Date:	
То:	Commissioner, Georgia Department of Corrections 300 Patrol Road Forsyth, GA 31029
Subjec RE:	ct: <u>Retaliation & Conspiracy to Violate Inmates' First Amendment Rights</u> (facility name)
	Dear Commissioner,
praction which	The primary problem concerns Georgia Department of Corrections (GDC) als' conspiratorial practice to violate inmates' First Amendment Right. Part of this ce consists of manifold reprisals and deliberate disregard for rules and regulations govern their duty to receive, process and answer inmate grievance[s] in iance with GDC "Statewide Grievance Procedure" (SOP 227.02).
	When we exercise use of the grievance procedure, GDC Officials join together to and retaliate against us with a combination of any of the following unethical have personally experienced the harassment which I have marked with an X:
	 1) Refuse to answer grievances. 2) Refuse to give receipt for grievances. 3) Claim to never have received grievance from inmate. 4) Claim to return grievance to inmate (inmate never receives grievance). 5) Refuse to return grievance within mandated time (40 days). 6) Refuse to properly investigate grievance. 7) No method for inmate to follow up on a grievance. 8) Reporting deliberate false information in the course of responding to
	9) Punitive and harassing cell searches in response to filing grievances 10) Intra-facility, intra-housing unit inter-cell transfers; reclassification, quent unassignment, and disregard for reassignment, etc 11) Appeals being sent and heard by parties directly involved in the original
compla grieva grieva	12) Failure to provide significant way for segregated inmates access to nce forms 13) Failure to provide significant way for segregated inmates to turn in nces.
legitim	14) Grievances denied automatically without substantive explanation or nacy according to GDC policies.

Such acts of moral turpitude are some of the dirty tricks used in their collusive practice of reprisals. They are concerted acts which violate the conspiracy laws of Title 42 U.S.C. 1995(3) and 1986. They are underhanded attempts to assail our efforts to be heard - to frustrate our use of the grievance procedure - and render the "vehicle for review" ineffective. Thus, we become disturbed with a mental anguish that compels us to grab and adopt other means and channels through which to seek and obtain resolution.

The United States Supreme Court requires inmates to exhaust administrative review before entering the arena of judicial review. However, this process becomes

unfairly difficult when GDC Officials tamper with the appeals process.

GDC Officials should understand and respect the reality (Law) that grievances filed through an official grievance procedure are constitutionally protected and deliberate interference with its procedure may result in civil or prosecutorial penalty.

Conclusion

This problem permeates the prison population and adversely affects numerous inmates. Some inmates decline to use the grievance procedure due to fear of reprisals. Others have witnessed uncorrected problems that fellow inmates continue to experience and feel the use of the grievance procedure lacks corrective action. Nevertheless, I persist in spite of the onslaught of reprisals. I suffer from many of the aforementioned unethical acts. I also have unanswered grievances in desperate need of location and resolution.

Therefore, I request a thorough and appropriate investigation to ascertain necessary facts to correct this unlawful problem.

Signature: Name, ID#:	
Additional Information (Log #, comments, description of event, etc.):	

Respectfully Submitted,

CC: Southern Center for Human Rights Law Offices, 83 Poplar St. NW, Atlanta, GA 30303-2122

GDC Office of Internal Affairs, Investigation and Compliance, PO Box 1529, Forsyth, GA 31029

U.S. Department of Justice - Civil Rights Division, Special Litigation Section, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, PHB, Washington, DC 20530

(Cross out the name above if you were not able to send them a copy of your signed petition.)