Minutemen get some recognition in Bush speech

Ward Churchill update

May 19, 2006

On May 15, Bush referenced the Minutemen in his televised address from the Oval Office. Days before, a Minuteman caravan had reached Washington, D.C. But momentum for the President to acknowledge the Minutemen had been building before then. The Minutemen are a vigilante group trying to stop people from entering the country, but only at the Mexican border.

Soon after their appearance on the national stage, the Minutemen drove the so-called immigration reform debate so far into the right-wing of self-defeating white nationalism that any proposal to the “left” was seen as somehow being moderate. The critics of Minutemen often immediately turned around and defended the repression of migrants, such as Arizona’s notorious Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio. Arpaio back in April 2005 said a brazen vigilante was encouraging on the turf of his “Posse Comitatus,” whom he wanted to be able to enforce federal immigration law. Arpaio, a pig with a badge, looked like a saint to some. Numerous others, including Demokkkrats, criticized anti-migrant vigilantes only to join others in calling for the enforcement of immigration restrictions and the repression of migrants who couldn’t or didn’t want to go through the immigration system.

Joe Arpaio, President Bu$h and New Mexico Governor Bill Richardson, who criticized anti-migrant vigilantes, are now on national television lending credibility to one of various pieces of legislation and proposals that would increase the repression of migrants. Politicians were and are still divided over the Minutemen, making the Minutemen’s image less than pristine and in some ways less suitable for uniting more sophisticated white leaders and their lackeys against migrants, but these differences have ultimately contributed to the strength of the anti-migrant movement by creating the impression of nuance and refinement within white nationalism and fascism.

The left wing of white nationalism has had a tense but conciliatory relationship with the Minutemen. Soon after the Minuteman anti-migrant vigilantes began...
U. of Texas and Austin police suppress communist activism

Dear MIM:

As some purveyors of MIM Notes may be aware, during the Ward Churchill campaign, the Edith Borges Brigade (ELB) was able to obtain over 1200 signatures on petitions supporting the Churchill, is available in the public domain replete with a public access computer lab.

Finally, on xmas eve, the very same comrade was arrested near the Drag on suspicion of obstruction of sidewalks. The three above-described incidents are the only episodes resulting in incarcerations.

In response to the above said police harassment ranging from arbitrary identification stops to citations for obstructing sidewalks. The three above-stated incidents are the only episodes resulting in incarcerations.

Due to an oversight this letter was not published when it was submitted, in February 2006.
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What is MIM?

The Maoist Internationalist Movement (MIM) is the collection of existing or emerging Maoist internationalist parties in the English-speaking imperialist countries and their English-speaking internal semi-colonies, as well as the existing or emerging Maoist Internationalist parties in Belgium, France and Quebec and the existing or emerging Spanish-speaking Maoist Internationalist parties of Aztlan, Puerto Rico and other territories of the U.S. Empire. MIM Notes is the newspaper of MIM. Notas Rojas is the Spanish-language version of MIM. MIM upholds the revolutionary communist ideology of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism and is an international organization that works from the vantage point of the Third World proletariat. MIM struggles to end the oppression of all groups over other groups: classes, genders, nations. MIM knows this is only possible by building public opinion to seize power through armed struggle. Revolution is a reality for North America as the military becomes over-extended in the government’s attempts to maintain world hegemony. MIM differs from other communist parties on three main questions:

1) MIM holds that after the proletariat seizes power in socialist revolution, the potential exists for capitalist restoration under the leadership of a new bourgeoisie within the communist party itself. In the case of the USSR, the bourgeoisie seized power after the death of Stalin in 1953; in China, it was after Mao’s death and the overthrow of the “Gang of Four” in 1976. (2) MIM upholds the Chinese Cultural Revolution as the farthest advance of communism in humyn history. (3) As Marx, Engels and Lenin formulated and MIM has reiterated through materialist analysis, imperialism extracts super-profits from the Third World and in part uses this wealth to buy off whole populations of oppressor nation so-called workers. These so-called workers bought off by imperialism form a new petty-bourgeoisie called the labor aristocracy. These classes are not the principal vehicles to advance Maoism within those countries because their standards of living depend on imperialism. At this time, imperialist super-profits create this situation in the Canada, Quebec, the United States, England, France, Belgium, Germany, Japan, Italy, Switzerland, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Israel, Sweden and Denmark. MIM accepts people as members who agree on these basic principles and accept democratic centralism, the system of majority rule, on other questions of party line.

“The theory of Marx, Engels, Lenin and Stalin is universally applicable. We should regard it not as dogma, but as a guide to action. Studying it is not merely a matter of learning terms and phrases, but of learning Marxism-Leninism as the science of revolution.”

Fake Maoists attack Iran’s nuclear energy program in sync with imperialists

After two months of MIM’s exposure of their work for the State Department, the ReP-u$A brought forward their tie to an organization that is working with the Iranian Muhahedin as a stooge of U.$ imperialism. This latest article uses some political rhetoric to conceal services to imperialism. For that reason, MIM goes through it separately, line-by-line below with our own comments interspersed in red.

U.$ imperialism is the world’s number-one enemy, the principal enemy of the world’s people. It is not the principal enemy of the world’s people, except if we are talking about winmin, except if nuclear energy is involved or except when U.$ imperialism is attacking mullahs. All the “exceptions” are a long-standing position of Bob American on the world’s principal contradiction, as meaning nothing, especially now when the world’s genuine Maoists agree that the principal contradiction is between imperialism and oppressed nations with the U.$ imperialists as the principal enemy within that. It’s hard for the State Department’s principal contradiction around the formulation that the united States is the principal enemy of the world’s people. When we see people doing that and calling themselves “Maoist,” we better look out.

There is nothing in materialism by itself that guarantees a non-eclectic line. Feed enough granola and California sunshine to someone and that someone may interpret dialectics as meaning “the web of life.” While it is true that dialectics is about interconnections, Mao’s version of dialectics is not about an ultra-democratic, egalitarian and thereby scattered view of dialectics. Stalin and Mao both used the term “principal contradiction” and Mao enshrined it as his philosophy. The whole idea of a principal contradiction is dead-set against eclecticism and it is what prevents flim-flam artists masquerading as Maoists.

Already in 1921, the Soviet Union’s Commissariat on the nationalities question published that without fail the imperialists will try to win over the winmin and intelligentsia by talking about Islam’s faults on the veil. Sadly, nothing has changed. Today we see the imperialists and ReP-u$A doing the same thing on the Islam question that Lenin’s party already warned against. They fail to offer a way out of exploitation in general and they only offer the fight against the veil as a means of subservience to U.$ imperialism.

Also, see our web page on crypto-Trotskyism, the ReP-u$A and the RIM which stole our name: http://www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/wim/wyl/crypto.html See the article from the Iranian stooges of U.$ imperialism belonging to the RIM: http://www.etext.org/Politics/MIM/wim/wyl/crypto/rcpusairansectiononnukes.html

Evaluating the success of a rally: Hands off Cuba and Venezuela!

Washington, DC 20 May 2006

About 500 people held a rally for “Hands off Cuba and Venezuela.” Marchers chanted against democracy’s being controlled by an elite. There were banners to free native leader Leonard Petitier, free the Cuban Five and for Venezuela’s relationship with Iran. We heard a very short pep talk to free Puerto Rico too and there were banners for African Liberation Day, May 25th.

We were happy to attend the rally and oppose Uncle Sam’s economic blockade on Cuba and CIA coup attempts against elected bourgeois politician Chavez in Venezuela; even though we do not see Castro or Chavez as models.

The endorsements list had almost as many people as the rally. There seemed to be good cooperation among the participants, mostly Trotskyists. In rough order of numerical significance, there were contingents from Workers World Party, Socialist Workers Party, Freedom Socialist Party, “World Can’t Wait” and some more hard-core Trotsky splinter groups.

The organizers did hard work putting up posters for the rally. The posters and their big black letters were highly visible in the surrounding neighborhoods.

The rally seemed to happen at the right time and place. Trotskyists of various shades had publicized the rally up and down the East Coast, especially at the migrant rallies, in both English and Spanish.

The initial gathering point at Malcolm X Park was close to the heavily Latino and chic Adams-Morgan district. Despite the timing, place and mechanical sociology of the event, organizers must have been disappointed with the turnout.

We say “mechanical sociology” to refer to a common way of thinking in political activist circles “aiming” at a certain “social base.” Evidently, talking about Venezuela does not guarantee a Latino turnout.

There is a lesson that we need to draw out from time to time. We call it “mechanical sociology,” because the reasoning is too simple—going straight from point A to point B without struggle. Mechanical sociology lands us in identity politics where the correctness or otherwise of one’s politics stems from the individual’s ethnic background.

First of all, generalizations about ethnicity are just that—generalizations true at the group level, not the individual level. Secondly, the sociology does not come into play without a struggle.

So for example, had the bourgeoisie press covered an invasion of Cuba in recent days, we’re sure the turnout would have been higher. On the other hand, Uncle Sam’s blockade does not register as well in public opinion as war by occupying troops.

Another lesson we can learn from this particular rally is actually quite important but difficult. It has to do with numbers and unity of so-called socialist groups inside U.$ borders.

At this rally Trotskyism and the old “Communist Party USA” united. The Trotskyists allowed the Freedom Socialist Party to speak for example, so there was no attempt to hog the whole rally.

We often hear that the so-called socialist organizations should all unite and then there would be victory for them in the united States. This is not true. The Trotskyist spectrum did unite today, but the result was not earth-shaking. That is how it would be for any unity of “socialists” inside U.$ borders.

When we blame the political leaders for not being able to walk on water, we engage in a form of historical idealism. Instead, we need to learn when material conditions are to blame for the lack of a movement.

In truth, the bourgeoisie is going to organize most of our socialist revolution in spite of itself. In the Soviet case, the tsar organized the army and then the army fell apart and used its internal organization for revolution. Today, the migrant movement stems from national and religious organization. The migrant movement is not a result of heroic individual communist leadership or even a combination of parties. Such a view that leadership created the migrant movement or lack of a Cuba solidarity movement is idealism—a lack of attachment to reality that hurts our movement.

Even the trade union is not going to play a role in the U.$ revolution, except among migrant workers. What leaders can affect is the direction of a movement, possibly. Uniting all 500 people today was good for a rally, but the same unity shows the limits of what uniting the left-wing of white nationalism can accomplish. Numbers are good for self-assurance, but what else could we accomplish with all the people that showed up today is the question that people need to ponder more deeply. The Americans are the last people who should give up scientific struggle for the sake of an easy-going unity. There is not much to gain from an easy-going unity and everything to lose in terms of ideological quality. Comrades who struggle to reach a higher quality of political understanding can still do their “share” of internationalist preparations for a communist future—regardless of what the rest of the u.$ population is doing or what class it comes from.

The Trotskyists worked hard for this event today. People did not turn out to stop the blockade of Cuba or Uncle Sam’s interference in Venezuela. Shame on the U.$ population for that.
Minutemen get some recognition in Bush speech

From 1...

their Arizona project a year ago, the Minutemen had popular support among Euro-Americans. Some Minutemen were in fact professionalized or disguised neo-Nazis. Most of their members, supporters and fans were not. Liberals still treated the Minutemen as a fringe group located safely outside the mainstream. They had an overly optimistic (utopian ultra-left) view of Euro-Americans. So did phony leftists who reached out to the Minutemen because they thought they all had something in common, because they did. U.S. worker chauvinism and vague opposition to some “bosses” and corporations. They also had in common a desire to defend imperialist living standards and other privileges that are parasitic on Third World people.

The idiotic and reactionary so-called left told Asians, Caribbeans and Latin Americans that the Minutemen weren’t so bad and could be dissuaded. The Minutemen are even more indisputably popular and mainstream than before, but today they still prompt quait calls for “international solidarity.” The majority of whites, rather than a struggle against the white settler labor aristocracy that created the anti-migrant movement, and against white chauvinism and white dominance. The pseudo-leftists expect some kind of white savior, yet even “minorities” in Congress are trying to sound tough on border security — fulfilling a goal of the Minutemen. Not all Minutemen openly called for more immigration restrictions, though that was certainly a subtext. Calling for border security was just a way to get the rest of the so-called workers. For example, bringing migrants refuse to put their money where their mouth is and let the Minutemen speak for them. By referencing the Minutemen, Bush treated all the causes of migration — the one hand, and lawfulness and security. Bush announced a plan to increase the number of Border Patrol agents, in addition to the temporary deployment of National Guard troops, and supported training and increased funding for local and state agencies to assist with enforcement—all a waste of resources that could go to making people better off here and in Mexico. Also, Bush wanted to make it easier to quickly deport any undocumented migrant who is caught, regardless of the distance he or she came from. Bush said additional detention beds and “expedited” legal processing had already helped and said he would ask Congress for more “funding and legal authority.”

Euro-Americans use the idea that they are part of an immigrant nation to justify their presence in and dominance over North America. They pretend to be generous when “welcoming” new migrants. This also makes it seem like Americans deserve their privileges.

If allowing migrants in the United States is just a matter of generosity, then barring them then becomes justified for any number of reasons that Euro-Americans can invent. Implementing immigration restrictions in a more effective way — intensifying the repression of migrants — is also seen as legitimate. By supporting enhanced repression, Bush supported the demands of Euro-American settlers and the settler nation workers in particular.

Bush treated all the causes of migration as external and even said undocumented migration “[brought] crime to our communities.” Actually, the United States is the biggest perpetrator of injustice on the planet. The snotty, hypocritical Euro-American settler nation workers in particular.

Bush treated all the causes of migration as external and even said undocumented migration “[brought] crime to our communities.” Actually, the United States is the biggest perpetrator of injustice on the planet. The snotty, hypocritical Euro-American settler nation workers in particular.

Bush framed the immigration reform debate in a reactionary way, in terms of a tension between the United States’ economic and political interests, and the demands of the Euro-American so-called working class. Various things Bush said indicated this, not just his recognition of the Minutemen.

Bush’s indirect acknowledgment of the Minutemen is not surprising. From early on, many critics of the Minutemen only disagreed with the Minutemen’s vigilante tactics and careless rhetoric sometimes bordering on open racism. And other critics only pretended to oppose the Minutemen’s ideological positions. Now that the Minutemen have gained respect from the media, they have dropped this pretense.

Minutemen get some recognition in Bush speech
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A new development in the international communist movement

May 17, 2006

The April 30th 2006 demonstration of former Iranian Marxists outside the United Nations against Iran’s uranium enrichment program has been a last straw of sorts in MIM’s relationship to the international communist movement and can no longer abide by the slow pace of developing class consciousness. Seeing former self-described Marxists reduced to such a pitiable state reinforces our determination to keep Marxism-Leninism-Maoism fresh instead of pretending that strategic evaluations never change or that an accumulation of strategic problems since the death of Mao in 1976 could not make a self-described “Marxist” organization become a stouge of imperialism.

It’s been 30 years since Mao died, and plenty of big problems have arisen on the border with the United States. The “guest worker” temporary-worker programs, they do talk about abuses of migrants in the United States, but we are still not able to say it did everything possible picturing that and the aftermath, will MIM’s reactionaries aren’t seriously interested in seeing their old comrades implement opposition to United States imperialism.

When we at MIM ask ourselves about some chance that Bush will use nuclear warheads on Iran—including new tactical nuclear weapons in the near future—and picturing that and the aftermath, will MIM be able to say it did everything possible to prevent it? When even paleo-conservatives are saying now is the时机 to act against this threat and they are giving us all the inside poop on their former conservative comrades to get this done, can it be said MIM does not have a glimmer of recognition? We also have our former comrades who are not up to the task of confronting the war of provocations. Some have poor leaders. Some may even have geopolitical interests that are somewhat congruent with U.S. imperialism at the moment. And if our reader can picture the use of nuclear weapons by the United States again, then our reader will also know why we have to break at this time with our former comrades—including some parties conducting Peoples’ Wars but without an immediate U.S. occupation to contend with.

In the ideal world, the masses doing the most to fight U.S. occupation would have the best Maoist parties leading them. Then the imperialists just wouldn’t have a goal of opposing the number-one enemy of the world’s people and supporting the Peoples’ Wars. Alas, the people of Iraq are doing far more to shake the empire than any other armed struggle. Places two through five might very well go to Afghanistan, Pakistan and Palestine.

Specific calculations causing the appearance of this new line

Overall, MIM finds the likelihood of anti-Islamic war higher than the likelihood of imperialist occupation of the countries of our ex-comrades. The Islamic countries are at this moment standing down more imperialist troops than our ex-comrades are. Some of our ex-comrades have tolerated a party that formed on the basis of opposition to seeing the principal contradiction between imperialism and the oppressed nations. These ex-comrades stupidly tied themselves to a tool of anti-Islamic chauvinism.

Between 1917 and 1923 Lenin and Stalin worked with Sultan-Galiev. Later Sultan-Galiev is reported to have regretted the work and rumors say that Stalin had him shot in 1940. Nonetheless, the period of work with nationalities from 1917 to 1923 and Sultan-Galiev’s role in founding the Communist University for the Toliers of the East were very productive. Without that work, we can say there would have been no Soviet Union. It was the fact that Lenin’s party was far ahead of the Russian imperialists on the national question and far ahead of imperialism generally on colonialism that brought victory to Lenin, even just in the regions associated with Russian empire historically. Today we are thinking a lot about roughly the same peoples and their difficulties with imperialism.

We have our doubts that our ex-comrades would be saying and doing the same things if the United States were occupying them. Without breaking with these ex-comrades, we are not doing them any favors. They pig-headedly refuse to acknowledge Aztlán while recognizing Canada and this confines our young comrades, like many other wrong points in the international communist movement. MIM needs to stand up clearly for the Aztlán struggle, including by public criticism of our ex-comrades. This is “for their own good” in the case of the Third World peoples misled by ex-comrades with illusions about the nature of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism. If the super-profit gorging parties win the day, it will only mean more Latino troops occupying countries with Peoples’ Wars in the future. That will be much more painful than enduring harsh words from MIM right now.

Pragmatism, reciprocity & sectarianism

Over more than the last 15 years, MIM has delivered documents to the world on certain Peoples’ Wars. Unknown to most of the world, MIM delivered millions of documents and terabytes of data in support of organizations that never even recognized MIM. This is certainly a “sectarian” through a misuse of the word. MIM practices “one divides into two,” but the last organization in the world to do the same is MIM.

Notes:

GREAT OPPRESSOR CULTURAL COUNTER-REVOLUTION BEGINS

From 1... not a struggle that the proletariat can win inside U.S. borders by itself. In China yes, a struggle like this makes sense. In the United States, we are vastly outnumbered by the kind of yahoos disseminating Holocaust revisionism. This is a call for help from the whole world.

Fortunately, in its shifting charges against Churchill, the 125 page report has now provided exactly the basis for opening this struggle to the international level. In one charge brought against Ward Churchill, the Central Counterrevolutionary Group at the University of Colorado said on page 83 of its tract, that using the number of 400,000 killed by smallpox in Western America 1837-1840 is a "fabrication."

At the heart of the debate is another scholar’s work (Thornton) showing a lower number of people killed in one area. The GOCCers say it is a "fabrication" to extrapolate 400,000 dead from whites’ spreading smallpox among First Nation peoples in one region 1837-1840, especially since Churchill based himself in a record of lives lost. Perhaps he has left the truth out of this and look at what the GOCCers are saying. When we look at what the GOCCers themselves say, it is clearly a matter of extrapolation: "Explanining that smallpox was said to have been 'brought to the northern plains by a steamboat traveling the Missouri River,' Thornton notes that it 'killed 10,000 American Indians there in but a few weeks. The total numbers of American Indians thought to have died are overwhelming' (pp. 94-5)." Thornton then suggests figures for certain of those tribes, including the Mandan and Arikara, which add up to around 17,000-19,000 deaths. He mentions other tribes without providing numbers of deaths: Indians in California, 'many Osage,' the Chocotaw, Chicksaw, and other southern tribes, the Kiowa, Apache, Gros Ventre, Winnebago, Carbonado, Cayuse, and "other New Mexico, Canada, and Alaska Indians." (p. 95.)"

In other words, Thornton showed that the smallpox quickly killed 10,000 in one place and then spread to others, but look how far spread out those other places are—including Great Britain for crying out loud. It does not mean Thornton or Churchill showed 400,000 dead, but for Churchill to say 125,000 to 400,000 dead just based on reading Thornton AND knowing the spread of indigenous population at that time in fact reasonable—certainly nothing worthy of a lynch mob committee. We suspect that the historian in charge of using "historical" "standards" is an authoritarian literalist not accustomed to the field of demography yet. It is a rather poor reflection on the intelligence and reading bent of those who have been affected.

To achieve a figure of 400,000 dead, there would only have to be 40 towns in three years affected the way Thornton said one town was affected. He mentioned killings of 17,000-19,000 in just what he looked at, which is a small portion of what he himself said was affected. Is it really wrong to say looking at Thornton "suggests" much more when Thornton himself said the spread of towns affected was great? Would Thornton have been satisfied if Churchill had said, "basin myself on Thornton, I can’t see how less than 125,000 to 400,000 could have died."

Would it really be accurate for Churchill to claim credit for a figure of 400,000 when Thornton then attests that the smallpox spread all over after killing 10,000 people in one place right away? If Churchill had claimed credit for the 400,000 figure, would not these same GOCCers be here saying Churchill "stole" someone else’s work? MIM finds this to be a very ambiguous point, not nearly as clear-cut as the GOCCers would like. If Churchill points to Thornton, the GOCCers say he put words in Thornton’s mouth. If he did not mention Thornton and given the implication of what the spread of smallpox over such a vast territory at that time could mean, they would have accused him of plagiarism. Crediting Thornton with the ability to extrapolate would seem a proper course. In any case, MIM is here to say we’re willing to trade the firing of Ward Churchill for the firing of all the China scholars at the University of Colorado, (pp. 94-5)."

What we thought all along about Ward Churchill’s critics was something like this: "Critic: Duh, I can read, and ‘blood quantum’ is not in the General Allotment Act. Churchill theorist: The General Allotment Act established the use of blood quantum in the definition of ‘Indian.’" Upon first reading of the report issued by the Great Oppressor Cultural Counterrevolution Group (GOCC Group) at the University of Colorado, it seemed that our hypothesis was vindicated: "The Committee concluded that Professor Churchill’s description’s of the General Allotment Act of 1887, while perhaps slightly more accurate than Professor LaVeille credits them with being, are nevertheless literally incorrect." The GOCCers spread more about Churchill’s "incompetence" at this point. The committee then goes on to say that Churchill has the better of the debate in the long-run.

We find it ridiculous that some empiricist literalist reading of history stands in the way of theory. The General Allotment Act (as the title sounds) is about handing out land. It is therefore an obvious suspect in the political economy behind the creation of relations with the oppressor white nation.

What Ward Churchill says that law established a blood quantum in defining "Indian," he is not just partly correct. He is more correct than literally correct. The petitioning report writers point out that a court case (United States v. Rogers, 45 U.S. 567 (1846), before the Dawes Act also used blood quantum to define "Indian." This is a typical ntipick by the anti-Churchill people, because there is no way that murder cases were going to affect a large number of people or their ethnic definition. The court case is an example in the superstructure of an attitude that Churchill said was there, but it was only an example. To really put the pedal to the metal, we had the Dawes Act systematize a eugenics code. Now there was a path to First Nation people’s...
be sectarian is MIM. The proof is that MIM supports organizations that show us no reciprocity.

One reason that MIM tolerated a lack of reciprocity is that we hate the politics of reciprocity that we have seen. There have been too many unprincipled documents signed by too many watery parties that should not claim to be scientific communist parties. Reciprocity takes on a life of its own and people sign things without knowing why. It’s much better to have someone chew on the MIM line and not like it than to pretend to digest it and ask for more.

Today, we can say that our comrades in the Peoples’ Wars and elsewhere have had adequate exposure to true Marxism-Leninism-Maoism. We know because we have measured the terabytes distributed. We’ve done too much work in this area to tolerate our ex-comrades’ apathetic approach to international class analysis. Increasingly we believe that with one particular party conducting People’s War, pragmatist cynicism by some of its key leaders is standing in the way.

The political line problems rehashed

Regarding the universals of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, Lenin and Stalin said Blacks were a nation. Trotsky said they were a race. We’ve told our comrades this many times. They have not heeded the obvious. Quite the contrary, many still heed the Trotskyist view of Cominterns and uphold parties that are not in step with Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, and never have been.

Khruschev came to power and Gus Hall dropped the Black nation thesis. Right-hand of Stalin Harry Haywood and others left the party. Not one bit of that is a secret that MIM dug up.

Since then the “Communist Party of the USA” has supported the civil rights movement and the multiracial view of one nation fighting the imperialist. It is obvious that within the universals of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism, nothing could be more obvious. Trotsky and Khruschev are on one side and Lenin, Stalin and MIM on the other side. The sad truth is, no it was not obvious to even our comrades in the Peoples’ Wars.

At this time, when the ability to link the international proletariat any favors by appealing to the Myth of an imperialist-country “working class” that the petty-bourgeoisie makes less than the garbage collector of Los Angeles. That is even more true in most countries. Because things are incorrectly calibrated by imperialist-country revisionism, most of the world communist movement hews to the mythology of an imperialist-country “working class” that is somehow better paid and owns more assets than the Third World petty-bourgeoisie.

This leads us to emphasize what is MIM Thought, the application of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism for specific conditions. On the other hand, we already have the Black Nations, already having the multiracial development. If that is not public, we shall count our struggle a contribution to the imperialist country rather than a call themselves comrades of ours. Perhaps MIM made a mistake in interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines.

The only problem is— the cynical comments about Kanada and Aztlán are not in line with the universal aspects of Marxism-Leninism-Maoism. If Blacks are a nation, then why not Kanada and Aztlán? If Kanada and Aztlán do not exist as a nation own vanguard party like Kanada. I will try to spin this as best I can: there is some benefit to seeing these questions as specific to MIM Thought, because we are dealing with so many problems with the Wang Ming line internationally. For us to treat questions as more than 50% application is also healthily generally.

MIM was the first organization in the world. When the state smashed the PCP with the active aid of a party still tolerated by our former comrades.

For this reason, MIM is going to continue offering PCP documents to the world. When the state smashed the original leadership of the PCP, MIM was already engaged in a serious line struggle with it—all the details of which are still not public. We shall count our struggle with the PCP as prematurely terminated. On the other hand, MIM will no longer recognize any current Peruvians as having continuity with the PCP. It may not be aiding the struggle too much given the Peruvians’ struggle’s stage of development.

Policy

Henceforth, MIM is going through a re-registration process with all international comrades. We cannot abide by the combination of circumstances we are in. From now on, it’s not just a MIM line and not like it than to pretend to digest it and ask for more. We can rejoin forces at a later moment. We are not doing the job.

We will demand reciprocity. Comrades prepared to recognize Aztlán need not call themselves comrades of ours. Likewise, anyone going to tolerate the Iranian Mujahedin or the RCP=USA need not bother calling themselves comrades of ours. If that is not public, we shall count our struggle a contribution to the imperialist country rather than a call themselves comrades of ours.

1. Parties that wish to be regarded as Marxist-Leninist-Maoists must publicize their lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines. The International Minister does not claim to interpreting other parties’ lines.

2. All organizations that MIM deems need to have met the challenges of this period and not let their struggle removed from our website.

3. It’s not that MIM is going to put up web pages attacking the parties not facing immediate U.S. occupation. If our former comrades would like to criticize us, they may do so. We would rather drop the subject until a more propitious historical moment.
Kurds of PKK now caught in the Mideast chess game

The U.S. occupation of Afghanistan and especially Iraq changed the whole region’s geopolitical chess game. On May 5, according to a study in 2004 Turkey announced publicly that it does not differ with Iran on nuclear energy: “Turkish Prime Minister Recep Tayyip Erdogan said Friday, ‘Iran and Turkey’s viewpoints on nuclear activities are not different.’”(1) Turkey’s stance is significant in courts, because jurisdictional imperialist powers are trying to isolate Iran politically to force it to stop its nuclear energy program.

There was no decisive rebuttal from Turkey about Iran’s claims. The United States had asked Turkey to honor its previous statements to Uncle Sam about Iran. Thus, Uncle Sam also claimed it had a deal with Turkey. The Asia Times has published an especially insightful article about the imperialist view of the situation.(2) Iran is also courting Turkey by bombing Kurdish people. Even worse for our empiricists, such situations are used as a test case to show that the Bush administration’s War on Terror is working.

The United States has long named the PKK one of the few officially “terrorist” groups in the world. The American public hears that the PKK might be communist or Middle Eastern, and that rules up a U.S. public opinion to support wasteful warmongering and pseudo-security budgets, because there is no real Euro-American proletariat to think otherwise.

Before the U.S. occupations of Afghanistan and Iraq, Turkey and other puppet regimes in the region represented the Kurds. Kurds know to most people “Turkey” also involved 250,000 troops into Iraq to fight Kurds in the hills during the U.S. occupation. The United States gave approval to this while also giving approval to Kurdish participation and rights in the colonial Iraq regime. When Kurds inside Iraq’s borders were threatened by Turkey, they have to run to the U.S. puppet in Baghdad, who then makes obligatory noises about Iraq’s territorial integrity. This is all fine by U.S. imperialism.

To some extent, the U.S. imperialists try to model Iraq on themselves. Being a liberal democracy however, does not solve the national question. The Blacks and Kurds are not the same, because to them there is no real Euro-American proletariat.

We would have thought that this was just another case of people not understanding political economy, how the power of land distribution affects the creation of indigenous pseudo-government. It would be the force of land distribution that takes all the eugenics ideas in the air and made them concrete in puppet tribal governments and relations with Uncle Sam.

In actuality, Ward Churchill’s critiques are more evil than just willfully ignoring the theoretical mechanism of how white to First Nation relations formed. As it turns out, the University of Colorado GOCCers are also literally wrong in their own pettifogging empiricist way.

The report against Churchill claims: “The requirement of Indian blood did not originate with either express or implied requirements of the Dawes Act of 1887, as Professor Churchill claims.”

The same anti-Churchill GOCCers say: “The evidence indicates that during the allotment period, for a brief three-year window from 1917 to 1920, a half-blood quantum standard in practice took the place of the purpose that Professor Churchill claims.”

That’s it. So the GOCCers try to support the litigators who say they did not see “blood quantum” in the law. Wow, a computer search did not find the phrase.

The argument of the GOCCers would be like going to France and having a court rule you can’t have your U.S. passport back because you don’t like McDonalds. Sure, that affects Amerikanness, but it’s not going to establish much other than an opinion in one case. Notice for the Dawes Act. Crucially, the Dawes Act intervened in the self-government of the First Nations. The impact of the act was widespread and internal to the First Nations themselves and was thus more far-reaching.

The original conflict that needs explanation is the fight by Kurds for their self-determination in a region overlapping the First Gulf War by Bush Sr., who again-off-again policy since the days of Truman.

The argument is that you don’t like McDonalds. You go to white courts and some are handled under indigenous law. It does not mean the treaty system is invalid. It is MIM’s analytical opinion that elements of the Turkish military could live without the European Union, seek an Asian orientation and might even be happy taking up a Marxist-Leninist-Maoist line to accomplish it. On the one hand, the Turkish military with ties to the U.S. military can support pretend revolutionaries as with the Iranian Mujahedin. This becomes an extension of neo-conservative U.S. politics and a chess game the proletariat can’t win.

On the other hand, if we see any Turkish military leaders calling for and carrying out actual strikes on U.S. military forces, that will be the real deal, potentially the real Maoist. The people attacking U.S. military forces are the real unifiers of the nation, the real peace-lovers. The proof is what happens to countries and regions that allow the united States to play off one people against another.

The Soviet system is ideal for handling imperialists. Only when oppressed peoples recognize each other and unite can they

5 minutes research on Ward Churchill
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internalizing the ideas of the white man, with the backing of land distributed by the white man.

Even worse for our empiricists, such blood quantum reasoning was not used in courts, because jurisdictional imperialism.

The requirement of Indian blood did not originate with either express or implied requirements of the Dawes Act of 1887, as Professor Churchill claims.

The same anti-Churchill GOCCers say: “The evidence indicates that during the allotment period, for a brief three-year window from 1917 to 1920, a half-blood quantum standard in practice took the place of the purpose that Professor Churchill claims.”

That’s it. So the GOCCers try to support the litigators who say they did not see “blood quantum” in the law. Wow, a computer search did not find the phrase.

Go to next page...
Kurds
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drive out their real oppressors. Those who fight the imperialists deserve citizenship in a Soviet-wide system of all the peoples involved.

The conservatives of Turkey will see no reason to go Maoist, if they cannot think into the future. All they see is stability at risk with the rise of a Kurdistan. If conservatives could envision what has happened to Afghanistan, Iraq and other countries destroyed by U.S. divide-and-conquer, they would see that their concerns about stability can only be accomplished by rethinking the major irritant to the region’s peoples, U.S. imperialism. Otherwise, Turkey can end up like the others who fall for U.S. schemes—a wrench of a country begging for more green cards. Turkish conservatives need to realize quickly that being in coalition with a protagonist can preserve Turkey from the fate of an Iraq or Afghanistan or Armenia.

Turkish conservatives have a class basis for realizing that socialism is the only way out, unlike conservatives in the united States, who have spoils from the whole world in which their country has accomplishments worth preserving, they should also realize who has the power to degrade Turkey’s conditions: it is not really the Kurds or even Kurds plus Armenians.

At the moment, the political pressures that the victorious U.S. military leaders face are coming out into the open and revealing why a scenario of Maoist revolution coming from the Turkish people and maybe even the Turkish military is not completely far-fetched. The political forces at work in Turkey are constantly there for revolution, because Turkey and other countries have “no way out” but socialism as Mao said.

Perhaps most astonishing is the hostile tone toward the United States emanating from Turkey in veiled comments—more veiled than Putin’s comments about “London’s” desire to turn Russia’s doors—but nonetheless significant. First puppet Kurds ran tattle-tale to the United States and England about Turkey in northern Iraq. That was to be expected. Next though, Turkey did respond publicly, a few times. TIM is surprised by that. It’s an indication of how super-charged the situation is that the United States has unleashed a civil war in Iraq with immediate implications for Turkey and Iran as well. One factor may be that the leftist prime minister Erdogan must do something to keep the nation’s attention focused on the PKK “terrorists” in Iraq.(3) The open admission that Turkey knows about U.S. meetings with the PKK would be a virtual declaration of war against the United States within the logic of bourgeois diplomacy. Nonetheless, the position Turkey is in right now could be clearer for those who need to learn about divide-and-conquer. The United States created the operating room for the Kurds and also supplied the Kurds and the Turkish military both. Obvious benefactors of supplying both sides of any conflicts are the U.S. arms manufacturers paid for with money from the U.S.-exploited peoples and oppressed peoples. Overall, the fighting among oppressed peoples decreases their ability to run their own economies. This guarantees that Kurds and Turks will both end up cheap labor in Europe and elsewhere.

So far, the Turkish compadres. What they are saying is, “look, Turkey is not part of the EU yet and nor are we the 51st state of the United States yet. So Kurds still count to us.”

When Iran met with Turkey May 5, the response of the United States Department of State was to demand an end to any discussion of “terrorists” in Iraq.(3) The open admission that the United States has armed and supplied the Kurds and the Turkish military both. Obvious benefactors of supplying both sides of any conflicts are the U.S. arms manufacturers paid for with money from the U.S.-exploited peoples and oppressed peoples. Overall, the fighting among oppressed peoples decreases their ability to run their own economies. This guarantees that Kurds and Turks will both end up cheap labor in Europe and elsewhere.

Thus there is a situation very similar to the one with the Iranian Mujahedeen. An organization is on the edge, but the United States names it “terrorist.” However, supposedly “terrorist” PKK is off-the-radar in American public opinion—the same way the Iranian Mujahedeen are except for their recent promotion by the neo-conservatives and the usual State Department lackeys. The United States is not hunting down everyone it calls “terrorist.” However, the U.S.-imperialism plays a geopolitical game.

Playing all its tactical geopolitical, military and oil cards with genius, Iran’s neo-conservatives and the usual State Department lackeys are using Turkey as a regional irritant to the region’s peoples, U.S. imperialism plays a geopolitical game.
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Migrant prisoner repression

Greetings revolutionary comrades. Can you send me some MIM Notes? I would like to read about the protest of the migrants and how to counter imperialist policies that want to pass a law making undocumented immigrants felons. I was born in Mexico but I’ve been living in the United States for 30 years. My release date from prison is 2013. I already have an INS hold. So as soon as I finish this prison sentence (17 years) the corrupt LA court gave me, the California prison system will release me to the border pigs. I’m 33 years old and even though I’ve lived in California all my life, all my family lives in the U.S., and my little daughter was born in CA and lives in LA, I’m still going to get deported.

Yes, we need to overthrow this racist and corrupt political system.

In struggle,
- A California prisoner, April 2006

California prisoners lack basic necessities

The prisoners here at Corcoran are deprived of adequate medical and mental health care. The medical policy is that once you turn in a health care service form you are supposed to have a face to face interview with the nurse. But here it takes prisoners 2 to 3 weeks to see a physician. We have mentally ill prisoners

MIM on Prisons & Prisoners

MIM seeks to build public opinion against America’s criminal injustice system, and to eventually replace the bourgeois injustice system with proletarian justice. The bourgeois injustice system imprisons and executes a disproportionately large and growing number of oppressed people while letting the biggest mass murderers — the imperialists and their lackeys — roam free. Imperialism is not opposed to murder or theft, it only insists that these crimes be committed in the interests of the bourgeoisie.

“All U.S. citizens are criminals — accomplices and accessories to the crimes of U.S. oppression globally until the day U.S. imperialism is overcome. All U.S. citizens should start from the point of view that they are reforming criminals.”

MIM does not advocate that all prisoners go free today; we have a more effective program for fighting crime as was demonstrated in China prior to the restoration of capitalism there in 1976. We say that all prisoners who posed to murder or theft, it only insists that these crimes be committed in the interests of the bourgeoisie.

Under Lock & Key

News from Prisons & Prisoners

When I first arrived at Corcoran SHU I didn’t receive a towel. I was using socks to wash and bath myself for two months until another inmate gave me an extra towel he had. The food here is not fresh and the trays they put the food on still have water from somewhere. Every time I eat I always have stomach pains afterwards. The CDC is serving us food not approved by the FDA. And when inspection is done the CDC is hid ahead of time so they can put on a facade like everyday is alright.

They gave me one thin blanket and no sheets. The cell is freezing in the wintertime, and they pump cold air through the vents.

- A California prisoner, March 2006

Corcoran SHU corrupt and cruel

California SHU惡 prison put me at risk around all these contaminating and contagious people. When my neighbor in the next cell flushes his toilet, his toilet paper with feces on it comes out my toilet. When you go to drink, the water coming out of the pipes smells horrible, like a sewer. When I complained about it they didn’t do anything about it, they just moved me to another unit with the same problems.

The police here are corrupt and lie and cover-up for one another, making false reports, beating up inmates while inmates are in handcuffs, staging yard fights daily, and cell fights. They are getting away with murder on a regular basis and going home to their families.
banging and screaming all night because they are not receiving proper mental health care.

The law library is wholly inadequate, they do not have a paging system, and 10 cages in the law library with 4 buildings of prisoners to service. Most of the time they don’t have the law books they need to look up a case, or pages are torn out of it because they do not allow prisoners to copy cases and the prisoner access to the law library is limited. They have one librarian who is inexperienced and most of the time she brings you the wrong law books.

Staff refuse to use the hot cart to heat the prisoner’s meals, instead they put the sheets of the hot carts so that they do not have to clean it afterwards. Then on linen day we are only able to exchange one sheet.

In March staff deliberately set two prisoners up to assault one another. They let one prisoner out for medical and while he was waiting for escort they let another prisoner of a different race out and then the guard never pressed his alarm. When the escort came they saw the two prisoners praying with their alarm. They kept fighting so the sergeant ordered the officer in the control to fire the block. The prisoner access to the law library is limited. Pages are torn out of it because they do not have the law books you need to look up a case, or they let some prisoners in anti-people activity. But rather they pit them against each other as a means of social control. When lumpen organizations engage in anti-people activity and the oppressed fight each other, the imperialists win.

Similarly, we cannot pit alleged “gang members” against other prisoners. Many oppressed nation youth in U.S. concentration camps are members of street organizations that are engaged in anti-people activity. But America is a day in the life of a prisoner. There are members of different gangs in 

**Facts on U.S. imprisonment**

The facts about imprisonment in the United States are that the United States has been the world’s leading prison-state per capita for the last 25 years, with a brief exception during Boris Yeltsin’s declaration of a state of emergency(1) that means that every 10 second a prisoner is added to the system. In supposedly “hard-line” Bulgaria of the Soviet bloc of the 1980s, the imprisonment rate was less than half that of the United States.(2,3)

To find a comparison with U.S. imprisonment of Black people, there is no statistic in any country that compares including apartheid South Africa of the era before Mandela was president. The last situation remotely comparable to the situation today was under Stalin during war time. The majority of prisoners are non-violent offenders(4) and the U.S. Government now holds about a half million more prisoners than China; even though China is four times the population. (5)

The rednecks tell MIM that we live in a “free country.” They live in an Orwellian situation where freedom is imprisonment.

**Notes:**
2. Ibid., 1992 report.
4. Figure of 51.2 percent for state prisoners there for non-violent offenses. Abstract of the United States 1993, p. 211.
El grupo anti-imigrante “Minutemen,” amenaza disparar hacia territorio Mexicano

El “North County Times” reporta que “Chase” dijo que en la mañana del Martes, el y un grupo de seguidores comensaran patrullando, y espera que el grupo crezca a 30 para el Sabado.(1) Sin embargo, “NBCSanDiego.com” reporta que: “un grupo oficialmente comensara patrullando la frontera este fin de semana y que sus miembros ya están tomando posiciones, reporto NBC 7/93…” comensando el Sabado, 100 miembros del grupo se supone que llegarán y comensaran patrullando la frontera evitando que personas entren a los Estados Unidos ilegalmente.”(2) Que raro que el tamaño esperado de “Vigilancia de la frontera de California” se ha sido triplicado en sólo unas horas. Esto es solo algo fingido que Chase ha fabricado, pero sin embargo es peligroso y amenaza envolviéndose bajo el soporte de un vicioso movimiento, para realizar más entensa la represión contra los trabajadores imigrantes.

Estos vigilantes de la frontera están armados, NBC 7/93 cita al líder de los “Vigilantes Fronterizos,” James Chase decir, el declarar “Estoy autorizando la gente hasta que traigan rifles de largo alcance; pero quiero que los dejen en los carros – no los estén apuntando, y no están señalando con ellos” dice Chase. “Están ahí por si alguien en la distancia, de México, disparan contra nosotros, entonces tendremos que suprimir y actuar para poder alejarnos de ellos hacia atrás.”(2)

Esto es significante, que James Chase está preparando para disparar hacia territorio Mexicano, al percibirse que su grupo anti-imigrante “Minutemen” están bajo un ataque aun entre distancia. Evidentemente, a su colega vigilante Andy Ramirez, se le ha realizado lo que temía. Ramirez, de “Amigos de la Patrulla fronteriza,” ha dicho y insiste que Chase quería usar francotiradores como una capacidad del según llamado guardia de la frontera.(3)

Hasta algunos colaboradores del anti-imigrante “Minutemen,” que forman diferentes grupos, temen un incidente internacional en la frontera que puede complicar y hacer más difícil el que se lleve a cabo la política anti-imigrante de los imperialistas. Sin embargo, agentes de la PatrullaFronteriza (Border Patrol), y ciudadanos de los Estados Unidos, han asesinado ciudadanos Mexicanos, dicen (algun) los imigrantes indocumentados, si suceder controversia internacional a lo menos, no en el lado imperialista estadounidense de la frontera. En el paso, el gobierno Mexicano empleo abogados buscando resolución por daños causados por los vigilantes Amerikkkanos en la frontera. En Mayo del 2000, el Ministro Mexicano, Rosario Green, y la Estadounidense y Secretaria del Estado, Madeline Albright, juntos dieron un informe en el cual condenaban a los rancheros vigilantes en la frontera.(5)

Pero al mismo tiempo, hacían un llamado a los dos gobiernos de los dos países para aumentar oficialmente las patrullas en la frontera.

Agentes de la Patrulla Fronteriza han disparado contra los imigrantes por sentirse amenazados – esa es la excusa de ellos. Mientras que solamente se han realizado unas pocas investigaciones, asesinatos de imigrantes en las manos de vigilantes y otros no han sido aun investigados. La diferencia, actualmente es que recientemente existen muchos más reportajes de reporteros sobre los vigilantes en la frontera y son cubiertos por los noticieros. En los proximos meses que vienen, la muerte de un imigrante por un vigilante podría resultar en el remplazo de los mismos para el claro racismo y chovinismo blanco.

Los minutemen de James Chase, ya están en Campo, se ha reportado, pero los activistas de Derechos Humanos y Imigrantes están organizando una protesta tambien en campo contra los Minutemen, este fin de semana.

Notas:

¿Qué es el MIM?

El Movimiento Internacionalista Maoísta (MIM) es un partido revolucionario comunista que ejerce el Marxismo-Leninismo-Maoísmo. El MIM es una organización internacional que trabaja desde el punto de vista del proletariado del Tercer Mundo; es por esto que sus miembros no son americanos sino ciudadanos del mundo.

El MIM lucha para acabar con la opresión de todos los grupos de cualquier otro, naciones por naciones, clases por clases, y géneros por géneros. La revolución es una realidad para los Estados Unidos mientras su ejército continúa extendiéndose en su esfuerzo por asegurar la hegemonía mundial.

El MIM difiere de otros partidos en tres puntos básicos: (1) El MIM sostiene que después que el proletariado conquiste el poder estatal, existirá aún el potencial para una restauración de tipo capitalista, bajo la dirección de una burguesía nueva dentro del mismo partido comunista. En el caso de la Unión Soviética, la burguesía se apoderó del gobierno después de la muerte de Stalin, en 1953; y en China después de la muerte de Mao y del derrocamiento de la llamada “banda de los cuatro” en 1976. (2) El MIM sostiene que la Revolución Cultural en China es la fase ms avanzada a la que llegó el comunismo en la historia. (3) El MIM afirma que la clase trabajadora blanca de los EE.UU. es primordialmente, una élite trabajadora no revolucionaria en el presente. Es por esto que no es el principal vehículo para avanzar el Maoísmo en este país.

El MIM acepta como miembro a cualquier individuo que esté de acuerdo con estos tres puntos básicos, y que acepte al centralismo democrático, el método de gobierno por la mayoría en lo que se refiere a cuestiones de línea del partido. El MIM es un partido clandestino que no publica los nombres de sus miembros para evitar la represión estatal dirigida históricamente contra los movimientos revolucionarios comunistas, y anti-imperialistas. Si Ud. desea una suscripción para cualquiera de nuestros periódicos o libros teóricos, en español o en inglés, por favor mandar dinero en efectivo o un cheque al nombre de MIM a esta dirección:

MIM
P.O. Box 29670
Los Angeles CA 90029-0670