

IAB USE ONLY	Institution/Parole Region:	Log #:	Category:
FOR STAFF USE ONLY			

Attach this form to the CDCR 602, only if more space is needed. Only one CDCR 602-A may be used.

Appeal is subject to rejection if one row of text per line is exceeded.

WRITE, PRINT, or TYPE CLEARLY in black or blue ink.

Name (Last, First):	CDC Number:	Unit/Cell Number:	Assignment:
[Redacted]	[Redacted]	[Redacted]	SFU

A. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section A only (Explain your issue): *claim that staff believe it to be a threat to the institutional security. yet there is no actual evidence of a security threat. Staff claim that a COCK inmate wrote this publication and is addressing other inmates. This does not constitute a threat to the institutional security but is in fact censorship being practiced here at PBBP-SFU. The voicing of a COCK inmate's own personal opinion to an outside publication is an act of freedom of speech and if addressed to the general public at large. The PBBP-SFU ISU is censoring this scenario of a threat to institutional security in order to circumvent prisoners constitutional rights regarding what they're allowed to read & study.*

Pelican Bay State Prison
JUL 02 2012
Appeals Office
NO 3 S N
RECEIVED
JUL 14 2012
INMATE APPEALS BRANCH

JUL 02 2012

Inmate/Parolee Signature: [Redacted] Date Submitted: 19 June 2012

B. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section B only (Action requested): *thorough investigation be launched into this matter and staffs claim of a threat to the safety of institutional security and they show the actual evidence of said threat. And that all laws pertaining to censorship be reviewed both state & federal for due process. that this appeal be heard & processed in accordance with CCR title 15 and that there be no reprisals taken against me.*

JUL 02 2012

Inmate/Parolee Signature: [Redacted] Date Submitted: 19 June 2012

D. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section D only (Dissatisfied with First Level response):

Inmate/Parolee Signature: _____ Date Submitted: _____

F. Continuation of CDCR 602, Section F only (Dissatisfied with Second Level response):

outrageous & false claim and should be looked at as staff misconduct. I am Sgt. Pierson's claim that this publication/pamphlet is an act of terrorism is unfounded and without one iota of evidence to substantiate such an outlandish claim. I am Sgt. Pierson stated himself during the interview at D7 Food section that there is no connection to gang activity or violence and that no actual threat was made. This is an act of censorship and is clearly retaliation for the hunger strike here at PBSP/BAU over a year ago. Prisoners have a right to free speech under the First Amendment of the U.S. constitution and can voice their opinions to the public in general in the form of news letters/pamphlets, magazines, ect. I request the Inspector General's Office investigate the issue of censorship in this matter.

Inmate/Parolee Signature: _____ Date Submitted: 7 Aug, 2012