around with posters encouraging people to come over to the table, and roving petitioners approaching people on the sidewalk. In the two hour protest we gathered more than 60 signatures and distributed many copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

Relatives of prisoners, and former prisoners, were quick to stop and sign the petition, thanking us for protesting prison abuses in Afghanistan.

Amerikan prisons at home, eagerly taking copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

August 24 — Former prisoners step forward, speak of their experiences shut down the prison Security Housing Units (SHU), a part of the state-wide protests of the United Front to Shut Down the SHU. At our regular location, in downtown San Francisco, we once again found support among the tourists and former prisoners who pass through the area. We were joined by two former prisoners who came to the protest to help fight torture in prisons. The group of protesters had a literature table with a few people asking passers by to sign the petition, people walking around with posters encouraging people to come over to the table, and roving petitioners approaching people on the sidewalk. In the two hour protest we gathered more than 60 signatures and distributed many copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.

Relatives of prisoners, and former prisoners, were quick to stop and sign the petition, thanking us for protesting prison abuses in Afghanistan.

Amerikan prisons at home, eagerly taking copies of MIM Notes and the MIM pamphlet on the SHU.
Pope condemns feminism

On August 1, the Vatican released a statement against feminism. That the Pope doesn’t like feminism isn’t news, but the Vatican’s summary of the problems with feminism is interesting, giving feminism a very materialist spin. (1) For example, the statement says, “A first tendency is to emphasize strongly conditions of subordination in order to give rise to antagonism: women, in order to be themselves, must make themselves the adversaries of men. Faced with the abuse of power, the answer for women is to seek power.”

MIM agrees with this partial description of feminism. Politically, however, it is a mistake for women of oppressed nations to concentrate primarily on opposing men of oppressed nations, because imperialism is the first-order problem that both men and women of oppressed nations face. However, as a statement of the principle of gender oppression and the correct response to it, we agree.

We also agree with the description of the “second tendency,” which the Vatican says goes like this: “In order to avoid the domination of one sex or the other, their differences tend to be denied, viewed as mere effects of historical and cultural conditioning. In this perspective, physical difference, termed sex, is minimized, while the purely cultural element, termed gender, is emphasized to the maximum and held to be primary.”

Right. That is: while there are biological differences of sex, what matters most, and what is within the realm of the social control of humanity, is how socially we organize people. We need to accord social roles to people based on their biological sex as much as we do based on their genetic tendency to be tall or short. Where does this lead? “This theory of the human person, intended to promote pacts for equality of women through liberation from biological determinism, has in reality inspired ideologies which, for example, call into question the family, in its natural two-parent structure of mother and father, and make homosexuality and heterosexual sexuality virtually equivalent, in a new model of polymorphous sexuality.” And further, “According to this perspective, human nature in itself does not possess characteristics in an absolute manner: all persons can and ought to constitute themselves as they like, since they are free from every predetermination linked to their essential constitution.” This is similar to Mao’s declaration that human nature, except the idea that individuals can “constitute themselves as they like” is more a statement of a communist ideal than a reality under conditions of inequality. Still, we agree that humans should not be considered fixed by an “essential constitution.”

Ultimately, the Pope is upset because this threatens the patriarchal power of the Church. As the statement says: “This perspective has many consequences. Above all it strengthens the idea that the liberation of women entails criticism of Sacred Scripture, which would be seen as handing on a patriarchal conception of God nourished by an essentially male-dominated culture. Second, this tendency would consider as lacking in importance and relevance the fact that the Son of God assumed human nature in its male form.” Right. We are not persuaded of the importance of the “fact” that Jesus was supposedly male. On the other hand, the fact that the Church says God is male is of enormous importance.

American pseudo-feminists were quick to say that the Pope was going too far in this characterization of feminism. The Washington Post reports that Frances Kissling, president of Catholics for a Free Choice, said: “The demonization of feminism is most disturbing. It takes extreme positions that may have been historically held by five people and casts them as if they were held by every woman. The feminism I know is all for partnerships and is all for empowering both men and women. The fake Pope document does not ignore the fact that there are sexual differences.” (2)

We spoke with two people who were not happy at all about the Iraq War. (You can also listen to the interviews at the same website.) Something we heard from these two and another woman soldier in a family with multiple members in the armed forces was not so much concern for themselves as concern for their families. They did not like the idea that some of their relatives might fight and die in Iraq.

Our longest conversation was with an Army soldier who actually had many revolutionary views already. According to him, the United States is going to break up into pieces regionally. He said there were too many cynical special interests getting their piece out of the military from outerwear contracts to Iraq contracts. He recounted how “war is people up” and went on to tell us about a World War II veteran in the area who still wakes up in the middle of the night. Oddly, no one made a point of Abu Ghraib or prisons in Afghanistan, but we found soldiers reading MIM Notes on the subject. In Amerika, we have a heavy duty. Depending on the outlook of recruitable youth of this one country with 5% of the world’s population, the transition to a world without imperialism will be more or less bloody. In fact, it may turn out that anti-militarist work could make the difference between species survival and species destruction. Most of the rest of the world can already see it, so we have to bring internationalist perspective to places like Fort Bragg.

Imperial overstretch spurs Bush withdrawal plan

Continued from page 1...

German bourgeois politicians have expressed concerns that U.S. base closures would cause some Germans to lose their jobs. This is the same twisted dynamic that drives the discussion of base closures in the United States and in itself is enough to show the economic and moral bankruptcy of imperialism. There are so many things around the world that need doing—hungry to feed, sick to treat and cure, homeless to shelter—yet the only jobs the bourgeois leaders of Amerika and Germany can create are tied to the predatory American military.

Military overstretch is the hot potato issue of this presidential campaign. Neither candidate can talk about reinstating the draft, despite the fact that the military’s “stop-loss” amounts to a draft (restricted to those who volunteered for the military) and calls by some Congressmen for an official draft. Instead, each tries to sell Amerikan voters their own snake-oil scheme on how to preserve American military dominance without instituting a draft. Bush apparently is still pushing “Secretary of Defense” Donald Rumsfeld’s “transformation” line: the idea that high technology can solve the U.S. military’s problems. This was the idea behind the Iraq war—and the “success” of which can new be seen on the streets of Fallujah and Najaf. Kerry wants to up the number of special forces (look to Afghanistan to see the success of that approach) and promises help from Amerika’s allies. Of course, it takes two to tango, and more and more of Amerika’s allies appear happy to let Unkle Sam do the heavy lifting in Iraq.

Both Bush and Kerry want to appear to be doing everything possible to make a draft unnecessary. Yet we warn our readers that the economic system that caused the wars against Iraq is still in place. The for-profit motivations to trade in weapons of mass destruction are still there. Capitalism cannot bring real long-term stability no matter how many troops are moved around or Security Council resolutions end up passing.

Notes: 1. http://www.time.com/time/europe/eu/daily/0,13716,436088,00.html

Talking with the troops at Fort Bragg

Fort Bragg, NC

The mood at Fort Bragg seems sullen. Few people wanted to talk, even before they knew MIM Notes is communist. In one case, MIM Notes spoke with one soldier just back from Iraq who just stared straight forward and said “I don’t want to talk.” In another, it was also like talking to some Arab youth in Amerika who also had opinions, but are afraid to say anything right now.

We spoke with another soldier who had toured all over the world except Iraq and said he had read MIM Notes before. He chided us “it would be a whole lot better if people would just vote.” This same soldier told us that most of his military buddies “doesn’t care at all” about politics yet he told us this disturbed him greatly. With regard to the vote and freedom we responded that the United States does not bring freedom to other countries and in fact has the highest imprisonment rate in the world. “You don’t have to tell me that. I know,” said our critic. He went on to say there is the freedom to leave the country (and this led to a discussion of US “aid” to other countries and U.S. backing for dictators). He pointed out to this patriot that George Washington stayed, fought and changed America.

The critic of MIM went on to say that the soldiers in Iraq should fight harder to get done sooner and come back. (You can hear part of our interview on our website: www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/agitation/iraq/fortbragg07162004.html.) However, his military comrade said, “the main thing is that everyone comes home as soon as possible as safely as possible.”

We spoke with two people who were not happy at all about the Iraq War. (You can also listen to the interviews at the same website.) Something we heard from these two and another woman soldier in a family with multiple members in the armed forces was not so much concern for themselves as concern for their families. They did not like the idea that some of their relatives might fight and die in Iraq.

Our longest conversation was with an Army soldier who actually had many revolutionary views already. According to him, the United States is going to break up into pieces regionally. He said there were too many cynical special interests getting their piece out of the military from outerwear contracts to Iraq contracts. He recounted how “war is people up” and went on to tell us about a World War II veteran in the area who still wakes up in the middle of the night. Oddly, no one made a point of Abu Ghraib or prisons in Afghanistan, but we found soldiers reading MIM Notes on the subject. In Amerika, we have a heavy duty. Depending on the outlook of recruitable youth of this one country with 5% of the world’s population, the transition to a world without imperialism will be more or less bloody. In fact, it may turn out that anti-militarist work could make the difference between species survival and species destruction. Most of the rest of the world can already see it, so we have to bring internationalist perspective to places like Fort Bragg.
Maoists meet the Falun Gong at the DNC

BOSTON
July 28, 2004

We hope you like our coverage of the Democratic National Convention. Part of the convention includes the negative comments MIM received and we’d like to tell you about some of them.

As MIM handed out thousands of copies of the August 1 MIM Notes featuring a lead story on US torture of prisoners in Afghanistan, a few people said that the Afgans “deserved it after what they did to us.”

Such reasoning obviously is part of an endless spiral of violence with no great goal. We told them that they had the wrong people, that Osama Bin Laden appears to have escaped anyway and that children tortured in Iraqi prisons by Amerikans to try to blame Mao for Deng.

We also had to explain to a few people the difference between Mao and Deng Xiaoping, namely that Mao wanted to win a real war and Deng believed in giving captives to make the political point that China could accept an exibit of Chinese torture enacted by Chinese with convincing costumes and blood. The exhibit followed a march against Jiang Zemin. We told one person interested in our opinion that we wished the Falun Gong would do not this exhibit in the United States or England, that they would probably get arrested for provoking war but that there is already a war climate against China in the United States that exists among a portion of Americans.

Later, MIM went further and went to talk to the Falun Gong to tell them about some comments we received and also our own experience as Americans. We came prepared to ask the Falun Gong if they did not believe this exhibit is contributing to a war atmosphere against China. When the Falun Gong spoke of human rights, they asked us if the war against Iraq was not a human rights crisis in terms of Saddam Hussein as a dictator violating human rights. When they said China was worse than Iraq, we asked if that did not justify Americans in thinking war against China was more just than war against Iraq.

The Falun Gong stressed that it does not advocate war or violent means. You can listen to their full response on the web at www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/elections/falungong.html.

We stressed that many people could look at these exhibits and not take them the way wrong, but there would be a portion of Americans who already have reasons they want a war with China. We said there are some already upset about trade. Other really backward Amerikans believe China is taking over California and still others would like to go to war with just about any country still calling itself communist.

We’re happy to have had a reasonable discussion with the Falun Gong. We had advocated including Afghan and Iraqi prisoners in their exhibit to make the message clear if they want to oppose all prison torture. That’s part of why we offered that if they came up with us, we would see some of these comments about Afghan prisoners and about China, made by the same Americans who support the exhibit on the prisoners in China. These Americans believe the “other guy” is to blame for human rights violations and “human rights” rhetoric is just a mask for their aggression.

We also tried to convince the Falun Gong that they are political and they should just go all the way in their politics, even though politics is inevitably “dirty.” After all, they talked to us about Chinese trade, what the Chinese embassy did to threaten certain US localities with a reduction of trade and how the Chinese bought off some countries globally to keep them from voicing solidarity with Falun Gong. To us, it would seem that coming up with a strategy to counter trade and embassy moves is political, not just something spiritual. Since they are already thinking along those lines, they should just go the whole way into politics. Once we realize that we are in the realm of politics and human frailty, we realize that we cannot ask politicians to be god-like.

We are confident that when they compare politicians objectively, they will find that Mao was the greatest politician in the Third World since World War II—for doubling China’s life expectancy, bringing universal education and health-care and eliminating foot-binding and restrictions on divorce.

Kerry and Vietnam Vets
A tale of two rallies at the DNC

Continued from page 4...

troglodytes do not support the First Amendment as evidenced by their calling people traitors with regard to the Vietnam War.

This particular Oklahoma delegate, while opposing the draft went on to support a larger military as Kerry has said by calling for a doubling of “special forces.” In her discussion of this we can almost hear her saying there is no other way to employ the people of this country without a military to absorb everyone. To MIM, this is an example of how this country has ruined itself—by hiring too many troops, cops and prison guards. And to do what? Fight fascism? End slavery? Quite the contrary, we have slave labor in our prisons. No, there is no great goal in hiring all these repressive personnel that is going to move forward Amerika or the planet. It’s simply making up for what is wrong with our economy. If the Democrats and Republicans cannot think of anything productive for people to do other than join the military, build more prisons and follow kids around on the streets, we’d suggest they hand power over to us and we’ll get the economy moving on serving global human needs and undertaking scientific and technical research.

The problem with a lot of these reactionaries is that they don’t know what a real job is anymore. We’ll show them how to organize real jobs and they won’t have to spend all their time campaigning for candidates to lock up more people in the United States while getting overtime gravy at Abu Ghraib.

The whole demonstration by these particular vets and all their bitter involvement in politics is just another great reason never, ever to volunteer for the US military, because if you do serve in the military like Kerry did, the troglodytes will criticize you and dishonor your service within their own reactionary logic if you ever decide some day that perpetual war is not a good idea. In fact, these particular genocidal maniacs are so political that they would rather support Bush and Cheney who did not serve in Vietnam at all, so it goes to prove what the founding fathers of the United States said about how a country with too many veterans goes down the drain as exemplified by the European countries of the 1700s which had too many wars. It’s not that these vets can possibly say Bush & Cheney did better service than Kerry. What they are saying is purely political—that they did not get enough war.

What questions do YOU have?

Wasn’t Mao a butcher? Why do you spell it “Amerika”‽ Shouldn’t you try non-violence first? What is internationalism? Isn’t hating white people reverse racism? Why don’t you leftists work together? Why don’t you tone it down? What is a cardinal principle? What is your program? What is necessary to join MIM? What concrete actions can I take? How do I write articles for MIM? What is your copyright policy?

Go to http://www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/faq and get real answers to these and other questions.
Texas monopolizes prisoner skills

Regarding the information you sought concerning the money Texas Dept. of Corrections makes off of prisoner slave labor. In the furniture factory we are constantly being forced to fill orders paid for by TDC employees. The factory will refinish, upholster and even rebuild furniture brought in by greyshirts and administrative employees. These items range from bed frames to complete bedroom and dining room sets. Do we, the workers, receive any benefits for our labor and efforts? Absolutely not!

What really sucks is that those inmates able to work on some sort of craft, e.g., leather, woodcrafts, art crafts, etc., are allowed to make work contracts with TDC employees, but are prohibited from establishing work contracts with outside businesses who could market the prisoners’ crafts. Instead, the prisoner is forced to either sell his wares to TDC employees, or mail them home, hoping their family can find buyers. Is this fair? I think not.

I know of a fellow, a Catholic, who wrote a book and had it published. TDC punished him for this. Is this justified? Hell no.

In my situation, I am a tattoo artist, yet I’m not allowed to work my craft to keep myself in much needed personal hygiene stuff. TDC won’t supply me with these items either. Instead, if I’m caught tattooing, or with paraphernalia, I am punished, locked up, and loose good time (not that good time really matters).

—A Texas Prisoner, April 2004

MIM responds: This prisoner wrote in response to our request for information exposing the exploitation of prisoner labor in Texas. This information shows that it is not only unskilled manual labor, but also skilled craft labor, that Texas prison officials exploit for their own gain. We encourage others, in Texas or elsewhere, to help us expose these practices to other prisoners and to the outside world.

Political repression in Texas

Though it has been a minute since I last wrote to MIM, know that my mind and heart stays securely focused on the revolution to come. Nature dictates it and the masses want it. These past few months I’ve been battling my oppressors, which has gone to the physical, where I was placed in isolation for a while.

It all started with one arrogant officer throwing my literature away during an annual unit lockdown. The pigs reason to justify this was that the literature was old. And they didn’t see to throw away my MIM Notes, even a MIM Theory (the date was 1996), some Panther papers, as well as various magazines. Then I get hit with a bogus disciplinary parole, locked up, and left alone for a good long time. Even as an indigent inmate, I must pay for any doctor visit, plus medications. The proposers of the draconian “Three Strikes” law have tried to terrorize the people of California with doomscary scenarios, of thousands of violent, bloodthirsty felons being set free to prey on a helpless society. These fears are also unfounded. Currently, there are five to six thousand three-strikers in prison for 25 years to life; none of the men/women who would be affected by the Amendment are in prison for violent crimes. The irony of the law is that some of the so-called violent or serious crimes are 15, 20, and even 30 years old. Yet, the law was written to get around outdated ideals such as the United States Constitutional guarantees against double jeopardy, ex post facto violations, and, most importantly, cruel and unusual punishment meted out for minor crimes (many of them misdemeanors prior to 1994). Men/women, whose average age is 40 years old, being sent to prison for the rest of their lives is especially evil when the average life expectancy is 63 years for Blacks and even lower for Hispanics, which make up the vast majority of those sentenced under 3 strikes.

In the months before the November 2nd election, there will be those who will lie, twist the truth, give the public another does of

MIM on Prisons & Prisoners

MIM seeks to build public opinion against America’s criminal injustice system, and to eventually replace the bourgeois injustice system with proletarian justice. The bourgeois injustice system imprisons and executes a disproportionately large and growing number of oppressed people while letting the biggest mass murderers—the imperialists and their lackeys—roam free. Imperialism is not opposed to murder or theft, it only insists that these crimes be committed in the interests of the bourgeoisie.

“All U.S. citizens are criminals—accomplices and accessories to the crimes of U.S. oppression globally until the day U.S. imperialism is overcome. All U.S. citizens should start from the point of view that they are reforming criminals.”

MIM does not advocate that all prisoners go free today; we have a more effective program for fighting crime as was demonstrated in China prior to the restoration of capitalism there in 1976. We say that all prisoners are political prisoners because under the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, all imprisonment is substantively political. It is our responsibility to exert revolutionary leadership and conduct political agitation and organization among prisoners—whose material conditions make them an overwhelmingly revolutionary group. Some prisoners should and will work on self-criticism under a future dictatorship of the proletariat in those cases in which prisoners really did do something wrong by proletarian standards.
In conclusion, we that are sentenced to die implore the voters of California to spare our lives, give us the chance to serve some useful purpose in the life that’s left to us. The district attorneys, prison guards union, and the police have a vested interest in keeping prisons full to capacity — which is nothing more than job security! What does it say of a nation that has turned the misery of its citizens into a cottage industry? We that are sentenced to die are also shocked and saddened by images of murder and violence. We too believe that if our crimes were that type, then the punishment should fit the crime and there would be no argument from us. But this law is wrong and unjust: we strikers are in our desperate hours, praying that the voters of California will see the injustice of the three strikes laws.

— A California prisoner, Pleasant Valley State Prison, July 2004

3 strikes and Child Protection Act of 2004

In the upcoming elections of November there is a proposed change in the infamous California 3 Strikes Law. The proposed new law designated as the 3 Strikes and Child Protection Act of 2004 and will be voted on in November. Once again, the politicians, professional jailers, and prison-keepers are attempting to mislead Californians, as well as the facts.

If this new law is approved by California voters there will not be a release of violent or serious offenders. The newly proposed law is designed to keep prison populated, by putting us in prison for minor infractions. The new law will do is eventually release prisoners who have actually had their crimes rates reduced more than California or other states with some form of this law.

It is the hope of many that the people of California will not be duped by posturing politicians, professional jailers and their minions into no approving this act of injustice on the November ballot.

— A California prisoner, June 2004

MMM advice: We have received many more articles from our comrades behind bars urging people to vote to modify the California Three Strikes Law in November. MMM believes the proposed new law does not go far enough, and it contains some significant errors, including keeping the Three Strikes law in any form, and specifically leaving “serious felonies” (non-violent) under the Three Strikes law (see MN304, July 2004). But any decrease in imprisonment would be progress. We just need to be careful not to advocate in favor of this ballot proposition as if everyone not covered by it deserves the criminal injustice they receive. Decreasing the application of the Three Strikes law in the future will be progress, but it will not stop the ongoing injustice of the system.

Facts on U$ imprisonment

The facts about imprisonment in the United States are that the United States has been the world’s leading prison-state per capita for the last 25 years, with a brief exception during Boris Yeltzin’s declaration of a state of emergency.(1) That means that while Reagan was talking about a Soviet “evil empire” he was helping to create a state that imprisoned more people per capita. In supposedly “hard-line” Bulgaria of the Soviet bloc of the 1980s, the imprisonment rate was less than half that of the United States.(2,3)

To find a comparison with U.S. imprisonment of Black people, there is no statistic in any country that compares including apartheid South Africa of the era before Mandela was president. The last situation remotely comparable to the situation today was under Stalin during war time. The majority of prisoners are non-violent offenders(4) and the U.S. Government now holds about a half million more prisoners than China; even though China is four times our population size.(5)

The rednecks tell MMM that we live in a “free country.” They live in an Orwellian situation where freedom is imprisonment.

Notes:
2. Ibid., 1992 report
4. Figure of 32 percent for state prisoners there for non-violent offenses. Abstract of the United States 1993, p. 211.

Join the fight against the injustice system

While we fight to end the criminal justice system MMM engages in reformist battles to improve the lives of prisoners. Below are some of the campaigns we are currently waging, and ways people behind the bars and on the outside can be involved. More info can be found on our prison web site: http://www.etext.org/Politics/MMM/agitation/prisons

Stop Censorship in Prison: Prisons frequently censor books, newspapers and magazines coming from MMM’s books for prisoners program. We need help from lawyers, parolees and jailhouse lawyers to fight this censorship.

Books for Prisoners: This program focuses on political education of prisoners. Send donations of books and money for our Books for Prisoners program.

End the Three Strikes laws: This campaign is actively fighting the repressive California laws, but similar laws exist in other states. Write to us to request a petition to collect signatures, send articles, and information on three strike laws.

Shut Down the Control Units: Across the country there are a growing number of prison control units. These are permanently designated prisons or cells in prisons that lock prisoners up in solitary or small group confinement for 22 or more hours a day with no congregate dining, exercise or other services, and virtually no programs for prisoners. Prisoners are placed in control units for extended periods of time. These units cause both mental and physical problems for prisoners.

Write to us to request a petition to collect signatures. Get your organization to sign the statement demanding control units be shut down. Send us information about where there are control units in your state. Include the names of the prisons as well as the number of control unit beds/cells in each prison if that is known. Send us anti-control unit artwork.

MMM’s Re-Lease on Life Program: This program provides support for our comrades who have been recently released from the prison system, to help them meet their basic needs and also continue with their revolutionary organizing on the outside. We need funds, housing, and job resources. We also need prisoner’s input on the following survey questions:

1. What are the biggest challenges you face being released from prison?
2. How can these problems be addressed?
3. What are the important elements of a successful release program?
El 24 de mayo, Bush salió en la tele con fin de preparar al público para las semanas y los meses que tocan en Irak. “Nuestros comandantes han estimado que menos de 115,000 tropas resultarán suficientes en este momento del conflicto”, dijo Bush. “Dado el reencendido crecimiento de la violencia, mantenemos el actual nivel de tropas-138,000- por el tiempo que sea necesario”.

“Si los comandantes necesitan más tropas”, dijo Bush, “las mandarán”. (1) El mismo día el senador demócrata Joe Lieberman se puso en cálculo con el presidente diciendo en el CNN que la guerra en Irak era “una prueba generacional”. En vez de señalar que esta guerra ha fomentado el odio hacia el imperialismo estadounidense, Lieberman declaró que haría más ataques en el suelo estadounidense si las tropas no se quedan en Irak.

Mientras tanto, los senadores Hillary Clinton (D-NY) y Lindsey Graham (R-SC) hicieron un llamado abogando por unas “fuerzas militares más amplias”. Hillary Clinton expresó dudas en cuanto al financiamiento de las mismas pero dijo que no había otra opción. (2) Es posible que Graham vea la guerra como una oportunidad de trabajo para los blancos jóvenes rurales de su estado, ya que Carolina del Sur ha perdido muchos puestos de trabajo, pero se niega a implementar un servicio militar obligatorio.

Los múltiples escándalos alrededor del tema de torturas de los prisioneros en Irak y Afganistán significan que otros líderes estatales. En su discurso el 24 de mayo, el presidente Bush “hizo un intento” con la ONU y fallaron, de modo que necesitan un nuevo lugar para el basurero de la historia. Cuanto más luchen los iraquíes y los afganos, menos eficaces serán los mercenarios que llevan a cabo reclusiones.

Los imperialistas jugarán las cartas de la ONU. Otra carta podría ser la Liga Árabe, una asociación de lacayos gubernamentales árabes. El 23 de mayo, los lacayos árabes sugirieron la idea de mandar tropas a Irak como parte de la fuerza internacional respaldada por la ONU. (3) Un milagro diplomático llevaría tropas árabes a Irak, y Bush podría lanzar un grito de victoria para las elecciones de noviembre.

Nosotros pensamos que es muy probable que todos estos esquemas se implementen con el fin de demostrar al público estadounidense que Bush “hizo el intento”, y de darles a los senadores Clinton y Lieberman las estrellas que necesitan para respaldar diseños más agresivos. Es posible que Inglaterra sea uno de los pocos países que se nieguen a contribuir muchas tropas a los imperialistas estadounidenses. Un 66% del público electoral inglés se opone a que se manden ni siquiera 3000 tropas más a Irak. (4) Los imperialistas estadounidenses carecen de personal militar hasta tal grado que no son capaces de continuar sus operaciones ofensivas contra Irán, Siria o Corea del Norte. Cuatro hechos sobre los movimientos de tropas enemigas y la “estrategia de las fuerzas” demuestran por qué la presencia militar de los imperialistas ha llegado al extremo, dada la ausencia de un reclutamiento militar obligatorio.

En primer lugar, las tres divisiones (alrededor de 50,000 soldados) recientemente retirados de Irak están clasificadas como “no preparadas para luchar”. (5) Hasta que no se reemplace o se repare su armamento, en lo cual se tardarán unos cuantos meses, estas tropas no podrán actuar en el extranjero. Estas divisiones han sido reemplazadas por reservistas y guardias nacionales. Con menos entrenamiento y un armamento inferior estos soldados serán menos eficaces.

En segundo lugar, el enemigo ha tomado la decisión de retirar sus tropas del Corea del Sur para mandarlas a Irak, y no es para que los soldados del frente coreano obtengan una “auténtica” experiencia de combate. (6) La desesperación del enemigo es suficiente para que éste arriesgue un frente (Corea) por otro (Irak).

En tercer lugar, EE. UU. han empezado a buscar miembros de la Reserva Individual, en otras palabras, soldados que durante los últimos ocho años han estado en servicio activo o pasivo. Están sujetos a la posibilidad de una movilización a base de aviso, aunque no estén pasando por un entrenamiento junto con la guardia activa o unidades de la reserva pasiva. (7) Si bien los funcionarios frecuentemente se han negado a dar de baja a personal militar en servicio activo de acuerdo con el programa “paREMOS Las pérdidas”, una activación de la Reserva Individual es el último paso que el gobierno puede dar para incrementar el número de tropas, si se evita la introducción del servicio militar obligatorio.

En cuarto lugar, EE. UU. también están planeando mandar a Irak sus unidades de entrenamiento de la fuerza de oposición (“OpFor”). (8) OpFor son los mejores soldados de las fuerzas militares. Su trabajo consiste en entrenar nuevas unidades de acuerdo con los estándares más altos. La presencia de estas unidades en Irak significa que los reclusos recién entrenados no tendrán ni idea sobre cómo pelear, de modo que logros a largo plazo (algunos de los mejores soldados de las fuerzas armadas en Irak) resultan en pérdidas a largo plazo (reclusas no entrenados serán aplastados como moscas el año que viene, y sus compañeros fastidiados o indispuestos a luchar terminarán matando a oficiales). Estos cuatro hechos indican que EE. UU. no tienen el personal adecuado para llevar a cabo sus planes, lo cual implica la introducción de un servicio militar obligatorio independientemente de que facción imperialista obtenga el poder estatal. El servicio militar obligatorio no es más que otra forma de opresión de los jóvenes, aún en países imperialistas. Los imperialistas estadounidenses se han dado cuenta de que no obtendrán apoyo militar de los imperialistas alemanes y franceses, ni siquiera de la ONU. Los socios de un crimen con derechos iguales se respetan a sí mismos. Así que EE. UU. implementarán un reclutamiento obligatorio: los problemas presupuestarios apuntan a la misma posibilidad.

El capitalismo promociona una actitud de “vivir en el momento”. Este hecho es el menemismo capitalista en cuanto a la guerra. Esta es una de las múltiples razones por la cual el MIM tiene confianza estratégica en el proletariado mundial. Los imperialistas siguen cometiendo errores en esta guerra (9) debido a una sobreestimación de su poder y no pueden admitir la verdad- el hecho de que su imperio está construido sobre el sudor y la sangre de la mano de obra tercermundista robada por los imperialistas.

Sin considerar el insano tratamiento de los prisioneros y los abusos documentados en previos artículos de MIM Notes, está claro que nuestros enemigos están extendidos más allá de su capacidad y están cometiendo errores. Si se suman a esta lógica las torturas de los prisioneros y sus atrocidades contra el pueblo de Irak, se obtendrá un resultado claro: los imperialistas perderán la guerra en Irak. Cuanto antes sea la derrota, mejor para todos nosotros.

Estos hechos confirman la predicción del MIM de que los países imperialistas desesperadamente necesitan de recursos tercermundistas, terminarán expandiéndose más allá de su capacidad e involucrándose en difíciles guerras por recursos tercermundistas. El enemigo está cometiendo graves errores diarios. Pero nuestra victoria no será rápida: desafortunadamente, los imperialistas matarán a muchos proletarios antes de que sus errores estratégicos se manifiesten en sus propios países. Tenemos que minimizar nuestras pérdidas hasta el máximo grado posible mediante la organización de una resistencia a la guerra. No tarden en oponerse al imperialismo: la vida que Uds. salven puede ser la suya.