U$ wars clarify politics

UNCLE $AM THREATENED PAKISTAN

Tough guy Bush is the world’s leading menace to peace

It took him and the imperialist media five years, but Pakistan’s leader Gen. Pervez Musharraf finally told the world that the Bush regime threatened to bomb Pakistan “into the Stone Age.”(1) This is significant not least of all, because Pakistan itself has nuclear weapons.

In previous articles, MIM revealed that the Bush regime already did undertake bombing of Pakistan’s territory, especially near Afghanistan. The U.$. imperialists justify it as “hot pursuit.” It is difficult to tell with this whether Bush asked Musharraf to reveal the truth at this moment—probably not. Bush is undertaking his “tough guy” image for the elections in November, but at the same time Bush professed to be “taken aback” by Musharraf’s charges. At this moment, MIM is uncertain how this will play out—whether any tough talk against any Third World leader is good for Republican votes because Amerikkans are such violent, fearful and racist people or whether the American public might think that Bush is unhinged for going after a power with nuclear weapons, a country with a tendency to be on the edge against India, as it is, without any further destabilization of the situation necessary.

In September, Bush went to the Congress to bat for the CIA in hopes of getting his party in control of Congress to make itself look good to rabidly chauvinistic U.$. voters. It was more of

In other words, these ex-radicals including their supposedly Maoist allies are performing the role of spies for u.$ imperialist wars. They are informing on Iran’s nuclear threat the same day and the like, but the basic reason is they are no longer able to make a good living from the home country’s people.

It is no accident that America’s state terrorist allies when invading Iraq are bourgeoisie “service based” economies deriving the bulk of their incomes from exploiting the Third World’s productive value created by their own work.

MIM has already documented the degeneration of the American working class into mainly a petty bourgeois rump, with a material economic interest in supporting U.$ imperialist empires’ nouveau riche imbeciles. It is now how they live and are able to live “well off” lives.

Now, they may come up with all sorts of reasons to justify their wars and even torture, from a war to protect “values” and “fighting for freedom” and the like.

IMPERIALIST WARS ARE WARS FOR PROFITS AND A DESCENT INTO BARBARISM

Imperialist wars are wars for protecting its profit system, but are increasingly driven by speculation.

Amerikkka’s allies’ economies compel them to join American imperialism’s wars for profits and a descent into barbarism.

Competition for profits by imperialism has changed the nature of these countries’ economies. These wars are not caused because they are “bad guys” by some inherent nature but because the imperialist profit system demands it.

It is now how
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For profits and a descent into barbarism.
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Iran and Lebanon continue to simmer

The cover story of government mouthpiece Time Magazine’s September 25 issue “What Would War Look Like?” is virtually promising the bombing of Iran. MIM advises readers to discount the story only because it could underestimate what imperialists plan on account of elections. For every president of the television era, the strategy after early September but before election day in November is not to have major new international events if possible. The strategy is to make things look like they are under control and thus stay on messages that Amerikkkans understand concretely without reference to countries and people they do not know.

For the average Amerikkkan, bombing Iran is a comforting thought, one where no troops occupy a country and face a long battle. Bombing has a sterile image in the Amerikkkan mass murdering psyche. It helps Bush right now along with the decline in gas prices by 20% to a third.

Bush would like an endorsement of sorts from Osama Bin Laden, but it would be unusual for a president to seek a military confrontation in days leading up to elections. Bush has ordered Navy ships to face Iran, including territory where Iranians could likely blow up the ships according to the Nation Magazine.

The Nation Magazine gives good petty-bourgeois reasons to oppose the war. Iran could retaliate in Lebanon, Iran itself by shutting down oil and by closing the Persian Gulf. Oddly, the attack on Iran would also possibly generate an exit from the Persian Gulf. Oddly, the attack on Iran’s allies in Lebanon. Hezbollah held a defiant rally of 800,000 people September 22nd in Beirut to celebrate their victory over Israel. That’s pretty amazing considering that Lebanon has less than 4 million people total according to the CIA.

We wish that Maoists had led the battle. They did not. So we have to give credit where credit is due. Lebanon, Iraq, Afghanistan, plans to attack Iran and now we hear that Pakistan received threats of an attack—MIM’s Central Committee was right when it said May 17: “Overall, MIM finds the likelihood of anti-Islamic war higher than the likelihood of imperialist occupation of countries of our ex-comrades. The Islamic countries are at this moment tying down more imperialist troops than our ex-comrades are. Some of our ex-comrades have tolerated a party that formed on the basis of opposition to seeing the principal contradiction between imperialism and the oppressed nations.

MIM advises readers to discount the story only because it could underestimate what imperialists plan on account of elections.

MIM is opposed to this war and stands with Iran’s allies in Lebanon. Hezbollah held a defiant rally of 800,000 people September 22nd in Beirut to celebrate their victory over Israel. That’s pretty amazing considering that Lebanon has less than 4 million people total according to the CIA.

We wish that Maoists had led the battle. They did not. So we have to give credit where credit is due. Lebanon, Iraq, Afghanistan, plans to attack Iran and now we hear that Pakistan received threats of an attack—MIM’s Central Committee was right when it said May 17: “Overall, MIM finds the likelihood of anti-Islamic war higher than the likelihood of imperialist occupation of countries of our ex-comrades. The Islamic countries are at this moment tying down more imperialist troops than our ex-comrades are. Some of our ex-comrades have tolerated a party that formed on the basis of opposition to seeing the principal contradiction between imperialism and the oppressed nations.

An opening for a third, petty-bourgeois party is in the math
Challenge to Daily Kos and other Democratic Party dogmatists

For many years, we in the United States have been in a position where there is a certain petty-bourgeois discontent with the two-party system. In times when a major political leader obtains a steady lopsided approval rating of say 65, 70 or 80%, it is inevitable that the other party will be the “lite” version of the more popular party. Such a situation has not existed for many years and we see again in 2006 Congressional elections that dogmatic Democrats refuse to learn.

The most telling figure concerns Democratic party prospects in 2006 November elections. With Bush’s approval rating in the 30s or 40% range, it is a prime opportunity to tell the public to let Democrats control Congress to reign in Bush.

Nonetheless, the public says that Democrats have not earned the right and will obtain Congress only by luck or default. Ultra-reactionary Fox News advisor, a staunch Republican, is the choice of only 30% against 48% for Democrats in its August 8 and 9 poll. The same poll showed a 36% positive rating for Bush.(1) Despite this, Congress is not in the bag for Democrats. It will be very close as in nail-biting if today’s polls hold true in November...

Another poll reported by CNN shows 53% for Democrats and 40% for Republicans in Congress. That’s what the following result from the very same poll so interesting.

“Only 41 percent of Americans believe that Democratic leaders in Congress ‘would move the country in the right direction.’ As for the GOP, 43 percent of Americans believe that Republican leaders in Congress would move the country in the right direction.”

That could mean trouble for Democrats who ramp up their margins in districts they already control without knocking off Republicans in districts they do not.

Only 40% of the public believes Republicans have done a good job while in control of Congress, (2) but Democrats are not grabbing the other 60%. Only 41% (and they may overlap with the Republicans) believe the right direction would result from Democratic control of Congress. This means that there is political space that Democrats do not hold.

It’s the same situation with Bush. His ratings can go way down into the low 30s. Yet that does not mean the public loves Gore or Kerry anymore than before. If Bush is at 32% as he has been at times, that means there is 68% up for grabs. Yet Democrats do not soar that high. If Democrats had split into two parties, they would have had a chance of getting 34% each and still both have more voters than Bush.

What it means is there could be between 60 to 70% of the people ready for another party. That’s not counting the new people that could be drawn into politics to alter it completely. It’s just looking at what people say about Democrats and Republicans now.

In those places in the country where Republicans are a small minority, it becomes obvious that Democrats should split into two. If they were serious about obliterating the Republicans. In other words, two-party dogmatists assume the right of Republicans to rule, especially in event of corruption of their own party detected at the local level—an inevitability a certain percentage of the time.

Those who hate Republicans enough to want to see them gone from politics have turned interest away from ‘last year’s war,’” By Matthew D. LaPlante, The Salt Lake Tribune, 14Sept2006, http://www.sltrib.com/ci_4334758.

Notes:

1. “Canadians Question Afghan role after surprise poll,” USAToday.com, 13Sept2006, http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/2006-09-10-canada-afghanistan_x.htm ; A third poll shows that the question is still 50-50 in Canada with 51% for the war. When a question is very close as in 50-50, it is normal for polls to have some difference, with some seeing a majority one way and others another way. It does not necessarily mean ineptitude by pollsters or that polls are total junk. http://www.anticitcorruption.ca/forum.phpBB2/viewtopic.php?pid=4006 &sid=79493bce2285fde6342641a0
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of Iraq that the people of Iraq are still fighting against.

In justification of itself, an organization calling itself “Maoist” but affiliated with a Trotsky-style organization that stole our name of “Revolutionary Internationalist Movement” (RIM) said we should support the “third pole” so that people can tell the difference between the Iranian regime and Maoism. This is a sectarian approach dividing the people in the face of U.S. imperialist invasion, for the benefit of a supposedly Maoist organization. In war, there are no triads. In actual fact, the third way is simply part of U.S. psy-war operations against Iran, to make the most patriotic of Iranians wobble. In contrast, Mao distinguished himself from other patriotic Chinese organizations without echoing U.S. or Japanese imperialism.

The RIM parties are nothing but tails of the NCRI. Their positions on winmin and nuclear energy have been exactly the same—recycled State Department lies. Going into International Winmin’s Day, they stressed “we are all united now,” and now they want to blame us for not distinguishing them from other organizations with the same exact tactical approach as theirs.

The fake Maoists based in the United States quoting their Iranian comrades said straight-out that they should take imperialist aid like they claim Khomeini did:

“it is possible to learn from reactionaries, too. Before getting state power in 1979, Khomeini and his clique were already acting like a future government. . . . what enabled him to do that? Because himself and his group thought that he had reached an agreement with the imperialist powers.”

Likewise, these lackeys of Uncle Sam want the people of Iran to be indifferent to a U.S. invasion. So instead of overwhelming the Islamic regime with nationalism mobilizing the super-exploited and oppressed, the lackeys of U.S. imperialism tail after the urban Liberals and echo every single thing Uncle Sam is saying about Iran ranging from the subject of winmin to nuclear power to the regime’s alleged lack of popularity, as if Khomeini would not have won a bourgeois election—another obvious State Department lie.

Stooges of U.S. imperialism are not part of a revolutionary movement. They aren’t even part of an anti-imperialist front as demonstrated by all the stands they have taken. Not only this year, centered on the idea that “It is clear that the people’s struggle should be focused against the main enemy, the IRI [the theocratic regime in Iran—MIM].” On a very similar note, other RIM stooges are going around saying, “the principal contradiction is between the people and Islamic terrorism.” Meanwhile, on International Winmin’s Day, Rice almost said the principal contradiction for the whole world was Iran: “We may face no greater challenge from a single country than from Iran,” she said. Thus, the fake Maoists were still in tune with U.S. Secretary of State Rice at the end of August, 2006.

The fake Maoists say Iran has nothing to do against U.S. imperialism while the Islamic reactionaries hold power in Iran—and in this they lie about the nature of Maoism in addition to their lies about Iran. Mao never held recognized state power before kicking out the Japanese imperialists entirely! This is just another shameless and passivity-promoting lie of the fake Maoists that nothing can be done while exploiters have state power.

With U.S. troops already in Iran, at the end of the August, the fake Maoists were still saying the main enemy was the reactionaries in the Khomeini government. Chiang Kai-shek claim government authority in China did not stop Mao from mobilizing against Japanese imperialism even better. The attitude of these fake Maoists is nothing but Trotskyist—perfect for their neo-conservative masters. Like the Trotskyists, they say there is no allying with the national bourgeoisie, when Lenin and Stalin both believed it was possible to unite with feudal lords against imperialist invasion, never mind the patriotic wing of the national bourgeoisie.

The reactionary Iranian regime has shown in practice that it is a much more serious partner of the anti-imperialist front than the fake Maoists. Contrary to fake Maoist lies, the Iranian regime is obviously preparing its people for American attack, and doing so within their bourgeois limits (for example, the current Iranian president did just challenge Bush, who chickened out.) The fact is that the Iranian regime is the left-wing of the national bourgeoisie as Mao put it, the most patriotic wing of the exploiters that there is.

Even if we grant the fake Maoists some concession to Iranian public opinion—belief that a war would really happen, a sort of strange confidence oblivious to world events that Iran is just Iran and not really oppressed—these fake Maoists are not thinking in a proletarian fashion about what else is going on in the Middle East. If alleged “Maoists” are kissing imperialism, they Manufacturers and dealers lose, and the “Maoists” who are kissing U.S. imperialism lose, and the “Maoists” who are kissing the regime have to clarify the political situation of U.S. imperialism. Wars in Afghanistan, Iraq, Iran and southern Lebanon leave the Arab and Islamic peoples with few doubts about the nature of U.S. imperialism. Old lackeys of U.S. imperialism in Egypt are defecting while new lackeys in Iran and Kurdistan are arising to help Uncle Sam. We know the CIA is active in handing out money to find new lackeys. It’s important to keep up with the changes of guard, with new stooges and new enemies of imperialism appearing almost daily.

In Egypt, the good news is that the Lebanese war lost Bush and Israel its ties to Egyptian Liberals, who have become more patriotic. Kifaya has gone in exactly the direction MIM has suggested for the Lebanese National Front to organize a healthy focus to-for revolution, those most interested in armed struggle will be exactly those most opposed to the Israeli invasion of Lebanon. The ones making jokes about how the Egyptian army is protecting the suburb the rulers live in instead of being in Lebanon or opening another front are the revolutionary material we seek. We do not seek Nabokov-reading, anti-nuke pacifists of Iran to claim to be some kind of Maoist vanguard. MIM has suggested numerous tactics to enable the Third World Maoists to distinguish themselves from their united front partners, but the root problem is that the fake Maoists as lackeys of Uncle Sam do not see a need for a united front of oppressed nation people against imperialism. The root idea that it is not possible to ally with the national bourgeoisie against imperialism is Trotskyist and does not belong in the Maoist repertoire.

The fake Maoists of Iran and the United States running the Trotskyist RIM claim complain about the pacifism of the Iranian people. Yet it is their stance on unjust wars that is lacking. Below is an example of what we need to do to get bourgeois Liberals of the Third World to change:

“i was among those who saw hope in an American role to bring democratic change,” said Nigad Borai, a rights activist whose organization, the Group for Democratic Development, benefited from a U.S. program offering financial assistance to civil society groups. “Now, I am convinced that a nation that tolerates Israeli war crimes and provides diplomatic coverage for them cannot be genuinely democratic,” he said.

The Maoists thus pointed out that the Egyptian reformist organizations had taken U.S. money before. Now the imperialists are letting their stooges take more critical outlooks out of fear of losing them completely and some stooges are breaking with imperialism completely.

That’s the direction we want to go in. The example we want to set—going from lackeys to breaking with imperialism. It’s exactly the opposite of what RIM does in Iran and Afghanistan.

Borai is certainly correct that war is a big enemy of minority rights and hence sensible citizen participation in democracy. For U.S. imperialism, majority rule means that a majority of white people rules the whole world.

On the other hand, while some Third World Liberals are being radicalized by U.S. imperialism’s most direct moves, others are being liberalized into being ex-radicals. Kurds inside Iraqi borders are allowing themselves to be used by Bush in order to try to score points on Saddam Hussein in his trial by U.S. lackeys. Obviously the Kurds are not thinking about their neighbors when they appeal to world public opinion via Uncle Sam. In Kurd Kifaya, the fake Maoists are being bought-off, and it does not matter which. The RIM and their conciliators have proved to be in that boat and we are not going to get into whether they lack line struggle, whether they are inept or whether they have been bought off. They could all be on U.S. payroll, so we have to look at the actions and stands—and these stands are way over the line for Maoists, though perfectly acceptable for Trotskyists.

What has happened in connection to Iran is so obvious and brazen that MIM has no relationship to any organization calling itself Maoist that fails to denounce the phony Maoists of the RIM concerned. It would only turn out that we allowed ourselves to be associated with the U.S. government, so we do not take kindly to any kind of association of the word “Maoism” with action by U.S. stooges. MIM and RIM share no unity, and we are not packing in our contacts as a sign of solidarity with the U.S. government, so we do not take kindly to any kind of association of the word “Maoism” with action by U.S. stooges. MIM and RIM share no unity, and we are not packing in our contacts as a sign of solidarity with the U.S. government.
Bush admits CIA secret prisons & more

Majority backs repression

President George W. Bush finally decided to admit the existence of secret CIA prisons involved in kidnapping prisoners globally. (1) He also again asked for Congress to pass laws to approve his repression, including torture.

This is relevant to MIM, because of threats against MIM by the government. It having been established that the U.S. government lied and many European governments lied, because it has secret prisons, no one should take any stories about what happens in these prisons or why prisoners are there seriously.

If a person goes missing or dies, we know to blame it on Uncle Sam or his firing squads and not to ask questions about why people went missing or died. All those who ever had business with the deceased or tortured should sue the U.S. Government for lost business, diminished estates and emotional duress.

The U.S. Government continuously breaks its own laws, gets away with it, has such tangled objectives connected to the underlying class in power. That is why Bush and others continuously rewrite the law.

The Bush admission is only the tip of the iceberg. It is also illegal to use U.S. tax money to create a false impression of law. The government needs to be sued for violation of civil rights, including the rights of observers called voters. There is a reason that the bourgeois democratic revolution generally established the need for open trials—to hear the other side, to hear the defense, just for the prosecutors and defendants.

In addition there is illegal surveillance, illegal breaks-in and inducement to murder being carried out by the government including against MIM.

The government spin job attempts to confuse by claiming to create a new law, in reality, it had something to do with Al-Qaeda, but bourgeois observers have already pointed out that is that is far from true. Over 9000 prisoners have been involved.

It’s important to notice the U.S. Government is not saying there are only 14 prisoners going to Guantanamo Bay Government is not saying there are only 14 prisoners going to Guantanamo Bay. The United States signed the Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions, (2) an international treaty regarding prisoners. Yet the United States has never respected those conventions even for unconvicted u.s. citizens harassed by state agents, who also claim “no ouages upon personal dignity,” that Bush admits to wanting to overturn.

As MIM recently said, “The only reason our enemy does not operate totally in the open is not for fear of the white proletariat but out of fear of others also watching who are not Amerikkans.”

Bush is showing he is not afraid to campaign for repression in the 2006 elections, just as MIM said. At the same time, there are going to be repercussions in Europe for what Bush has done—again proving why buying into the “West” is not something for Europeans covering for Bush but have been exposed as liars. Some have called for tracking CIA operatives instead of treating them like totally friendly spies and rightly so. (3) At the same time this whiff of monarchism or early-stage fascism has spread into Europe and we find many imperialists complicit, including the Germans, who allowed kidnapping of their own citizens while denying it. The disease of fascism originated in Europe and should not now be palmed off only on Bush.

In some sense, it is all a show of bravado by Bush—his willingness to campaign for repression and show people he does not care about European opinion.

Yet if he were so confident of his cause, there would be no secret in illegal operations to begin with. There’s the logic of “open dictatorship,” King Bush. Go into the open with the new SS called Homeland Security and let the CIA be abolished or operate in the open like SS did.

Bush would like discipline, speed and intelligence in his struggle, but he cannot have it. His government is based on alliance with the petty-bourgeoisie. It’s very good for stability and taxes, but it cannot be everything. He has charge of a great tax base and military. That’s what America is. It’s not something by any means, but he still feels that he does not have the power he needs.

Bush openly admitted that he spied on Americans when he said he wanted a law passed to allow surveillance of email and cell phones. “The terrorists who want to get into the country can buy numerous computer cellphones and open anonymous e-mail addresses. Our laws need to change to take these changes into account,” Bush said. (2) That means he is already spying on open email and non-disposable cellphones.

No matter what taxes, military or State Department he has, Bush is not satisfied with his power, because he and his class are inept. The u.s. imperialists claim to be for so-called freedom, while imprisoning a higher percentage of people than any other country and conducting the largest electronic surveillance programs in the world. Requiring more repressive power is an open acknowledgement that the imperialists cannot achieve their goals by other means. That is their ineptitude on the question of freedom and questions tied to it.

The Amerikkkan people are too bought off to see that another system could do better, and it does not occur to most that trusting the government is incompatible with capitalism. Under capitalism, there are economic motivations for corruption and lying. That’s why the original bourgeois revolutions provided for minority rights and open governance. The Amerikkkan get their consumer goods paid for so cheaply, it’s easier to focus on a lifestyle of consumerism than to work hard in political struggles. The oppressed and exploited people will have to demand the overthrow of its world-leading prison system and need for world-leading surveillance. What
A view from Australia on the cause of all the wars
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attacks on the Third World—to “keep them in their place.”

A view from Australia on the cause of all the wars

China can pay prices so far above average that they allow non-exploitation in Australia, because of China’s own ability to produce these commodities. The whole question begs why if Australian workers are so profitable other Australian capitalist-led Australian workers do not take in the resources and do what Chinese workers do with them. Perhaps the fake Marxists should consider that there is something wrong with their overall picture of the class structure.

The second question is what demand even among imperialist countries would there be without Chinese surplus-value greasing the whole system. This has to do with the “dirty secret of capitalism,” how surplus-value appears to be invisible for most bourgeois purposes. For example, would Australians care about the American stock market or loans or even its retail market if Americans were in an economic tailspin. Take out Chinese surplus-value and U.S. inflation immediately, falling from panic sell-off of the U.S. dollar internationally. Then Australia would care less about the greenback or exporting to America and possibly vice-versa. (Probably, there would be after-effects for more than just the U.S. currency.) In other words, trade between Australia and the United States and among other imperialists would suddenly fall if, if the source of the greenback’s strength suddenly disappeared—hidden Third World surplus-value arriving in the imperialist countries.

The fake Marxists should get real about the fact that there is such a thing as a bourgeois business partnership. Trade in manufactured goods among imperialist countries does not occur outside of how China has depressed the price of labor-power globally. Too often people try to speak of an “urban petty-bourgeoisie” while Chinese, Indian, etc., workers are “proletarian,” while Chinese, Indian, etc., workers are the main proponent of the “comprador cycle” which means that Third World leaders have to be able to stand up for their people as equals to First World, which has been the case for the occasional labor aristocracy/comprador alliance which maintains the anti-internationalist status quo, sometimes with new politically correct rhetoric.

Australian manufacturing output has been dropping for years. What little is left is often the assembly of component parts manufactured in the Third World.

The small car industry the multinationals continue to operate in Australia is dependent on receiving government protection and subsidies to the tune of about a $7000 dollars per worker per year.

That is a bribe to keep jobs for a contented labour aristocracy. A similar situation exists in Britain’s economy but here the bribes are more directed towards propping up the total service economy. Once England was the “workshop of the world” but by 1997 it was making a modest profit from manufactured commodities to its captured colonial markets. The bulk of its profits came from these monopolised markets. All that has changed as British imperialism has found new ways to exploit the Third World. Structural changes to the British economy are escalating as it turns more away from productive work to pure speculation as its means to create wealth, especially in banking, financial, insurance and other business services, carried out on its own behalf and for a cut from its international bourgeois allies of various types of the Third World. Britain services a lot of boholde money. It also has a bit of a tourist industry catering especially to members of the international global labour aristocracy easily gobbled up by a bit of royalty and traditional imperialism to maintain “its” fund. In an article by Tom Wolf as part of a series on Britain carried out by the English Financial Times, Martin Wolf points out some of these changes, including: Between 2000 and 2005 the number in employment rose by 1.2m. No less than 47 per cent of this additional employment was in the public sector.

While the government’s increased regulation of the labour market—via the minimum wage and a host of other interventions—has not halted the growth of private-sector jobs, overall performance would have been appreciably worse without the 562,000 jump in public-sector employment.

The fifth feature is divergence: among sectors, regions and incomes. Manufacturing continues its relative decline: between the second quarter of 1997 and the same period of 2006, the share of manufacturing output fell by 2.4 per cent while other sectors grew. Overall GDP and investment income of £30bn (2.5 per cent of GDP). This offset two-thirds of the deficit on trade in goods and services. The country runs a persistent current account deficit, though a bit of war also helps create real revenue. Imperialist production to GDP. In 2005, the deficit was 2.6 per cent of GDP. Of course the point is we need to get real about questions in isolation, especially where we do not look at the neo-colonialism to “keep the Third World”—to “keep them in their place.”

A view from Australia on the cause of all the wars

The fake Marxists close their minds to questions in isolation, especially where we do not look at the neo-colonialism to “keep the Third World”—to “keep them in their place.”
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Politically, the fake Marxists close their minds to questions in isolation, especially where we do not look at the neo-colonialism to “keep the Third World”—to “keep them in their place.”
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the kind of relationship that the CIA wants with the president; even if the pressure come from Bush, not the CIA. Bush’s at-hat also proves the wrongness of the left-wing of parasitism in getting into bed with the CIA and State Department.

Bush’s request was for legal permission for the CIA to torture prisoners and ignore the Geneva Conventions that the united States signed. He also asked for a system of secret trials and approval of unconstitutional surveillance of Americans. (Whether Congress approves of Bush’s monarchist ideas or not, the law as written on a piece of paper has not changed. The Constitution and Bill of Rights are higher than the will of the Congress. The majority cannot legally take away the rights of the minority free from surveillance.)

Here is how the U.S. Government itself reported Bush’s September requests:

“Senator John McCain, a Republican from Arizona, told NBC’s Today program that it is important that U.S. treatment of suspected terrorists conform with the protections given to prisoners of war under the Geneva Conventions. ‘We got what we wanted and that is the preservation of the Geneva Conventions. There will be no more torture. There will be no more mistreatment of prisoners that would violate standards of conduct that we would expect of people, who work for the United States of America,’ he said.”

McCain was a prisoner of war in Vietnam. He and former Secretary of State Colin Powell have argued that the united States was undermining its own troops by undermining the Geneva Conventions. What none of the bourgeois players seemed to mention was that if the Congress just backed out of the treaty when it felt like it, American treaty obligations of all kinds would be less credible. Then there is little reason to deal with the united States on a long-term legal basis.

Because Bush was willing to take on this risk of appearing over-the-top in public on the question of torture, and this was going on at the same time as the Musharraf revelation, MIM has some slight doubt in its mind: maybe Musharraf is actually trying to help out Bush at Bush’s request, even if that request was a blunder.

In the past year, it seems that major dissatisfaction revelations have occurred at Russia’s expense. Now Musharraf has gone public at U.S. expense.

The revelations came just as Musharraf publicized a peace treaty approved by Bush between the Pakistan central government and borderlands tribes. U.S. Secretary Key Karzai said Musharraf was protecting the terrorists that Karzai claims destabilize Afghanistan. MIM’s guess is that the peace treaty will enable spying in tribal areas, a sort of acknowledgement that military intervention to find Osama Bin Laden has failed in the short-run. Mushroom has raised his prestige in our eyes three notches from the bottom. One was by exposing what the imperialists did to threaten his country. Two was to get into conflict with that obvious lackey Karzai in Afghanistan and three was to do this with some sense of the public opinion situation of solidarity in the Third World building against American imperialism. After attacks on Lebanon, Iraq, Afghanistan and now plans in Iran, Mushroom provided another piece of the puzzle for the Muslim world. The people of the world badly needed that and other pieces of information. This is no time for the national bourgeoisie of an Islamic country to be covering for Uncle Sam. Uncle Sam killed Saddam Hussein’s sons and imprisoned Saddam Hussein, so it goes to show that imperialism does not always reinforce the national bourgeoisie, contrary to Trotskyism. The national bourgeoisie of Islamic countries would have to be utterly dense to be oblivious to these U.S. attacks on its own interests. Musharraf has added the bourgeois self-interest of conflict with U.S. imperialism because of India’s position attacking both Afghanistan and Pakistan for failure against supposed “Islamic terrorism.”

With so many wars in so short a time, the situation of bourgeoisie conflicts of interest is always changing. Musharraf is going up in our eyes, while fake Maoists on-board for psy-war against Iranians of the Islamic Republic of Iran are going down. Whether we are talking about the national bourgeoisie in the Third World including generals or whether we are talking about Maoists, everyone is in flux. New alliances are arising to defeat the imperialist aggressors. The imperialists try to buy off all the important leaders, but inevitably they fail because of the nature of capitalism. Capitalism cannot buy off everyone into harmony. Only communism can bring harmony.

Notes:

What is militarism?

Militarism is war-mongering or the advocacy of war or actual carrying out of war or its preparations.

While true pacifists condemn all violence as equally repugnant, we Maoists do not consider self-defense or the violence of oppressed nations against imperialism to be militarism. Militarism is mostly caused by imperialism at this time. Imperialism is the highest stage of capitalism—seen in countries like the United States, England and France.

Under capitalism, capitalists often profit from war or its preparations. Yet, it is the proletariat that does the dying in the wars. The proletariat wants a system in which people do not have self-interest on the side of war-profiteering or for imperialism.

Militarism is one of the most important reasons to overthrow capitalism. It even infects oppressed nations and causes them to fight each other.

It is important not to let capitalists risk our lives in their ideas about war and peace or the environment. They have already had two world wars admitted by themselves in the last 100 years and they are conducting a third right now against the Third World.

Even a one percent annual chance of nuclear war destruction caused by capitalist aggressiveness or “greed” as the people call it should not be tolerated by the proletariat. After playing with Roulette in the Russian Roulette chamber is different each time and not related at all to the one that came up in previous spins) with 100 chambers and one bullet, the chance of survival is only 60.5% after 50 turns. In other words, a seemingly small one percent annual chance of world war means eventual doom. After 100 years or turns of Russian Roulette, the chances of survival are only 36.6%. After 200 years, survival has only a 13.4% chance.

Bush admits CIA secret prisons & more

From p. 5...

Amerikkans cannot see objectively is obvious to the rest of the world.

Our comrades internationally will know if anything happens to MIM’s website or MIM’s comrades, that the reason is that MIM is the real deal. The public from India to Brooklyn will learn not to accept substitutes for the MIM line in the imperialist countries.

The whole world knows that MIM does not cater to public opinion, but the Bush administration does. The Bush administration comes up with wild stories for its repressions that should not be believed. They will be believed in the united States by the apathetic majority, but the people who count will not believe them and will continue the fight, and not
CIA used UN to spy on Iraq: Now UN deals carefully with Iran

U.S. gas prices on the street have plunged more than 10% and prices for oil fell below $70 a barrel in the last week now that Bush has self-emasculated the Iran nuclear energy crisis U.S. imperialism made up. MIM is going to guess that Iran and the world have made it through a potential confrontation until after November elections in the united States. If Bush were to ratchet up a crisis now, gas prices would rise.

On August 31, Iran let a UN deadline pass for turning off its nuclear enrichment program—according to the Western media. MIM has no physical means by which to verify if the imperialists and their media are telling the truth that Iran has continued with a certain nuclear activity.

The UN Security Council met and could have ramped up confrontation with Iran. Instead, Russia apparently said that ultimatums and sanctions would not work,(1) and that matters because Russia has a veto vote in the Security Council. Meanwhile, England said there would be no negotiations until after Iran stops its activities.(2)

U.S. rep in the UN Bolton said he wants sanctions affecting Iran’s weapons systems and individual leaders. (1)

Previous U.S. sanctions against Iraq killed 500,000 children according to the U.S. Secretary Madealine Albright who carried them out.(3)

In previous sanctions against Iraq, the United Nations was the justification for sending weapons inspectors to Iraq. One of the inspectors has since admitted that the united States took advantage of those inspections to spy on other aspects of Iraq’s government. The CIA infiltrated the weapons inspections teams, including with non-American nationalities. The united States always wanted the weapons inspections to fail and created that appearance until it was no longer possible when the united States itself became in charge of Iraq.

Notes:

Daily Kos admits to supporting Republicans

Shortly after MIM’s article on Democratic Party dogmatism that is especially prevalent among the most active of the activists (see below) the Democratic Party activists’ website Daily Kos came out on its front page in support of Republicans. The Daily Kos’ candidate for the war in Lebanon named Lamont is in a tight race for the U.S. Senate to represent Connecticut. Saddened by the collapse of the Republican Party, the Daily Kos has now urged donations to the Republican campaign for U.S. Senate in Connecticut, in order to siphon votes off from front-runner Joe Lieberman.

Joe Lieberman is running without the endorsement of any imperialist party. If the Republican drops out, he will benefit. At the moment though, Lieberman’s continued lead in the polls in Connecticut shows that the imperialist party endorsement is not everything. The labor aristocracy is so stodgy that it supports its pro-war Senator even without an imperialist party endorsement—and this in a state where the movement opposing the Iraq War has an above-average influence. So much for allegations of “false consciousness” in supporting the Iraq War.

In our article on the Daily Kos we pointed out that Republicans and Democrats are joined at the hip. With the latest action of the Daily Kos, we see that their dogmatic opportunism has an underlying meaning. That underlying meaning is not that the Republicans are the enemy but that any third party challenger is the top enemy. That’s why the Daily Kos favors disqualifying Greens from ballots and supporting Republican finances. The Democrats’ real fear is becoming a third party themselves.

Challenge to Daily Kos and other Democratic Party dogmatists

From 3...

Democrats who deny this are secretly in love with Republicans. The Daily Kos is so dogmatic that’s exactly what it does by celebrating for Greens being kicked off ballots.(5) Going back as far as 1992 the New York Times reported how the Greens “failed to hold their position in New York,” but only because they “could not better their showing in 1990.”

“Reform Party leaders say they do not want to see a third party, they are saying they want to let the Republicans grab back the political space they have coughed up. Democrats have no case for saying that they can grab that space alone. If they were serious, they’d know they need help from another party to occupy that space. It just goes to prove that Republicans and Democrats are not really alternatives to each other and that the Democratic Party Establishment prefers Republicans to Greens.

The real reason Democrats are not really trying to drive Republicans into the political dustbin is that the capitalist class is united through its imperialist politicians, but the full extent of that is obscure to the public. We urge all grass-roots activists to change the questions they ask the Democratic Party. Many have come to realize “it has to stand for something.”

Notes:
Sterilize all men for real change

Abortion ban would make little difference

On August 23rd, arch-reactionary Bill O’Reilly celebrated 10 years of the welfare system reform signed into law by Bill Clinton. The bill is of concern mainly to wimmin with children, because statistically, poverty is about single mothers and their children in the united States.(1) What Bill O’Reilly did not mention is that sterilizing all men would be far more effective than any abortion ban for just about every ill concerning single motherhood that O’Reilly talks about.

The favorite reactionary spin on the breakdown of the Black family, but with ineffective answers. Both O’Reilly and Bush claim the reactionaries point to the Black family to distract attention from the ongoing situation with Katrina. (2) Yet divorce and pre-marital running out of control and there are many more than the 70% of Blacks born out-of-wedlock. Clarence Page wants to point to the Black family to distract attention from the ongoing situation with Katrina. (2) Yet divorce and pre-marital running out of control and there are many more than the 70% of Blacks born out-of-wedlock. The Pages and O’Reilly’s raise this question the way they do as code language for racism.

With prisons holding 3.4% of all Black men in this “free country” and premature death taking an even higher chunk, the shortage of available Black men for Black wimmin created by national oppression. White nationalist O’Reilly and Uncle Tom Page are not talking about that, despite usually being concerned about “supply and demand.” Thus, the “Black family” discussion can only go so far without addressing the shortage of Black men.

Bill Clinton pointed to the vicious cycle that happens when teenage pregnancy results in motherhood which cuts short the woman’s education. Yet in both England and the United States, the average age of first sexual intercourse has steadily declined from 21 in the 1950s to 16 now in England.(3) As England proves, it has nothing to do with being Black and outlawing abortion is not going to stop teens from having sex. However, sterilizing all men can stop sex from resulting in pregnancy and motherhood before education is done.

In the united States, the possibility of single motherhood is dawning, because of the wealth of society. What would be mostly unthinkable say in the 1700s, becomes barely thinkable or plausible to most wimmin now, especially if the quality of men available leaves something to be desired for a womyn’s dignity.

For some, the answer is religious patriarchy, because they believe there is no point to a womyn’s dignity since her role is to raise children. Even those reactionaries not being Black are implicitly white nationalists who believe progress is squeezing out more rug rats to raise the white trash tide.

Here the reactionaries are working against the tide of history, because as people become more technologically and economically advanced, they do not have to settle for what they used to just to survive. Whether they know it or not, wimmin today think more about their dignity than they used to in the motherhood equation.

This is very much connected to the problem that all sex is rape. Reactionaries are unable to see the trend in history, because they lack the strength of character to admit that their ancestors had families under more coercive circumstances. They cannot admit that the family based itself on rape. Yet that is a fact as do reactionaries see nothing economically better off and suddenly the birth-rate goes down. Wimmin fight for the right not to have children or as many as before.

Hey, it means that reactionaries did not understand the nature of wimmin. People who have no social security system, who have no romance culture fairy-tales, but the figures don’t lie. In the past, rape had the cover of religious duty or the reality of economic necessity behind it. Now some wimmin are able to think of living alone economically and all hell is breaking loose.

Because reactionaries see nothing wrong with coercing the sexuality of wimmin, we are not surprised they turn a blind eye to the problem of pregnancy caused by rape. Lately, Phyllis Chesler and David Horowitz try to tell us that Islam is a rape culture, but what shall we say about countries rich enough to be able to have wimmin make their own decisions, but coerced into having children nonetheless—exactly what Horowitz, Patrick Buchanan and O’Reilly want. For many wimmin in the Islamic Third World, if they do not have children, they will lose social security and their personal freedom. No such logic applies in rich America; yet still extremists want to propagate the rape system, the system where sex is not for its own sake or for the sake of children but because of economic or religious dictates.

The reactionary solution is to ban abortion to restore womyn her place in the family as God ordered. Yet banning abortion is not going to stop fathers from running out on wimmin or even in a male-friendly world, from wimmin driving men out of the family. There is blame to go beyond this period to the children, but the blame game does not stop by banning abortion.

If all men were sterilized, pregnancy would never occur in “moments of passion,” not to mention rape or incest. There could be a law that with access to sperm, one must sign papers and contracts. Currently too much of the law is obscure to the average person. If all men were sterilized, artificial insemination could happen under ultra-clear legal circumstances where granting access to sperm meant support for the child, no matter what social bond or lack thereof the parents. Gone would be old-style arguments about paternity too. We would know who the father is. A program of sterilizing all men would cut down on a large chunk of legal hassles in the system now—ranging from how to handle divorce, to paternity lawsuits to what to do about rapist and incest victims who become pregnant.

Some say MIM is extremist for arguing that all men should be sterilized. MIM is not extremist compared with the reality now of pregnancy occurring in rape, incest or even just inevitable teenage moments of passion.

In the united States, elections in the smaller states are won by people calling for the toughest abortion bans. MIM says all men should become under a law and the reactionaries say all wimmin should come under an abortion law. The only difference is that the abortion ban idea holds the possibility of death by back-alley abortion while MIM’s answer is less extreme, since no one dies in our solution.

If MIM is extremist, so is Red State Amerikkka—the part of the country that voted for Bush. What’s important is not whether the idea is extreme, but whether it solves any problems.

Banning abortion won’t stop the “moments of passion” or rape and incest. Sterilizing all men would make sure none of those acts result in pregnancy.

We can imagine just how much this would cut down on single-motherhood and fathers changing their minds (or wimmin who switch partners, not to blame just men). It would all have to be done in a thought-out procedure. Perhaps the real point of the reactionaries again is that they do not want children raised in a thought-out way. Maybe they are afraid that if men had a say, only after studying perfectly logical papers, there’d be even fewer children than now. After all, it stands to reason if having a child is up to two people, chances for having children are less than if only one decides. White nationalist Patrick Buchanan is worried about the white birth rate, but it would go down even further without the single mothers he derides.

Still reactionaries go on and on about how they are pro-family and anti-crime. They say having a man around cuts down on teenage crime and delinquency. Yet if they were serious, they’d be for sterilizing all men, because that way, there would have to be a man roped in on a contract for artificial insemination. More importantly, the people involved would be deliberate in their family planning.

For lesbian or gay families, it would also be possible to make plans via artificial insemination. The contract law could provide for them and an escape from responsibility in that circumstance for the assistants to the family involved.

The pro-life situation is hopeless, because “Plan B” drugs make most of the abortion question impossible to detect. Even if the pro-lifers pass a ban on late-term abortions, there will be a black market, which in a capitalist world is impossible to stop as the drug and weapons proliferation problems prove. The abortion ban will be ineffective and solve nothing while sterilizing all men would have far-ranging consequences, beyond just the abortion question.

Notes:
1. http://www.foxnews.com/story/20033,209981,0,html
3. http://www.guardian.co.uk/parents/story/3,3605,68556,0,html

Did you know? There are more than 200 back issues of MIM Notes available on the MIM website? Not only can you browse more than 15 years of the newspaper, you can also keep up with the very latest on MIM agitation campaigns, prisoner news, all the latest on the US war, and much more. MIM’s website is an indispensable tool for the revolutionary...
Censorship increasing in California

I just wanted to thank you for keeping me up to speed on progress concerning the complaint you’re pursuing regarding mail procedures at this institution. They have now begun to deny my “Green Anarchy” and are playing games with my personal mail solely due to the fact that they dislike my political ideology.

- a California Prisoner, Coalinga, Sep 2006

You recently sent me a newsletter (MIM Notes) and this petty ass mailroom is not right with me to have this. They say it violates title 15 section 3006 (c) 16 penalological interest. What I would like to know is what the hell is in this publication that could possibly be so bad that these idiots won’t let me have my mail. They have allowed several issues in the past come in and now they say no to this issue. These people here are ridiculous. I am gonna 602 this issue and I would like to know about the contents so I know how to attack this situation. I already know about the 602 process because our 602 process does not exist here.

- a California prisoner in Coalinga, August 2006

I just received notice that these fascist pigs have disallowed the booklets/philosophies that you guys sent to me. I’ve submitted a 602 on this, but I believe that we really need the support of the people on this one, as they are attempting to ban all material that discusses “revolutionary theory.”

- a California prisoner at Pelican Bay, August 2006

Victory in Washington

In July MIM reported on widespread censorship of MIM Theory and MIM Notes. Washington state attempted a statewide ban on MIM Theory 14 and MIM Notes 328, 331, 332 violating a previous court settlement on MIM Theory 14 and MIM Notes 328, 331, 332 and MIM Theory #14 this week.

Thanks for the support.

In solidarity,

a WA prisoner, September 2006

Psychoanalysis of Control

The IMU and SHUs need to be shut down permanently.

Prisoners whose mental illnesses cannot be treated are all placed into confinement here in Oregon’s IMU on the same tiers and in the same cells where the mentally ill thrive on the chaos of their disorders and act out with 24 hours a day screaming, banging on the walls, kicking doors, contaminating tiers and cells with feces and urine, where the next prisoner is forced to move into, unaware of the prior prisoners activities.

This is cruel and unusual punishment and it is illegal and unconstitutional. But the states of Oregon, California and Washington show no remorse in continuing these corrupt and illogical forms of confinement.

I’ve personally experienced this involuntary confinement 3 different times. I’ve seen the psychological changes in many prisoners, from losing touch with reality to seeing things, hearing voices, delirium, hyperactivity, unusual sleep patters, paranoia and ultimately to suicide. I’ve enclosed the article on Aaron Munoz (my close friend). Two weeks prior to his release from prison he committed suicide! On the outside he was the most level headed individual I’ve met. He was a musician, artist and thinker.

How many more prisoners have to kill themselves before they Unlock the Box? We need your help and support.

A recent report by the Commission on Safety and Security in America’s Prisons concluded that:

“The rising use of high-security segregation units is counterproductive, often causing violence inside prisons and contributing to recidivism upon release.

Although designed to isolate the most dangerous inmates, segregation units increasingly house those who may appear manageable but who pose no danger to others or are mentally ill. Prisoners are often released from solitary confinement—where they experience extreme isolation from human contact for long periods—directly to the streets, despite the proven risk of doing so.”(1)

There is no guarantee of rehabilitation on any level here in Oregon’s DOC, for regular criminals or mentally ill criminals (there is a difference).

I have had no screening for my placement in this control unit and I am housed with at least seven clearly mentally ill prisoners. On a tier of 16, that makes 9 non-men-surely ill prisoners in seclusion, separation and lack of conversation (can you imagine not saying a word to another human for 3 months?) until someone who has similar color, beliefs or age comes along, breaking the silence.

This type of confinement creates conflicts (often life threatening) with other prisoners and staff. People who would usually have had no problems prior to this type of confinement. Having fallouts with other prisoners can and does lead to many problems outside this confinement. Many prisoners are left to deal with their own depression, issues and problems, leading to the prescription of mind altering pharmaceuticals and psychotropic and anti-psychotic pills that further the destruction of the human mind.

With movements like Unlock the Box we can eventually confront these conditions in the courts to stop this torturous confinement and treatment from continuing.

Notes:


MIM responds: There are some contradictions in this comrade’s article. It tries to draw a distinction between the “mentally ill” and everyone else. But at the same time, it cites direct experience with Control Units changing the mental state of people subjected to such torture. Aaron Munoz was apparently pushed as far as committing suicide by the torturous conditions in Oregon’s IMU, while the author can attest to his mental clarity prior to being in the IMU.

The author seems to imply that those deemed “mentally ill” should be sent somewhere separate from others to be “treated.” But the author also recognizes that psychiatric treatment is also a form of social control!

In New York, another state that is not ashamed of its widespread use of SHU torture units, there was a bill, recently rejected by Governor Pataki, that would have forbidden the DOC from putting people labeled “mentally ill” in the SHU. Comrade Lucky should consider whether this advances the struggle against torture or social control in any way. Those who are not considered “mentally ill” are still subject to torture through the SHU, where they are likely to start going crazy themselves. Meanwhile, those who are already considered “crazy” by the state are handed over to psych wards for reconditioning. Of course legislation that keeps some people out of the SHU and does not prescribe alternate torture, it is at least some small progress.

The comrade is correct to point out that being put in an isolation cell near other prisoners who are delusional or screaming 24 hours a day is just part of the torture that prisoners suffer in Control Units. Some people will be able to handle the conditions better than others. But they are all being tortured. And they all will benefit from socialization, education, fresh air and exercise.

That’s why MIM calls on people to Unlock the Box. Let everyone out of these units and destroy them because they are only destroying human lives.

Gangs in the streets and prisons

Recently several of our comrades behind bars have written to MIM with analysis or commentary about gangs on the streets and in prisons. There are many organizations of oppressed people coming together for self-defense in the face of the oppressive American government and police force. Sometimes these are formal groups who want to be known as a gang, sometimes these are political organizations that don’t think of themselves as gangs. And some of these groups engage in activities that are seriously destructive to their communities (drug dealing, killing, etc.). But regardless of the activities or origin of the group, the government uses the label “gang” to attack these organizations and justify repression and further use of the criminal injustice system against oppressed communities in America. The issue of gangs is deeper than this because of the overt

MIM on Prisons & Prisoners

MIM seeks to build public opinion against America’s criminal injustice system, and to eventually replace the bourgeois injustice system with proletarian justice. The bourgeois injustice system imprisons and executes a disproportionately large and growing number of oppressed people while letting the biggest mass murderers — the imperialists and their lackeys — roam free. Imperialism is not opposed to murder or theft, it only insists that these crimes be committed in the interests of the bourgeoisie.

“All U.S. citizens are criminals — accomplices and accessories to the crimes of U.S. oppression globally until the day U.S. imperialism is overcome. All U.S. citizens should start from the point of view that they are reforming criminals.”

MIM does not advocate that all prisoners go free today; we have a more effective program for fighting crime as was demonstrated in China prior to the restoration of capitalism there in 1976. We say that all prisoners are political prisoners because under the dictatorship of the bourgeoisie, all imprisonment is substantively political. It is our responsibility to exert revolutionary leadership and conduct political agitation and organization among prisoners — whose material conditions make them an overwhelmingly revolutionary group. Some prisoners should and will work on self-criticism under a future dictatorship of the proletariat in those cases in which prisoners really did do something wrong by proletarian standards.

Under Lock & Key

News from Prisons & Prisoners
opportunity to prepare as more affluent minority students weren't given the same.

This manipulated racial divide will destroy many lives before things get better. Both races are oblivious to the destruction they are bringing to their communities as a whole. First, they need to understand. The few who know the truth about what's systematically going on have a moral and ethical obligation to enlighten those who are unaware and help them to understand.

How can two economically oppressed races benefit from destroying one another? There is nothing to gain on either side. As two underprivileged races, both need to be educated about political, social and economic ramifications of their actions. The so-called "street" talkers who ordered this "green light" on all Blacks should re-examine the source of their hate and see if they really benefit from it. Surely it won't be the children and grandchildren of either race. In conclusion, those who have ears, let them hear, for those who are deaf and blind, destruction is a way to go.

Q&A about gangs

There is no doubt that this is the era of gangs. The media's hysterical paranoid series of state and federal laws have been levied against young gang members - and those labeled as such. Baneful sanctions; from untenable curfews to a bizarre proclamation of a death penalty.

According to the Los Angeles Police Department, there are some sixty-five gangs in just the Los Angeles area alone, with a military-sized army of 86,000 recruits. But who are they, really? If prison and youth authority numbers are correct, these street groups comprise a myriad of children and youths between the ages of nine and twenty-five. However, there are many types of "gangs": biker and hate gangs; backwoods militias, and even political and police gangs. But these particular groups; profiled targeted and often the fatal focus of crushing legislation, are the urban street gangs. Those who generally constitute disfranchised minority members, namely African Americans, Asians and Latinos.

So where do these gangs come from? According to the late Stanley Tookie Williams, the 1970s co-founder of the Crips street gang, these youth troops were formed primarily for protection because: "When police were called in our neighborhoods, they primarily for protection because: "When police were called in our neighborhoods, they either took too long or didn't show up." Williams expressed this lament during an interview prior to his execution in December 2005.

The irony of circumstances here, according to Williams' perspective, is that the primary nemesis of these street fraternities is also their indirect cause - the police.

So what do we do with 86,000 misguided youth, or "G's" as they like to call themselves (a media-hyped glorification for "gangstas").

Do we lock them all up as last year's federal anti-gang legislation proposed? Or do we focus on what's missing? According to Democratic Representative Bernie Barnson, who urged us to "give our young people a path to success, not just to prison."

In order to do this we must first ask why we're losing so many kids to gang business.

There are many reasons, but during his State of the City address earlier this year, L.A. Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa said 81% of middle school students are "Trapped in failing schools." The Mayor's assertion was undergirded recently when a state judge ruled against the use of exit-exams for graduate hopefuls of the Los Angeles Unified school District. The judge counter argued that the primary minority students weren't given the same opportunity to prepare as more affluent students. Of course, this only addressed the adversity of the remaining students who endured the disease of discrimination, not the other 50% who had already succumbed and dropped out.

- A California prisoner, June 2006


Infusion of mass confusion: Black and Brown

Since the early 1940s and 1950s, Blacks of the Jim Crow South and Mexicans from their squalid homes in the States migrated to California in search of a better life. As a result, both groups were systematically forced to live together in ghettos and communities that generally keep them separated from middle and upper-class groups.

These two races learn, live and work side by side in their quest to make a better life for themselves and their children. Although different in many ways, they are and are the same in many struggles they face. Systematically they are and are oppressed and limited to working jobs that generally leave them under the control of their white counterparts.

The Blacks and Mexicans who once lived together and worked together were and are bound together by their strength and determinations to make a better life. Over time, they established their position in society, and their offspring has the privilege of focusing on things other than mere survival.

The early 1980s brought on a whole new era. Tons of cocaine [brought in directly or indirectly by the Amerikan government-MIM] began to pour into our communities, in indirect by the Amerikan government -MIM- era. Tons of cocaine [brought in directly or indirectly by the Amerikan government -MIM-]

The division brought about by gangs and the drug trade fueled even more division in prison, where Blacks and Mexicans are the majority. It is in this prison environment that the political and social order of each faction is first established. Then it is taken back into the communities, where this subtle form of hate is perpetuated and is decaying the very fabric of society.

Since the landing of the mayflower, European whites have been engaged in a divide and conquer strategy to establish and maintain control over the land. They used it to control the native people who inhabited the land and the countless Blacks they brought from Africa. By pitting one against the other, Europeans were able to avoid becoming victims of the wrath of the Black slaves and so-called Indians, separately and as a whole, thus giving themselves control over the land and its inhabitants by means of deception.

There is no difference in strategy used by modern day "white" and "black" politicians who benefit from the division of Blacks and Mexicans. It diverts the focus and energy of these two powerful forces from taking over the communities in which they are the majority and at the same time creates jobs and economic opportunities for whites and anyone who subscribes to their social beliefs. The California justice system is fueled to fill the 34 prisons and countless county jail facilities which make up one of California's biggest economic infrastructures. From the courts, lawyers, police, parole and probation officers, prison guards, as well as all who support the system, i.e. those in the community who provide goods and services, it is no surprise that Blacks and Mexicans bear the twin burden of filling these institutions while whites and those who subscribe to their beliefs make a decent living at their expense.

So long as they are locked up and division is perpetuated between them, there can never be any trust amongst them and thus no unity. This gives the ultimate control to the oppressors with the added bonuses of job security and security on the job as a result of the conflicts caused by this division.

Since Blacks and Mexicans make up the largest percentage of the prison population by manipulation, are the most aggressive of the races, they are targeted by and affected most from this diabolical plot to control and maintain the masses. Yet they are two very powerful forces with the capacity to come together, both in prison and in general society, to form an alliance that can change the world in a way we know within Amerikka.

A prison system which flourishes like foliage at the expense of confused, misinformed and miseducated Black and Brown people knows and understands the significance of keeping these two powerful forces divided. Hence the Black and Mexican war throughout the state of California, both inside and outside of prison.

The system benefits socially, economically and politically by perpetuating this unscrupulous war, which is ultimately baseless and unwarranted. The unsuspecting Blacks and Mexicans who fight this war put their lives and their liberties on the line without really understanding why. They just know that they were taught to hate each other, despite their long and arduous history of struggle together, their similar styles of living and most importantly the systematic oppression that they both face on a large scale.

The rednecks tell MIM that we live in a "free country." They live in an Orwellian situation where freedom is imprisoned.


2. Ibid., 1992 report


4. Figure of 51.2 percent for state prisoners there for non-violent offenses. Abstract of the United States 1993, p. 211.


Facts on U$ imprisonment

The facts about imprisonment in the United States are that the United States has been the world's leading prison-state per capita for the last 25 years, with a brief exception during Boris Yeltsin's declaration of a state of emergency.

There are many reasons, but during his State of the City address earlier this year, L.A. Mayor Antonio Villaraigosa said 81% of middle school students are "Trapped in failing schools." The Mayor's assertion was undergirded recently when a state judge ruled against the use of exit-exams for graduate hopefuls of the Los Angeles Unified school District. The judge counter argued that the primary minority students weren't given the same opportunity to prepare as more affluent minority students. Of course, this only addressed the adversity of the remaining students who endured the disease of discrimination, not the other 50% who had already succumbed and dropped out.


2. Ibid., 1992 report


4. Figure of 51.2 percent for state prisoners there for non-violent offenses. Abstract of the United States 1993, p. 211.

Las manifestaciones estadounidenses de derechos inmigrantes en recientes días son nada menos que históricas e importantes de entender en propio derecho y recuadra lecciones de ellas. Las manifestaciones de derechos a los inmigrantes han inspirado a la juventud a unirse con cinismo bajo Aztlán, México. Salvadoreños e hispanos de origen Latino-Amerikano y otras identidades, o bajo gente de naciones-despotas, democratisandolos, y dandose otra clara razón y oportunidad para echar adelante su política progresiva nacionalista opuesta al racismo, dominación suprema Anglo, colonización, y imperialismo. Jóvenes Mexicanos y Salvadoreños protestaron a lado de mismo en espontanía y solidaridad internacionalista concienciativa. Las comunicaciones del medio hambiente y los reaccionarios en todos lados dicen que los jóvenes y otros protestantes no tienen razón lógitima para oponerse a los ataques hacia sus gentes y comunidades, pero su oposición a la manipulación de la Euro-Amerikana nación es justa.

Siempre ha habido migración indocumentada. La percepción de estar quebrada, y todo el debate de reformación inmigrante, viene de un movimiento anti-immigrante que da origen en un cierto contexto histórico, uno donde Euro-Amerikanos perciben que los migrantes son una amenaza económica, cultural y política; nacionalistas reaccionarios Euro-Amerikanos están incomformes con sus condiciones iniciales de estilo de vida usual durante su globalización, y las según Euro-Amerikana clases trabajadoras y medias tratan el miedo a la gente extraña, y también guerras de saqueo, como una solución a sus problemas económicos, real e imaginario.

Algunos dicen que el sistema inmigratorio está quebrado porque ciudadanos de segunda-clase hacia documentados y indocumentados trabajadores migrantes adversamente afecta sus condiciones. Esto es absolutamente cierto pero decir que el sistema inmigratorio está quebrado de forma de poder poner un pie en la puerta y tener un diálogo con reaccionarios es un camino sin salida. En verdad no hay un base real para debate entre respaldantes de los derechos de trabajadores migrantes, y eso es decir que los migrantes son terroristas que deberían ser recuartados porque son de piel cafetero o Amarillo. El sistema inmigratorio no está quebrado. Es parte y cantidad de la maquina represiva imperialista que depara al migrante de manera que de refiere en la explotación económica y opresión nacional, sea dentro o fuera de los Estados Unidos. Es la sociedad que está descompuesta, y la reparación es organizarse, para revolución y trabajar para dar fin a este predatorio sistema parasito de Bu$h.

Una fracción de promotores hacia la izquierda y algunos nacionalistas Euro-Amerikanos conservadores creen que una forma de dar fuerza a los Estados Unidos es el tener una línea fronteriza abierta, en forma de amistad de nacionales de nacionalista como union de un tal nivel con imperialistas. Sin embargo, no hay lugar común entre anti-imperialistas que creen en fronteras habilitadas y reaccionarios que piden deportaciones masivas y hasta aprehensiones massivas, en demonstraciones de derechos-inmigrantes, y gente que se sospecha ser indocumentada.

El debate de reforma inmigratorio no tiende un sondeo anti-imperialista. Y la burguesa respaldante a fronteras habilitadas en el gobierno, son muy débiles y están ideológicamente en bankarota para consistentemente oponerse represivamente y prospusietamente desde adentro del debate de reforma inmigratorio.

El debate de reforma inmigratorio (según llamado) es un espejo a un crisis pensado y imaginado y refleja los puntos de vista de diferentes grupos reaccionarios en la nación Euro-Amerikana. Algunos también, unos de los que piden alguna clase de legalización, veen la legalización como una forma de controlar a los migrantes que ya están en los Estados Unidos y quieren más enforzamiento inmigratorio en la frontera. El debate de reforma inmigratorio es un debate de una nación opresiva en la cual casi todos lados están unidos en ver migrantes indocumentados como un problema que debe ser resuelto sin dar fin a las fronteras cerradas y deshacerse de la represión que convierte a personas en migrantes indocumentados.

El debate de reforma inmigratorio es un espejo a la manipulación de la Euro-Amerikana hasta su fondo. Los reaccionarios dicen que el debate es entre respaldantes de seguridad fronteriza y respaldantes a fronteras abiertas. En la realidad, la meta del debate de reforma inmigratorio es el resolver el “problema inmigratorio” y hacer la maquina represiva imperialista más efectiva y eficiente. A los participantes del debate, los migrantes indocumentados son una señal de sinlogros restringidos reaccionarios, embes de racismo, desigualdad y expropiación social, y un sistema injusto. Hasta algunos de los oponentes de la izquierda de oposición a la nación Euro-Amerikana han explotado su nacionalismo y la supremancia Anglo. De ésta cuenta, también debe de haber lucha abierta contra el nacionalismo y la supremancia Anglo, que nunca, el nacionalismo Euro-Amerikano ha expuesto su manipulación de la Euro-Amerikana, en una estrategia de divider y conquistar, está hacienda que naciones opresivas en los Estados Unidos - y hasta migrantes Latino-Amerikano documentados y indocumentados - estén discutiendo uno contra el otro de manera de disminuir la oposición, en el votaje y en otros medios, hacia propuestas anti-inmigrantes.

Tormentes anti-Bush prudentes, dejando que otros reaccionarios e imperialistas - si, la mayoría de Anglo-dan respuesta a eso. Contemporáneamente, la nación Euro-Amerikana, en una estrategia de dividir y conquistar, está haciendo que naciones opresivas en los Estados Unidos - y hasta migrantes Latino-Amerikano documentados y indocumentados - estén discutiendo uno contra el otro de manera de disminuir la oposición, en el votaje y en otros medios, hacia propuestas anti-inmigrantes.

Asambleas de migrantes revelan la verdadera gente plebeya; nacionalismo anglo debe ser opuesto ya!

La responsabilidad no cae solamente en Bu$h o su administración, ni sus constituyentes Republicanos por echo, pero Bu$h ofrece poca esperanza en frente de los políticos y obliga más conservativismo que a sí mismo. Recientemente Bu$h ha reiterado su propuesta para estado legal para ciertos trabajadores migrantes. Bu$h se quiere dar a representar como la voz de razón y moderación; sin embargo, sus propuestas y acciones de inmigración y línea fronteriza, el prohibimiento de más posibles migrantes y el hacer la vida más difícil para los migrantes en los Estados Unidos, demuestro que el no es ningún defensor de los derechos migratorios.

Es más propuestas como las de Bu$h de legalización temporal pueden ser echas compatibles con los enforzamientos y crueldades propuestas de restricciones migratorias. Bu$h es una voz de racionalidad en el debate de reforma inmigratorio para los economistas Euro-Amerikanos, pero no ha echo nodad para rechazar la mira represiva de este debate/esfuerzo. En el tramo de las cosas, Bu$h está solamente creando algunas cosas para consideración en un movimiento anti-immigrante que está dirigiéndose hacia adelante salvajemente.

El movimiento anti-inmigrante está fuera del control de Bu$h, y no era su movimiento para comenzar, sino un típico movimiento reaccionario de la noblesa trajadora, construida por según trabajadores Euro-Amerikanos, y imperialistas más reaccionarios que Bu$h.

Bu$h no es ningún Salvador, pero el criticar nada más la administración de Bu$h sobre HR4437 y propuestas similares es estar dirigiéndose al campo equivocado. Ahora, más que nunca, el nacionalismo Euro-Amerikano en todas sus formas y manifestaciones debe ser combatido y cuando debe ser luchado. No debe de haber ninguna concepción mutua. Los demócratas y más a menudo los facistas Euro-Amerikanos han expuesto su cooperación y su papel en está ola de reacción anti-immigrante. Apressurando a los Euro-Amerikanos a que persiban su deber hacia los derechos civiles, derechos humanos, y la constitución (tomando la poca responsabilidad que les sobra), es necesario urgentemente, pero también debe de haber lucha abierta contra el nacionalismo y la supremancia Anglo. De ésta manera podremos establecer una fundación para una lucha nacional liberadora para dar fin al imperialismo.

Invólucrate en la revolución! Nullifica todas las fronteras ilegítimas!

Notas Rojas
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